Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Artemis Perraki, UMR5546 Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Vegetales (LRSV), France

REVIEWED BY

Rashna Bhandari, Centre for DNA Fingerprinting and Diagnostics (CDFD), India Dorothea Fiedler, Leibniz-Institut für Molekulare Pharmakologie (FMP), Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Marília Kamleitner Marilia.kamleitner@uni-bonn.de

RECEIVED 01 December 2023 ACCEPTED 01 February 2024 PUBLISHED 22 February 2024

CITATION

Mihiret YE, Schaaf G and Kamleitner M (2024) Protein pyrophosphorylation by inositol phosphates: a novel post-translational modification in plants?. *Front. Plant Sci.* 15:1347922. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1347922

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Mihiret, Schaaf and Kamleitner. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Protein pyrophosphorylation by inositol phosphates: a novel post-translational modification in plants?

Yeshambel Emewodih Mihiret, Gabriel Schaaf and Marília Kamleitner*

Department of Plant Nutrition, Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Inositol pyrophosphates (PP-InsPs) are energy-rich molecules harboring one or more diphosphate moieties. PP-InsPs are found in all eukaryotes evaluated and their functional versatility is reflected in the various cellular events in which they take part. These include, among others, insulin signaling and intracellular trafficking in mammals, as well as innate immunity and hormone and phosphate signaling in plants. The molecular mechanisms by which PP-InsPs exert such functions are proposed to rely on the allosteric regulation via direct binding to proteins, by competing with other ligands, or by protein pyrophosphorylation. The latter is the focus of this review, where we outline a historical perspective surrounding the first findings, almost 20 years ago, that certain proteins can be phosphorylated by PP-InsPs in vitro. Strikingly, in vitro phosphorylation occurs by an apparent enzyme-independent but Mg²⁺dependent transfer of the β -phosphoryl group of an inositol pyrophosphate to an already phosphorylated serine residue at Glu/Asp-rich protein regions. Ribosome biogenesis, vesicle trafficking and transcription are among the cellular events suggested to be modulated by protein pyrophosphorylation in yeast and mammals. Here we discuss the latest efforts in identifying targets of protein pyrophosphorylation, pointing out the methodological challenges that have hindered the full understanding of this unique post-translational modification, and focusing on the latest advances in mass spectrometry that finally provided convincing evidence that PP-InsP-mediated pyrophosphorylation also occurs in vivo. We also speculate about the relevance of this post-translational modification in plants in a discussion centered around the protein kinase CK2, whose activity is critical for pyrophosphorylation of animal and yeast proteins. This enzyme is widely present in plant species and several of its functions overlap with those of PP-InsPs. Until now, there is virtually no data on pyrophosphorylation of plant proteins, which is an exciting field that remains to be explored.

KEYWORDS

inositol pyrophosphates, serine phosphorylation, protein kinase CK2, pyrophosphoproteomics, non-canonical phosphorylation

1 Introduction to inositol pyrophosphates: definition, metabolism and functions

Inositol phosphates (InsPs) comprise soluble molecules derived from sequential phosphorylation of *myo*-inositol. These molecules gained focus 40 years ago with the discovery that inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate (Ins[1,4,5]P₃ or short "IP3"), derived from the phospholipase C-dependent hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns[4,5]P₂, Figure 1), triggers mobilization of intracellular calcium stores in rat pancreatic acinar cells (Streb et al., 1983; Berridge, 1986). Besides its canonical role as a second messenger regulating a plethora of cellular activities in animal cells (Berridge, 1993), more important for the scope of this review is the fact that "IP3" is one of several InsP₃ isomers (Barker et al., 1995; Brearley and Hanke, 2000) and serves as a precursor of additional InsPs, such as various InsP₄ and InsP₅ isomers, as well as InsP₆ and higher phosphorylated InsP species (Shears, 2018; Shears and Wang, 2020).

