Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Marcus Höreth

When Dreams Come True: The Role Of Powerful **Regions In Future Europe**

Discussion

C121 2003

Marcus Höreth, born 1968, is a Research Associate at the Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) since 2002. He started his academic career as lecturer in Political Theory at Freiburg University. After his PhD thesis on the problems of legitimacy in Post Maastricht Europe in 1999, he was press officer for Nomos Publishers.

Recent publications: "Die Europäische Union im Legitimationstrilemma. Zur Rechtfertigung des Regierens jenseits der Staatlichkeit", Baden-Baden 1999; "Das Demokratiedefizit lässt sich nicht wegreformieren. Über Sinn und Unsinn der europäischen Verfassungsdebatte", in: Internationale Politik und Gesellschaft 4/2002.

When Dreams Come True: The Role Of Powerful Regions In Future Europe

1. Introduction

When addressing a certain subject it is usually the first responsibility of a political scientist to define the subject he wants to explore and to explain. Otherwise the audience would not be able to understand what the speaker is talking about. What could we mean when we are talking about regions and their role in the ongoing, indeed path breaking, Constitutional process led by the Convention on the future of Europe? The first problem is that a clear definition of what "regions" are does not exist. Nevertheless, in my considerations on the subject I will conceptualise "regions" as a kind of "third level" in the European multilevel governance system. From this broader and more general governance perspective it is possible to make a general observation which is important to keep in mind: It is one of the most important implications of European integration, or better: Europeanisation, that we face a multiplication of extra-national channels for subnational political activity. Territorial relations are being transformed: nation states are losing control over important areas of decision-making while a variety of

- Lecture held at the Workshop "European Regions in the Laeken Process: Real Players or only Spectators?", organised by the Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) in Bonn and the Representation of North Rhine Westphalia to the European Union, Brussels, November 14, 2002.
- 2 See Hooghe, Liesbet/Marks, Gary: "Europe with the Regions": Channels of Regional Representation in the European Union, in: Publius: The Journal of Federalism 26, pp. 73-91.

new channels have been created for regional mobilisation, and subnational governments are engaged in innovative, transnational patterns of interaction. Regional governments are no longer constrained to dyadic political relations with national state actors, but interact with a variety of actors in diverse arenas and in several formal and informal networks. This is indicated for example by the fact that regional governments from several member states have set up independent offices in Brussels. But of course regions do not engage in these transnational European activities equally.

As this is not a very exciting observation, I will return to the key question: what role could powerful regions play in future Europe? Several scenarios are possible, and especially the scenarios offered by representatives of constitutional regions are rather optimistic. Their basic premise is that a strong Europe needs strong regions as its constituent units. On the one hand this perspective is justified and legitimated, and, usually the underlying arguments of this thesis are craftily developed. On the other hand, alternative, even opposite, views are also possible. In order to demonstrate this rather pessimistic argument, I imagine Europe's future, say in the year 2007, after the new Constitutional treaty is in force as such. Under this new treaty the regions have the rights and powers, which they should have following the proposals made in the Convention's working group documents and following some proposals of some Members of the Convention. In other words, seen from the perspective of the regions, dreams will come true. As I will be a little more critical, my reflections are labelled under the provocative title "Do Dreams or Nightmares come true? The role of powerful regions in future Europe". I will present my critical, sometimes polemic reflections in two steps. First, I will offer a short view on the "dream" expressed by the "Assembly of European Regions" in view of the hearing at the European Convention at the end of June 2002. In a second step I imagine the situation in a couple of years, after all these dreams of the regions dreams come true, and will make some critical comments on the role of powerful regions in future Europe.