InsP₆ is prone to further phosphorylation, generating a subset of InsPs harboring one or two diphosphate moieties in addition to the monophosphates. These molecules are collectively called "inositol pyrophosphates" (PP-InsPs) and include diphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate (PP-InsP₅, also simply termed InsP₇), as well as bis-diphosphoinositol tetrakisphoshate ([PP]₂-InsP₄ or InsP₈) (Shears, 2009; Ganguli et al., 2020). The pathways for PP-InsP biosynthesis rely on two classes of enzymes: i) inositol hexakisphosphate kinases (IP6Ks), which introduce pyrophosphate moieties at position 5 of the inositol ring, and ii) diphosphoinositol-pentakisphosphate kinases (PPIP5Ks), that act on position 1 or 3 (Figure 1). That is, InsP₆ is converted to 5-InsP7 by IP6Ks and to 1/3-InsP7 by PPIP5Ks, and both enzymes can act on each other's products to generate 1/3,5-InsP₈ (Saiardi et al., 2001; Mulugu et al., 2007; Draškovič et al., 2008; Chakraborty, 2018; Lorenzo-Orts et al., 2020; Shears and Wang, 2020). With the exception of the budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) PPIP5K Vip1 and the human PPIP5K2, which specifically generate 1,5-InsP₈ (Wang et al., 2012; Capolicchio et al., 2014; Dollins et al., 2020), it is still not clear whether other PPIP5K isoforms in mammals or plants catalyze formation of the phosphoanhydride bond at the 1 or the 3 position. Except in budding yeast, the enantiomer identity of 1/3-InsP7 and 1/3,5-InsP8 therefore remains unresolved in eukaryotes.

The synthetic pathways are only partially conserved among metazoan, fungi and plants. While humans, for instance, harbor three IP6K isoforms and the budding yeast have a single IP6K gene (*Kcs1*) (Saiardi et al., 1999; Chakraborty, 2018), no sequence-related IP6K homolog exists in higher plants. The lack of a canonical IP6K has kept the origin of plant InsP₇ unresolved until recently, when two Arabidopsis inositol (1,3,4) trisphosphate 5/6-kinases (ITPKs), namely ITPK1 and ITPK2, were shown to harbor InsP₆ kinase activity that catalyzes the synthesis of 5-InsP₇ *in vitro* and *in planta* (Laha et al., 2019; Whitfield et al., 2020; Riemer et al., 2021). Mammalian IP6Ks and the Arabidopsis ITPK1 also possess an

ADP phosphotransferase activity (Figure 1) that allows specific PP-InsP dephosphorylation upon reduced ATP/ADP ratios, a feature that likely contributes to a more energy-conserving PP-InsP turnover than via dedicated phosphatases, but might also be a way for localized ATP production (Voglmaier et al., 1996; Whitfield et al., 2020; Riemer et al., 2021, 2022).

A dual activity is also present in PPIP5Ks, but in this case the interconversion between substrates and products is mediated by two distinct modules: an N-terminal ATP-grasp kinase domain and a C-terminal phosphatase domain (Figure 1). The bifunctionality of these enzymes has first been suggested when this class of enzymes was identified in S. cerevisiae (Mulugu et al., 2007). While PP-InsP synthase activity of the N-terminal ATP-grasp kinase domain has been shown in different organisms (Choi et al., 2007; Fridy et al., 2007; Mulugu et al., 2007; Pöhlmann and Fleig, 2010; Desai et al., 2014; Laha et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2019; Dollins et al., 2020), the role of the C-terminal phosphatase-like domain has remained elusive until work on the fission yeast (Schizosaccharomyces pombe) PPIP5K Asp1 corroborated the idea that this domain harbors PP-InsP phosphatase activity (Pöhlmann et al., 2014). PP-InsP 1phosphatase activity of the C-terminal domain of PPIP5Ks was then more directly demonstrated for Asp1 (Wang et al., 2015) and for the plant VIH1/2 proteins (Zhu et al., 2019).

In addition to the phosphotransferase activity of IP6Ks/ITPK1 and the phosphatase domain of PPIP5Ks, PP-InsP catabolism can also be mediated by stand-alone phosphohydrolases. These include members of the NUDIX hydrolase family named diphosphoinositol polyphosphate phosphohydrolases (DIPPs), and of the dualspecificity phosphatase (DSP) family (Figure 1). The first is represented by 5 human isoforms (DIPP1, 2α , 2β , 3α and 3β) that preferentially target the β -phosphate at the 1/3-position (Kilari et al., 2013; Chakraborty, 2018), and by the budding yeast only ortholog DDP1 (Cartwright and McLennan, 1999; Safrany et al., 1999). In addition to PP-InsPs, other substrates, such as polyphosphates and diadenosine polyphosphates, can also be hydrolyzed with different levels of preference by DDP1 (Lonetti et al., 2011; Márquez-Moñino et al., 2021). Also Siw14, a member of the plant and fungi atypical dual-specificity phosphatases (PFA-DSPs) (Romá-Mateo et al., 2007), is a PP-InsP phosphatase in budding yeast, specifically cleaving 5-InsP7 at the 5-position (Steidle et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). All of the five Arabidopsis PFA-DSPs (AtPFA-DSP1-5) were shown to harbor in vitro PP-InsP phosphohydrolase activity that was highly specific towards the 5β-phosphate (Gaugler et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022b). Increased AtPFA-DSP1 expression in an Arabidopsis T-DNA activator line and heterologous expression of AtPFA-DSP1 in Nicotiana benthamiana both led to a decrease in 5-InsP7 and 1,5-InsP8 levels, suggesting a DSP-dependent degradation of these PP-InsP species in planta as well (Gaugler et al., 2022).

The roles these enzymes and their products play in different biological systems were determined at some extent, unveiling a large versatility of PP-InsPs. In mammals, for instance, these molecules were shown to be involved in several cellular processes, including vesicle trafficking (Saiardi et al., 2002; Illies et al., 2007), energy



FIGURE 1

The synthesis and metabolism pathways of *myo*-inositol in mammals, plants and budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Glucose-6-phosphate Glu(6)P is converted to inositol-3-phosphate (Ins(3)P) through the action of *myo*-inositol phosphate synthase (MIPS). Subsequently, Ins(3)P undergoes dual pathways of metabolism. (A) Lipid-dependent pathway: In this route, *myo*-inositol (Ins) is generated from Ins(3)P by inositol monophosphatase (IMP). Ins then combines with CDP-diacylglycerol (CDP-DAG) to initiate the *de novo* synthesis of phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) by phosphatidylinositol synthase (PIS). PtdIns is a precursor for various phosphoinositides (PIPs), inositol phosphates (InsPs), and pyrophosphorylated inositol phosphates (PP-InsPs). (B) Lipid-independent pathway: Ins(3)P is converted into different InsPs and PP-InsPs. Enzymes shown in yellow have been proposed to have conserved functions across mammals, plants and yeast for the synthesis of indicated InsPs. Enzymes involved in InsPs and PP-InsPs synthesis and degradation are differentiated by color: black for yeast, red for mammals and blue for plants. The underlined IP6Ks and ITPK1 enzymes possess ADP phosphotransferase activity in mammals and plants, respectively. The function of several enzymes, in particular those involved in lower InsP biosynthesis, is based on *in vitro* activity. The asterisk (*) on Vip1 shows this enzyme has a dedicated kinase and/or phosphatase activity at carbon D-1 position of InsP₂ and InsP₈. "P' symbolizes the phosphate group $PQ_4^{3^-}$ (or protonated states). The membrane structure is adapted from the "membrane phospholipid bilayer" template, by BioRender.com (2023). Retrieved from https://app.biorender.com/biorender-templates. Arabidopsis has two IPMK isoforms that are named IPK2 α and IPK2 β respectively.

metabolism (Szijgyarto et al., 2011; Shears, 2018), cell migration (Jadav et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2017) and apoptosis (Koldobskiy et al., 2010; Rao et al., 2014). Numerous pathologies have been associated with deranged activities of PP-InsP-metabolizing enzymes, such as male sterility (Malla and Bhandari, 2017; Fu et al., 2018; Bhat et al., 2024), neurological diseases (Fu et al., 2015), type-2 diabetes and obesity (Chakraborty et al., 2010), sight and hearing loss (Yousaf et al., 2018; Khaled et al., 2019), and different types of cancer (Jadav et al., 2016; Chakraborty, 2018; Lee et al., 2020). In some cases, such as male sterility and neurological diseases, it remains unresolved whether pathologic disorders are caused by deranged PP-InsP metabolism or defective enzyme-independent protein-protein interactions in which these kinases participate.