3 Assembly of the European Regions: The European Convention, 2002

II. The Dream

So what is the dream? Obviously, especially the constitutional regions try to be powerful players in the constitutional process despite the fact that their representatives are not equipped with formal voting power within the decision-making process of the Convention. Of course we have some defacto regional representatives in the Convention with formal voting power, in the German case it is Erwin Teufel, Prime Minister of Baden-Württemberg, but seen from a European top-down perspective he does not represent a region but only a second chamber the German Parliament, which, by the way, does not exist in Germany in a constitutional sense. Nevertheless, especially the constitutional regions are highly engaged in influencing the choices of the Convention, and, more directly, the choices of their national representatives in this Convention.⁴ Not a week passes without a new communication, statement, or manifesto of a regional organisation or the Committee of the Regions. Interestingly enough, these papers address not only matters of direct regional concern, i.e. subsidiarity, autonomy of legislative regions, the used implementation methods and so on, but they are also very engaged in big constitutional questions like the democratic deficit, the institutional relationship within the Triangle Commission-Council-Parliament, the question of a Union presidency, the Union's general capacity for action and so on.

Right at the top of the constitutional regions priority list ranks the concept of subsidiarity. It is, therefore, a cornerstone of the region's dream how the Union should look like in future. In this perspective, the principle of subsidiarity is not only a word, but a fact as it should be explicitly applied with regard to the regions. In their view regions form the ideal middle ground between the unity and diversity that characterise the European Union of the future. They express cultural autonomy, they increase democratic legiti-

In Germany the "Bundesländer" are very successful in influencing the German European policy choices since they are equipped with powerful instruments through the new Art. 23 of the German Constition. See Große Hüttmann, Martin: Die Europäisierung des deutschen Föderalismus, in: Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte; in comparision with the Belgian case Roller, Gerhard: Die Mitwirkung der deutschen Länder und der belgischen Regionen an EG-Entscheidungen, in: AöR 123, pp. 21-59.

macy, they lead to the separation of powers, and they integrate the citizens into the polity. Therefore, in constitutional terms, European governance and law making should be allowed on the following basis: a) (only limited) exclusive competences of the Union, b) (some) shared competences and c) supplementary competences of the European Union. In order to protect the regional communities, the division of competences should be verified by a mixed body to be set up parallel to the European Court of Justice. At least, the regions should have the right to appeal to the European Court of Justice in order to preserve their rights and competences in the framework of the European and national constitutional orders. Moreover, at the Union level, the role of the regions should be strengthened by enhancing their influence in European decision-making. This could be done at best by institutionalising the Committee of the Regions as a kind of third chamber next to the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union.

III. When Dreams come true: Powerful Regions in Europe

What would happen with Europe when all these dreams mentioned above will come true? It could be characterised by the following facts:

- As you can imagine, the phrase in Article 1 TEU "an ever closer Union" is not replaced by the words "A United States of Europe" or something similar, but with "The United Regions of Europe". Of course this is exaggerated, but sometimes one might have the impression that regions overestimate their importance in the constitutional architecture of the European Union. Realistically, the states of course remain the Masters of the Treaties in the near future. And this is not a bad thing.
- In order to secure the principle of subsidiarity,
 - every constitutional or legislative region
 - the Commission
 - a minority in the Council

- and a minority in the European Parliament

has the right to refer before the Court before a European legislative act (regulations, directives) comes into force when they think this act infringes on principles of subsidiarity and proportionality. This could lead to an even more cumbersome European decision-making process. Whenever a minority is overruled by a majority, be it in the Council or in the European Parliament, this minority is still able to prevent undesired political outcomes by turning to the judges in Luxemburg. In a comparative constitutional politics perspective we can observe that this manoeuvre is well-known in all polities with powerful Constitutional Courts. At least – with this judicial weapon in their hand – these minorities are able to postpone undesired legislative acts or, at least, they are able to set the majority under political pressure. If one tends to be pessimistic in this question, interested regions could even be able to blackmail European decision-makers. The motto of these minorities, no matter where they are coming from, could be: "Do this or that for us, compensate us, give us a side-payment, otherwise we go to Luxemburg!" You will always find some political minorities who lose out in the decision-making process who can find an argument when referring to subsidiarity and so you will always find a way to Luxemburg to block European legislation. At least this mechanism is a great incentive for negotiating additional package deals behind closed doors. Under these circumstances it is only wishful thinking to believe that the future Union will be characterised by more transparency and a clearer fulfilment of the principle of accountability. How then, given the statements by the Assembly of European Regions I mentioned above, could such conditions "enhance democratic legitimacy"? It is of course also wishful thinking to believe that the future Union could be able to enhance its capacity and ability for action if you endow political minorities, say regions for instance, with this judicial weapon to hinder legislative action.