In yeast, PP-InsPs play key roles in the nucleus, where they were shown to regulate telomere length maintenance (Saiardi et al., 2005), mRNA export (York et al., 1999; Tsui and York, 2010), transcription (Lee et al., 2007; Alcázar-Román and Wente, 2008; Steidle et al., 2020) and kinetochore architecture and entry into mitosis (Kuenzel et al., 2022). Several studies employing yeast mutants with altered PP-InsP metabolism propose that such a regulation is particularly relevant during responses to environmental stress (Morrissette and Rolfes, 2020). For instance, the 5-InsP₇-deficient mutant $kcs1\Delta$ is sensitive to osmotic and cell wall stresses (Dubois et al., 2002), and is defective in sporulation and pseudohyphal growth upon carbon and nitrogen starvation (Kloimwieder and Winston, 2011; Norman et al., 2018). On the other hand, the *siw14* Δ yeast strain, whose lack of PP-InsP hydrolase activity results in elevated 5-InsP₇ and InsP₈ levels, displays increased resistance to heat, osmotic, oxidative and nutritional stresses (Morrissette and Rolfes, 2020; Steidle et al., 2020).

Also in plants, PP-InsPs are involved in responses to environmental conditions. Recent efforts from several research groups have, for instance, identified InsP₈ and to some extent 5-InsP₇ as proxies for inorganic phosphate (Pi) sensing in Arabidopsis, via a mechanism that involves PP-InsP binding to SPX domain-containing proteins (Wild et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Land et al., 2021; Riemer et al., 2021; Gulabani et al., 2022). Besides acting as modulators of Pihomeostasis, PP-InsPs also compose the signaling machinery employed in jasmonic acid (JA)-, salicylic acid (SA)- and auxindependent responses, therefore playing important roles in the resistance against pathogens and herbivores (Laha et al., 2015; Gulabani et al., 2022), as well as in plant development (Laha et al., 2022). Interestingly, plant InsP7 is dominated by an unusual 4/6-InsP7 isomer of unknown function while 1/3-InsP7 and 5-InsP7, isomers also found in all other eukaryotes, are less abundant (Riemer et al., 2021). In contrast to 5-InsP7 and InsP8, the

4/6-InsP₇ isomer is not mis-regulated in a Pi over-accumulating Arabidopsis *pho2* mutant, it does not show the strong overshoot reaction observed for 1/3-InsP₇ and InsP₈ after Pi resupply, and is therefore likely not involved in Pi signaling (Riemer et al., 2021). In a recent study, members of the inositol polyphosphate multikinase (IPMK) family have been shown to be involved in 4/6-InsP₇ synthesis in Arabidopsis and in the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* (Yadav et al., 2023). However, since knockouts of the *M. polymorpha* single *IPMK* gene display only an approximately 30% reduction in 4/6-InsP₇, and because both Arabidopsis and *M. polymorpha ipmk* mutant plants display additional defects in lower InsPs, it remains unresolved whether IPMKs have a direct or rather more indirect role in 4/6-InsP₇ synthesis.

The type of interaction PP-InsPs engage with proteins determines the mode by which these molecules regulate cellular signaling. PP-InsPs can bind allosterically to proteins or act as competitor ligands to modulate, e.g., their function, localization, stability or subsequent protein interactions (Lee et al., 2020). Several of the previously mentioned SPX domains, for instance, were shown to bind preferentially to 5-InsP7 and 1,5-InsP8 via conserved basic surface clusters (Wild et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2019; Ried et al., 2021), an association that triggers various responses in different organisms (Wild et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis, for instance, InsP8 binding to SPX receptors promotes association of the PP-InsP/SPX complex with MYB-like PHR transcription factors (Wild et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019), known master regulators of phosphate starvation responses (PSRs) (Bustos et al., 2010). These components (i.e., PP-InsP, SPX and PHR) were shown to interact upon Pi-sufficient conditions, thus downregulating the expression of Pi starvation-induced (PSI) genes. In agreement with this, PHR1 dissociates from SPX1 under conditions of low Pi availability, where InsP₈ levels drop substantially, leading to subsequent dissociation of the PHR transcription factor, which is then able to bind promoters of PSI genes to activate PSRs (Wild et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Land et al., 2021; Riemer et al., 2021; Gulabani et al., 2022). Another mode of action exerted by PP-InsPs involves competitive binding against other ligands. For instance, the PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃-dependent plasma membrane localization of the mammalian serine/threonine kinase Akt takes place via the protein's pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, and 5-InsP₇ was shown to weaken this interaction by outcompeting the phosphoinositide ligand (Chakraborty et al., 2010).

PP-InsPs also operate via protein pyrophosphorylation, a non-enzymatic post-translational modification in which prephosphorylated serine residues accept the high-energy β -phosphate from PP-InsPs (Ganguli et al., 2020). This process has been reported to regulate several yeast and mammalian proteins, however, until the onset of this review, no studies investigating PP-InsP-dependent pyrophosphorylation of plant proteins have been published. Here we provide an overview on protein pyrophosphorylation by PP-InsPs, including the early discoveries that paved the way for this exciting research field. We also discuss the reasoning behind the skepticism in coining protein pyrophosphorylation as a novel post-translational modification, and describe how recent advances in massspectrometry helped to finally identify pyrophosphorylated proteins from complex samples. At last, we provide insights into physiological processes in plants that may potentially be regulated by PP-InsP-mediated protein pyrophosphorylation, with a focus on the protein kinase CK2.

2 PP-InsPs as protagonists of a novel post-translational modification

The first time a possible involvement of PP-InsPs in phosphotransfer reactions was proposed dates over 30 years ago (Stephens et al., 1993). However, it was only almost a decade later that a PP-InsP species, namely InsP7, was shown to in fact phosphorylate eukaryotic proteins in vitro (Saiardi et al., 2004). By incubating radiolabeled PP-InsP (i.e., 5β [³²P]InsP₇) with extracts of mouse brain and budding yeast, the authors detected various phosphorylated proteins, some of which were isolated and identified by mass spectrometry. Three InsP₇ targets in budding yeast, i.e., the nucleolar proteins NSR1 and SRP40, and the uncharacterized protein YGR130c, were then further evaluated, leading to important conclusions that laid the ground for subsequent studies on protein pyrophosphorylation by PP-InsPs. These include determining some of the hallmarks of this process: i) the phosphotransfer occurs nonenzymatically, ii) it depends on divalent cations with a preference for Mg²⁺, and iii) protein targets should harbor serine-rich domains flanked by acidic amino acids (Saiardi et al., 2004).

In a follow-up study, the Snyder group also observed that the nucleolar proteins isolated in the Saiardi et al. (2004) work were not phosphorylated by $5\beta[^{32}P]$ InsP₇ when ectopically expressed in *E. coli*, in contrast to the robust phosphorylation detected in yeast-purified proteins (Bhandari et al., 2007). This raised the question of which factor is exclusively found in the eukaryotic system that allowed proteins to be phosphorylated by InsP7. The authors differentially treated a bacteriaexpressed NSR1 fragment with yeast extracts and/or with the serine/ threonine protein kinase CK2 (previously called casein kinase II), and confirmed that InsP7 can only phosphorylate targets that had been previously undergone CK2-dependent phosphorylation (Bhandari et al., 2007). By employing in vitro phosphorylation assays of synthetic peptides harboring either methylated or pyrophosphorylated serines, combined with mass spectrometry analyses, important conclusions could then be drawn. First, InsP7 exclusively modifies serine residues via pyrophosphorylation. Moreover, the properties of the InsP7-derived pyrophosphate bonds differ from those in phosphoserines in terms of acid lability and phosphatase resistance. While pyrophosphoserines are more easily hydrolyzed by HCl than ATP-derived phosphoserines, they remained inert to enzymatic phosphatase treatment (Bhandari et al., 2007). The authors also tested whether PP-InsPs other than 5-InsP₇ could pyrophosphorylate proteins. For that, radiolabeled products of the budding yeast PPIP5K Vip1, at the onset of that work claimed to be 4/6-InsP7 and InsP8, were used. Both PP-InsPs, later found to correspond to the 1-InsP₇ and 1,5-InsP₈ isomers (Lin et al., 2009; Shears et al., 2017; Dollins et al., 2020), could indeed pyrophosphorylate proteins in vitro indistinctively from 5-InsP7 (Bhandari et al., 2007). Such findings suggest a general role of PP-InsPs in regulating protein function via pyrophosphorylation.