• Moreover, the principle of subsidiarity is not only the subject to ex post judicial review by the European Court of Justice. It is also guaranteed by the Constitutional Treaty that national parliaments (including regional parliaments, at least German's Second Chamber, the Bundesrat) are able to organise ex ante political monitoring of the

principle of subsidiarity.⁵ This "early warning system" is even more. It is functioning as an "early blocking system". In the Union we really do not have the problem that there are not enough veto-players in the political arena. In the future we will also have national parliaments who are in fact able to veto important decisions at the European level. It is to expect that you will always find national parliaments who do not want to accept that a certain decision is made at the European level.

- Regions are more and more not only responsible for the implementation of Union policies but also in European decision-making processes – via national and European channels (Committee of the Regions). This is not a very comfortable situation for national governments since they lose power – vis-à-vis the European level and vis-àvis the regional level. If it is true that the European multilevel governance system could be described as a sandwich, one might say that the sausage smashed between the two sandwich halves is becoming thinner and thinner. One may indeed be left asking, "where's the beef?!" Regions may win autonomy and federal decision-making power, but only at the cost of autonomy and decision-making power of central governments. The integration process is partially the reason why more and more member state governments lose power and influence vis-à-vis their sub-national regions. Take Spain as an example to see what results an overstretched regionalism can produce in the end. In Catalonia only Catalonian speaking people can be office-holders in the public service. Moreover, in Catalonian schools the Spanish language is after English only a second "foreign" language while Catalonian is the official native language pupils have to learn. Is there something we can learn from these examples? If yes, then it leads us to the conclusion that too much independence and autonomy for the legislative regions would not only threaten the European Union. They would also threaten the "masters of the Trea-
- 5 Chairman of Working Group I on the Principle of Subsidiarity: Conclusions of Working Group I, The European Convention Secretariat, Brussels, 23 September 2002, CONV 286/02, p. 3.

ties" themselves, the nation states, which are historically the well-established, and still the only existing frameworks of democracy, burden-sharing solidarity and human rights protection. At least the Spanish example teaches us that not everything under the banner of "subsidiarity" is a worthy contribution for the constitutional architecture of our Union.

- The new Article 6 TEU will contain the provision that the Union respects regional and local self-government. This is indeed a necessary and worthy principle. But given the cross-border nature of many problems we face today we have to achieve a truly united Europe. Therefore, the regions conversely must respect the benefits of a functioning and efficient decision-making system at the European level. Moreover, regions must allow the architects of Europe a certain amount of leverage in laying down the common rules which define the space in which a half billion citizens make the European Union a reality. However, the visions of the founding fathers would be totally undermined if the regions cry bloody murder whenever the Union seeks to fulfil its responsibility for bringing the citizens of Europe together through appropriate directives, regulations, and decisions.
- Moreover, the European Constitution will contain an explicit text stating that "all powers not conferred on the Union by the Constitutional Treaty remains with the Regions". Again, this is exaggerated, but sometimes one may have the impression that this is exactly the attitude of some very convinced lobbyists of strong regions in Europe.
- The powerful regions are able to decide themselves if a Community measure is appropriate at their territory which they govern and in which they have legislative power. This is still an unspoken intention of powerful and strong regional governments in Bavaria, Flanders or the regions in Northern Italy. I admit that this scenario is not a hot issue at the moment but imagine that also this dream comes true in future. What is the principle of solidarity for example in Article 2 TEC worth if strong regions are able to "opt-out" whenever they feel the

need to stress their egoistic regional interests in opposition to broader European interests or interests of poorer regions? Remember that solidarity is actually a worthy but also fragile and contested constitutional principle. This is the case not only in the multinational European Union, but also in well-developed nation states such as Germany, Belgium or Italy where the principle of solidarity is frequently challenged by the "haves" against the "have-nots". This is for example indicated by the fact that in Germany the richer Bundesländer are more and more unwilling to pay financial transfers to poorer regions in the mechanism of the so-called "Länderfinanzausgleich".