3 Functional relevance of protein pyrophosphorylation by PP-InsPs

Only a handful of studies address the functional consequences of protein pyrophosphorylation by inositol pyrophosphates (Azevedo et al., 2009; Szijgyarto et al., 2011; Thota et al., 2015; Chanduri et al., 2016; Lolla et al., 2021). Nevertheless, these few reports provide important insights into this novel post-translational modification and its physiological relevance in yeast and mammals. It is important to note, though, that the detection methods employed in those studies failed to confirm whether proteins could be in fact pyrophosphorylated *in vivo*. Notably, a novel phosphoproteomics approach has recently been reported, demonstrating its reliability in detecting pyrophosphorylated proteins isolated from human cell lines (Morgan et al., 2022). This breakthrough not only confirms the occurrence of protein pyrophosphorylation *in vivo*, but will also enable numerous applications in the field. Considerations on this method will be discussed in the subsequent section.

The first effort addressing the functions of InsP7-mediated pyrophosphorylation focused on the AP3B1 subunit of the human adaptor protein complex AP-3 (Azevedo et al., 2009). Adaptor proteins are involved in cargo sorting in vesicles, and the AP-3 complex in particular is specialized in localizing proteins to lysosomal compartments (Dell'Angelica et al., 1999). Results obtained from in vitro phosphorylation assays confirmed that AP3B1 is an InsP7 target primed via CK2-dependent phosphorylation at specific serine-rich acidic regions (Figure 2A), which were mapped at the subunit's hinge domain (Azevedo et al., 2009). In an attempt to indirectly address in vivo pyrophosphorylation of AP3B1, "backphosphorylation" experiments were performed. The rationale behind this method is the assumption that, should AP3B1 be endogenously pyrophosphorylated in InsP7-rich cells, the protein would be less prone to accept radiolabeled phosphate of 5B[32P]InsP7 in vitro, and viceversa. To test this hypothesis, the AP3B1 subunit was expressed in $kcs1\Delta$ and $vip1\Delta$ yeast mutant strains, which lack or accumulate high levels of endogenous 5-InsP7 contents, respectively (Azevedo et al., 2009). The authors indeed observed a much stronger 5β ³²P]InsP₇dependent pyrophosphorylation signal of AP3B1 derived from $kcs1\Delta$ extracts lacking 5-InsP7, when compared to WT-isolated AP3B1. On the other hand, vip1A mutants with high 5-InsP7 contents delivered AP3B1 that was barely phosphorylated by 5β ³²P]InsP₇ (Azevedo et al., 2009). An electrophoretic mobility shift was also observed in GST-AP3B1 expressed in WT and kcs1\Delta yeast strains, which was interpreted as a further indication that AP3B1 is (pyro)phosphorylated by InsP7 in *vivo*. A gel shift of 5β ³²P]InsP₇-pyrophosphorylated AP3B1, however, was not visualized. This discrepancy was hypothesized to occur due to stoichiometry differences of the in vitro and in vivo experiments (Azevedo et al., 2009), or to uncharacterized components involved in this or possibly other post-translational modifications (Azevedo et al., 2009, 2015). To address the functional importance of InsP₇-driven pyrophosphorylation of AP3B1, Kif3A, a motor protein from the kinesin superfamily was isolated as an AP3B1 interacting partner. The AP3B1-Kif3A association was then shown to be inhibited when AP3B1 was pyrophosphorylated, negatively affecting the Kif3A's ability to drive the release of HIV1 particles from cells (Azevedo et al., 2009).

Dynein, which belongs to another class of motor proteins, has also been shown to be regulated by PP-InsPs in mammals and slime mold, thereby influencing vesicle transport (Chanduri et al., 2016). Cells with disrupted InsP₆ kinase activity are defective in several dynein-dependent processes, such as cargo exit from early to recycling endosomes, Golgi morphology and vesicle mobility (Chanduri et al., 2016). The dynein intermediate chain 2C (IC-2C), a noncatalytic subunit which has been previously shown to be phosphorylated by CK2 (Karki et al., 1997; Vaughan et al., 2001), was then identified as a target of InsP₇-dependent pyrophosphorylation at an N-terminal serine flanked by acidic residues (Chanduri et al., 2016). "Back-phosphorylation" assays from proteins isolated either from Ip6k1^{-/-} or Ip6k1^{+/+} mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs, with low and normal PP-InsP contents, respectively), strongly suggest that IC-2C is also pyrophosphorylated in vivo. This modification was shown to promote IC-2C binding to the p150^{Glued} subunit of dynactin (Figure 2B), a key dynein co-factor (Vaughan et al., 2001; Chanduri et al., 2016). In this case, weaker IC-p150^{Glued} interactions were detected in Ip6k1-/- cells lacking PP-InsPs than in WT cells, and binding of dynein to membranes was decreased in the absence of PP-InsPs. Taken together, the results from Chanduri et al. (2016) indicate that 5-InsP7 positively modulates dyneindynactin association and function via pyrophosphorylation of the dynein IC-2C subunit (Figure 2B).

Changes in protein-protein interactions by PP-InsP-dependent pyrophosphorylation were also reported to regulate transcription of glycolytic genes in budding yeast (Szijgyarto et al., 2011). However, in this, as well as in the previous work from the Saiardi's lab (Azevedo et al., 2009), this post-translational modification destabilized the interaction of proteins to their binding partners (Szijgyarto et al., 2011). The mechanism proposed by the latter study involves the major glycolytic transcription factors GCR1, GCR2 and RAP1, the first of which can be pyrophosphorylated by InsP₇ (Figure 2D). Both GCR1 and RAP1 bind to promoter regions of glycolytic genes, and this association is facilitated by interactions with GCR2, thereby promoting gene expression (Figure 2D). On the other hand, InsP₇-driven pyrophosphorylation of GCR1 weakens the association of GCR1/RAP1 to GCR2, and transcription of glycolytic genes is repressed (Szijgyarto et al., 2011).

The identification of nucleolar proteins as targets of InsP₇dependent pyrophosphorylation (Saiardi et al., 2004; Bhandari et al., 2007) already spoke for a contribution of PP-InsPs in ribosome biogenesis, a process that starts in the nucleolus with transcription of pre-rRNA by RNA Polymerase I (Pol I) (Greber, 2016). Such an involvement is supported by the observation that yeast $kcs1\Delta$ mutants show disturbances in protein synthesis, most likely a result of the deranged ribosome biogenesis observed in these strains (Thota et al., 2015). This could be measured by the lower levels of rRNA transcription due to compromised elongation activity of Pol I and, consequently, reduced ribosomal content in $kcs1\Delta$ when compared to WT yeast. RNA Polymerase I was then identified as a target of InsP7-mediated pyrophosphorylation (Figure 2E), and putative CK2-primed serine residues were mapped on subunits A190, A43 and A34.5, mainly at C-terminal acidic clusters specific to Pol I (i.e., absent in Pol II, Pol III and



FIGURE 2

Protein pyrophosphorylation regulates different cellular processes in mammals and yeast. (A) 5-InsP₇-mediated AP3B1 pyrophosphorylation regulates HIV-1 Gag release in human cells. Phosphorylation of AP3B1 by CK2 promotes AP3B1-Kif3A interaction, which in turn activates HIV-I Gag release out of the cell. Further pyrophosphorylation of CK2-primed AP3B1 by 5-InsP₇ prevents AP3B1-Kif3A interaction, decreasing HIV-I Gag release. (B) 5-InsP₇-mediated dynein pyrophosphorylation allows dynein-dynactin association in mammalian cells for regulating dynein-dependent cellular processes, such as endosomal sorting, Golgi morphology maintenance and vesicle trafficking. (C) Pyrophosphorylation of CK2-primed MYC promotes interaction of MYC and FBW7, a component of the SCF (Skp1/Cul1/F-box protein) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. This interaction triggers MYC polyubiquitination and degradation, thereby regulating MYC levels to control cell survival and proliferation. (D) The binding of GCR1 and RAP1 to the promoter region of glycolytic genes is facilitated by GCR2 interaction with CK2 primed GCR1, which promotes transcription of glycolytic genes. On the other hand, InsP₇-mediated pyrophosphorylation of GCR1 weakens GCR1/RAP1 association with GCR2, and transcription is repressed. (E) Putative phosphorylation of Pol I by CK2 could facilitate 5-InsP7-mediated pyrophosphorylation of Pol I, thereby regulating ribosome biogenesis. The question mark indicates that ribosome biogenesis could be controlled by InsP₂-mediated pyrophosphorylation of Pol I at several sites and/or of unknown factors. (F) UAP1 catalyzes IRF3 pyrophosphorylation in the presence of 5-InsP7 to promote antiviral responses in mice cells. UAP1mediated pyrophosphorylation of IRF3 at S386 promotes IRF3 phosphorylation at S396 and IRF3 dimerization. Pyrophosphorylation at S386 enhances UAP1 binding to TBK1, thereby promoting subsequent IRF3 phosphorylation at specific sites for inducing antiviral responses. The mammalian and fungal cell structures are reprinted from "generic animal cell and fungal cell", by BioRender.com (2023). Retrieved from https://app.biorender.com/biorender-templates.