IV. Conclusions: Powerful Regions in future Europe: a Dream or a Nightmare?

I am aware of the fact that I painted the devil on the wall regarding the role of strong regions in future Europe. This provocative statement tries only to sharpen the consciousness that in a contested polity like the EU,⁶ any overstretching of legitimating ideas, as is the idea of a strong Europe through strong regions, also has its negative implications.⁷ The overstretched dreams of the strong regions in Europe could develop to nightmare scenarios very soon. Nevertheless, it should be pointed out, that regions, especially constitutional regions have legitimate interests within the ongoing constitutional process. But what I intended to demonstrate is that powerful regions do not automatically lead to a powerful European Union, as is always claimed by the proponents of this "third-level-philosophy". Therefore, not only the Union has to respect the legitimate interests of regions, but also, conversely, the regions must respect the benefits of a functioning and efficient decision-making system at the European level. Moreover, re-

- 6 See only Lord, Christopher: Legitimation traps, problems and solutions, an the role of the Convention on the future of Europe, paper presented at the SWP Conference: Democracy and Accountability in the Enlarged European Union, 7/8 March, 2003, paper available under: http://www.swp-berlin.org/pdf/conveu/Lord.pdf
- 7 See for a fully developed version of this argument Höreth, Marcus: Die Europäische Union im Legitimationstrilemma. Zur Rechtfertigung des Regierens jenseits der Staatlichkeit, Baden-Baden 1999.

gions must allow the architects of Europe a certain amount of leverage in laying down the common rules which define the space in which a half billion citizens make the European Union a reality. However, the visions of the Community founding fathers would be totally undermined if the regions cry bloody murder whenever the Union seeks to fulfil its responsibility for bringing the citizens of Europe together through appropriate directives, regulations, and decisions. It is to hope that the Convention Members are still breathing this philosophy of the Community's founding fathers. From their perspective, then, the dreams of the constitutional regions are important to take into account, but their complete fulfilment should not be their most urgent concern.

ZEI DISCUSSION PAPER: Bisher erschienen / Already published:

2610	bisher distillent? Aircady published.
C 1 (1998)	Frank Ronge (Hrsg.)
C 2 (1998)	Die baltischen Staaten auf dem Weg in die Europäische Union Gabor Erdödy
	Die Problematik der europäischen Orientierung Ungarns
C 3 (1998)	Stephan Kux Zwischen Isolation und autonomer Anpassung: Die Schweiz im
	integrationspolitischen Abseits?
C 4 (1998)	Guido Lenzi The WEU between NATO and EU
C 5 (1998)	Andreas Beierwaltes
C 6 (1000)	Sprachenvielfalt in der EU – Grenze einer Demokratisierung Europas?
C 6 (1998)	Jerzy Buzek Poland's Future in a United Europe
C 7 (1998)	Doug Henderson
C 8 (1998)	The British Presidency of the EU and British European Policy Simon Upton
0 0 (1000)	Europe and Globalisation on the Threshold of the 21st Century.
0.0 (4000)	A New Zealand Perspective
C 9 (1998)	Thanos Veremis Greece, the Balkans and the European Union
C 10 (1998)	Zoran Djindjic
C 44 (4000)	Serbiens Zukunft in Europa
C 11 (1998)	Marcus Höreth The Trilemma of Legitimacy. Multilevel Governance in the EU and
	the Problem of Democracy
C 12 (1998)	Saadollah Ghaussy
C 12 (1000)	Japan and the European Union
C 13 (1998)	Walter Schweidler Bioethische Konflikte und ihre politische Regelung in Europa
C 14 (1998)	Wolfgang Ischinger
C 15 (1009)	Die Gemeinsame Außen- und Sicherheitspolitik nach Amsterdam
C 15 (1998)	Kant K. Bhargava EU – SAARC: Comparisons and Prospects of Cooperation
C 16 (1998)	
C 17 (1998)	Die deutsch-britischen Beziehungen: Ein hoffnungsloser Fall? Nikolaj Petersen
	The Danish Referendum on the Treaty of Amsterdam
C 18 (1998)	Aschot L. Manutscharjan Der Konflikt um Berg-Karabach: Grundproblematik und Lösungsperspektiven
C 19 (1998)	Stefan Fröhlich
C 20 (1998)	Der Ausbau der europäischen Verteidigungsidentität zwischen WEU und NATO Tönis Lukas
C 20 (1990)	Estland auf dem Weg aus der totalitären Vergangenheit zurück nach Europa
C 21 (1998)	Wim F. van Eekelen
C 22 (1998)	Perspektiven der Gemeinsamen Außen- und Sicherheitspolitik der EU Ludger Kühnhardt
,	Europa in den Kräftefeldern des 21. Jahrhunderts.
C 23 (1998)	Marco Bifulco In Search of an Identity for Europe
C 24 (1998)	Zbigniew Czachór
C 25 (1000)	Ist Polen reif für die Europäische Union?
C 25 (1998)	Avi Primor Der Friedensprozeß im Nahen Osten und die Rolle der Europäischen Union
C 26 (1998)	Igor Leshoukov
C 27 (1998)	Beyond Satisfaction: Russia's Perspectives on European Integration Dirk Rochtus
(.300)	Die belgische "Nationalitätenfrage" als Herausforderung für Europa

C 28 (1998)	Jürgen Rüttgers Europa – Erbe und Auftrag
C 29 (1999)	Murat T. Laumulin
	Die EU als Modell für die zentralasiatische Integration?
C 30 (1999)	Valdas Adamkus
0 00 (1000)	Europe as Unfinished Business: The Role of Lithuania
	in the 21 st Century's Continent
C 31 (1999)	Ivo Samson
	Der widerspruchsvolle Weg der Slowakei in die EU.
C 32 (1999)	Rudolf Hrbek / Jean-Paul Picaper / Arto Mansala
	Deutschland und Europa. Positionen, Perzeptionen, Perspektiven
C 33 (1999)	Dietrich von Kyaw
, ,	Prioritäten der deutschen EU-Präsidentschaft unter Berücksichtigung des
	Europäischen Rates in Wien
C 34 (1999)	Hagen Schulze
	Die Identität Europas und die Wiederkehr der Antike
C 35 (1999)	Günter Verheugen
	Germany and the EU Council Presidency
C 36 (1999)	Friedbert Pflüger
0.0= (4000)	Europas globale Verantwortung – Die Selbstbehauptung der alten Welt
C 37 (1999)	José María Gil-Robles
0.00 (4000)	Der Vertrag von Amsterdam: Herausforderung für die Europäische Union
C 38 (1999)	Peter Wittschorek
C 20 (4000)	Präsidentenwahlen in Kasachstan 1999
C 39 (1999)	Anatolij Ponomarenko
C 40 (1000)	Die europäische Orientierung der Ukraine Eduard Kukan
C 40 (1999)	The Slovak Republic on its Way into the European Union
C 41 (1999)	Ludger Kühnhardt
O +1 (1999)	Europa auf der Suche nach einer neuen geistigen Gestalt
C 42 (1999)	Simon Green
.= (,	Ausländer, Einbürgerung und Integration: Zukunftsperspektive der
	europäischen Unionsbürgerschaft?
C 43 (1999)	Ljerka Mintas Hodak
,	Activities of the Government of the Republic of Croatia in the Process of
	European Integration
C 44 (1999)	Wolfgang Schäuble
	Unsere Verantwortung für Europa
C 45 (1999)	Eric Richard Staal
	European Monetary Union: The German Political-Economic Trilemma
C 46 (1999)	Marek J. Siemek
O 4= (4000)	Demokratie und Philosophie
C 47 (1999)	loannis Kasoulides
	Cyprus and its Accession to the European Union
C 48 (1999)	Wolfgang Clement
C 49 (1999)	Perspektiven nordrhein-westfälischer Europapolitik
C 49 (1999)	Volker Steinkamp Die Europa Debatte deutscher und französischer Intellektueller nach dem
	Die Europa-Debatte deutscher und französischer Intellektueller nach dem Ersten Weltkrieg
C 50 (1999)	Daniel Tarschys
	50 Jahre Europarat
C 51 (1999)	Marcin Zaborowski
	Poland, Germany and EU Enlargement
C 52 (1999)	Romain Kirt
	Kleinstaat und Nationalstaat im Zeitalter der Globalisierung
C 53 (1999)	
	Die Zukunft des europäischen Einigungsgedankens

C 54 (1999)	Lothar Rühl Conditions and options for an autonomous "Common European Policy on Security and Defence" in and by the European Union in the post-Amsterdam perspective opened at Cologne in June 1999
C 55 (1999)	Marcus Wenig (Hrsg.) Möglichkeiten einer engeren Zusammenarbeit in Europa am Beispiel Deutschland - Slowakei
C 56 (1999)	Rafael Biermann The Stability Pact for South Eastern Europe - potential, problems and
C 57 (1999)	Slovakia's Response on the Regular Report from the European Commission
C 58 (1999)	on Progress towards Accession Marcus Wenig (Ed.) A Pledge for an Early Opening of EU-Accession Negotiations
C 59 (1999)	Ivo Sanader Croatia's Course of Action to Achieve EU Membership
C 60 (2000)	Europas Identität und die Kraft des Christentums
C 61 (2000)	The West and Islam in the Mass Media
C 62 (2000) C 63 (2000)	Sylvie Goulard Französische Europapolitik und öffentliche Debatte in Frankreich Elizabeth Meehan
C 64 (2000)	Citizenship and the European Union
C 65 (2000)	The European Security Landscape after Kosovo Lutz Rathenow
C 66 (2000)	Vom DDR-Bürger zum EU-Bürger Panos Kazakos
C 67 (2000)	Stabilisierung ohne Reform Marten van Heuven
C 68 (2000)	Where will NATO be ten years from now ? Carlo Masala Die Euro-Mediterrane Partnerschaft
C 69 (2000) C 70 (2000)	
C 71 (2000)	Mittel-/Osteuropa: Warum engagieren sich deutsche Unternehmen? Marcus Wenig (Hrsg.)
C 72 (2000)	Die Bürgergesellschaft als ein Motor der europäischen Integration Ludger Kühnhardt/Henri Ménudier/Janusz Reiter Das Weimarer Dreieck
C 73 (2000)	Ramiro Xavier Vera-Fluixa Regionalbildungsansätze in Lateinamerika und ihr Vergleich mit der Europäischen Union
C 74 (2000)	Xuewu Gu (Hrsg.) Europa und Asien: Chancen für einen interkulturellen Dialog?
C 75 (2000)	
C 76 (2000)	Àkos Kengyel The EU's Regional Policy and its extension to the new members
C 77 (2000)	Gudmundur H. Frìmannsson Civic Education in Europe: Some General Principles
C 78 (2000)	Marcus Höreth Stille Revolution im Namen des Rechts?
C 79 (2000)	Franz-Joseph Meiers Europäische Sicherheits- und Verteidigungsidentität (ESVI) oder Gemeinsame Europäische Sicherheits- und Verteidigungspolitik (GESVP)?

C 80 (2000)	Gennady Fedorov Kaliningrad Alternatives Today
C 81 (2001)	Ann Mettler From Junior Partner to Global Player: The New Transatlantic Agenda and Joint
C 82 (2001)	Action Plan Emil Minchev Southeastern Europe at the beginning of the 21 st century
C 83 (2001)	Lothar Rühl Structures, possibilities and limits of European crisis reaction forces for conflict
C 84 (2001)	prevention and resolution Viviane Reding Die Rolle der EG bei der Entwicklung Europas von der Industriegesellschaft zur
C 85 (2001)	Wissens- und Informationsgesellschaft Ludger Kühnhardt
C 86 (2001)	Towards Europe 2007. Identity, Institution–Building and the Constitution of Europe Janusz Bugajski Facing the Future: The Balkens to the Year 2010.
C 87 (2001)	Facing the Future: The Balkans to the Year 2010 Frank Ronge / Susannah Simon (eds.) Multiculturalism and Ethnic Minorities in Europe
C 88 (2001)	Ralf Elm Notwendigkeit, Aufgaben und Ansätze einer interkulturellen Philosophie
C 89 (2001) C 90 (2001)	Tapio Raunio / Matti Wiberg The Big Leap to the West: The Impact of EU on the Finnish Political System Valérie Guérin-Sendelbach (Hrsg.)
C 90 (2001)	Interkulturelle Kommunikation in der deutsch-französischen Wirtschaftskooperation
C 91 (2001)	Jörg Monar EU Justice and Home Affairs and the Eastward Enlargement: The Challenge of
C 92 (2001)	Diversity and EU Instruments and Strategies Michael Gehler Finis Neutralität? Historische und politische Aspekte im europäischen Vergleich: Irland, Finnland, Schweden, Schweiz und Österreich
C 93 (2001)	Georg Michels Europa im Kopf – Von Bildern, Klischees und Konflikten
C 94 (2001)	Marcus Höreth The European Commission's White Paper Governance: A 'Tool-Kit' for closing the
C 95 (2001)	legitimacy gap of EU policymaking? Jürgen Rüland ASEAN and the European Union: A Bumpy Interregional Relationship
C 96 (2001)	Bo Bjurulf How did Sweden Manage the European Union?
C 97 (2001)	Biomedizin und Menschenwürde. Stellungnahmen von Ulrich Eibach, Santiago Ewig, Sabina Laetitia Kowalewski, Volker Herzog, Gerhard Höver, Thomas Sören Hoffmann und Ludger Kühnhardt
C 98 (2002)	Lutz Käppel Das Modernitätspotential der alten Sprachen und ihre Bedeutung für die Identität
C 99 (2002)	Europas Vaira Vike-Freiberga Republik Lettland und das Land Nordrhein-Westfalen – Partner in einem vereinten
C 100 (2002)	Europa Janusz Musial Periodische Arbeitsmigration aus Polen (Raum Oppeln) nach Deutschland. Ein
C 101 (2002)	Testfall für die Erwerbswanderungen nach der Osterweiterung? Felix Maier (Hrsg.) Managing asymmetric interdependencies within the Euro-Mediterranean Partner-
C 102 (2002)	ship. Hendrik Vos The Belgian Presidency and the post-Nice process after Laeken
C 103 (2002)	

C 104 (2002)	Ludger Kühnhardt
C 105 (2002)	The Lakes of Europe Katharina von Schnurbein
C 103 (2002)	Der tschechische EU-Beitritt: Politischer Prozeß wider die öffentliche Meinung
C 106 (2002)	Andrew Dennison
0 100 (2002)	Shades of Multilateralism. U.S. Perspectives on Europe's Role in the War on Terrorism
C 107 (2002)	Boris Hajoš et.al.
,	The Future of the European Integration Process: Ideas and Concepts of Candidate
	Countries
C 108 (2002)	Hans von der Groeben
	Europäische Integration aus historischer Erfahrung. Ein Zeitzeugengespräch mit Michael Gehler
C 109 (2002)	Emil Mintchev /Klaus Bünger
	A Sustained Economic Revival in Kosovo. Need for a Liberal Concept
C 110 (2002)	Michael Lochmann
	Die Türkei im Spannungsfeld zwischen Schwarzmeer-Kooperation und Europäi-
C 111 (2002)	scher Union Indra de Soysa / Peter Zervakis (eds.)
C 111 (2002)	Does Culture Matter? The Relevance of Culture in Politics and Governance in the
	Euro-Mediterranean Zone
C 112 (2002)	José Manuel Martínez Sierra
· · · · (= · · ·)	The Spanish Presidency. Buying more than it can choose?
C 113 (2002)	Winfried Loth
,	Europäische Identität in historischer Perspektive
C 114 (2002)	Hansjörg Eiff
	Serbien – zwei Jahre nach Milosevics Sturz
C 115 (2002)	
	Ireland and the Nice Treaty
C 116 (2002)	Stefan Fröhlich
	Das Projekt der Gemeinsamen Europäischen Sicherheits- und Verteidigungspolitik
C 117 (2003)	(GESVP): Entwicklungen und Perspektiven Ludger Kühnhardt
C 117 (2003)	Welche Grenzen setzt die Globalisierung der europäischen Integration?
C 118 (2003)	Franz-Josef Meiers (Hrsg.)
0 110 (2000)	Die Auswirkungen des 11. September 2001 auf die transatlantischen Beziehungen
C 119 (2003)	
,	Between Forces of Inertia and Progress: Co-decision in EU-Legislation
C 120 (2003)	Carlo Masala (ed.)
	September 11 and the Future of the Euro-Mediterranean Cooperation
C 121 (2003)	Marcus Höreth
0.400./2222	When Dreams Come True: The Role Of Powerful Regions In Future Europe
C 122 (2003)	Glen Camp

Das **Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI)** wurde 1995 als selbständig arbeitende, interdisziplinäre Forschungseinrichtung an der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn gegründet. In Forschung, Lehre und Politikberatung sowie im Dialog zwischen Wissenschaft und Praxis beteiligt sich das ZEI an der Lösung bisher unbewältigter Probleme der europäischen Einigung und der Gestaltung der Rolle Europas in der Welt. Weitere Informationen finden Sie auf unserer Homepage im Internet: http://www.zei.de.

The Danish Presidency 2002: Completing the Circle from Copenhagen to Copen-

The End of the Cold War and US-EU-Relations

C 123 (2003) Finn Laursen / Berenice L. Laursen

hagen

ZEI – DISCUSSION PAPERS richten sich mit ihren von Wissenschaftlern und politischen Akteuren verfaßten Beiträgen an Wissenschaft, Politik und Publizistik. Jeder Beitrag unterliegt einem internen Auswahlverfahren und einer externen Begutachtung. Gleichwohl gibt er die persönliche Mei-

nung der Autoren wieder. Die Beiträge fassen häufig Ergebnisse aus laufenden Forschungsprojekten zusammen. Die aktuelle Liste finden Sie auf unserer Homepage: http://www.ZEI.de.

The **Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI)** was established in 1995 as an independent, interdisciplinary research institute at the University of Bonn. With research, teaching and political consultancy ZEI takes part in an intensive dialogue between scholarship and society in contributing to the resolution of problems of European integration and the development of Europe's global role. For further information, see: http://www.zei.de.

ZEI – DISCUSSION PAPERS are intended to stimulate discussion among researchers, practitioners and policy makers on current and emerging issues of European integration and Europe's global role. Each paper has been exposed to an internal discussion within the Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) and an external peer review. The papers mostly reflect work in progress. For a current list, see the center's homepage: http://www.ZEI.de.

ISSN 1435-3288

ISBN 3-936183-21-x

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Straße 3 D-53113 Bonn Germany Tel.: +49-228-73-1880 Fax: +49-228-73-1788 http://www.zei.de