respective subunit counterparts). Even though these sites were confirmed to accept the β -phosphate of $5\beta[^{32}P]$ InsP₇ in pyrophosphorylation assays, yeast mutant strains lacking the mapped pyrophosphoserines failed to reproduce the protein synthesis phenotype of *kcs1* Δ . This observation suggests that 5-InsP₇ regulates Pol I-dependent transcriptional elongation via a combined effect, not only on the three subunits evaluated in the Thota et al. (2015) study, but potentially by pyrophosphorylating yet unidentified sites of other Pol I subunits (Figure 2E) and/or additional elongation factors (Thota et al., 2015). The molecular mechanisms by which transcriptional elongation of rRNA in yeast cells is influenced by InsP₇-mediated pyrophosphorylation remain to be elucidated.

Another study from the Bhandari group reported that, in mammals, InsP₇-dependent pyrophosphorylation also controls the levels of the MYC transcription factor by regulating its polyubiquitination preceding proteosomal degradation (Lolla et al., 2021). The InsP₇-target site, termed by the authors as "pyro-phosphodegron", was mapped to the C-terminus of the MYC's PEST domain (Figure 2C), a region present in several short-lived proteins degraded via the ubiquitin pathway

(Rechsteiner and Rogers, 1996; Meyer et al., 2011). In the case of MYC, pyrophosphorylation of the PEST domain by 5-InsP₇ promotes its binding to FBW7, a component of the SCF (Skp1/ Cul1/F-box protein) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex known to mediate the degradation of several proteins involved in cell fate (Koepp et al., 2001; Welcker and Clurman, 2007). The interaction of FBW7 to pyrophosphorylated MYC leads to MYC polyubiquitination and degradation, fine-tuning its levels to regulate cell survival and proliferation (Lolla et al., 2021). To exemplify this last conclusion, the increase of MYC stability via i) the expression of pyrophosphorylation-deficient MYC in $myc^{-/-}$ rat fibroblasts, as well as ii) by depleting InsP₇ contents in $Ip6k1^{-/-}$ mice-derived spleens, led to increased cell death in conditions of cellular stress, and higher mitogen-stimulated cellular proliferation, respectively (Lolla et al., 2021).

Finally, pyrophosphorylation of the mice interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) at one specific serine (Ser 386) was shown to be required for proper protein activation and function in promoting antiviral responses (Yang et al., 2023). Notably, IRF3 pyrophosphorylation is proposed to rely not only on 5-InsP₇, but also on the activity of the metabolic enzyme UDP-N-

acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase 1 (UAP1) (Figure 2F; Yang et al., 2023). These recent findings confront the notion of protein pyrophosphorylation being a non-enzymatic process, and indicate that unexplored additional factors could also promote PP-InsP-mediated protein pyrophosphorylation.

4 Recent advances in identifying protein pyrophosphorylation *in vivo*

In all studies addressing the functional relevance of InsP7-mediated pyrophosphorylation mentioned above, the actual in vivo occurrence of pyrophosphotransfer to proteins was implied from indirect measurements via "back-phosphorylation" assays. Despite being an elegant method that supports that endogenous InsP7 is involved in phosphotransfer reactions, ultimate conclusions taken by the usage of "back-phosphorylation" assays were accepted with caution by the scientific community. This skepticism can be justified by an alternative interpretation of the "back-phosphorylation" results, which takes in consideration evidences suggesting that CK2 activity can be modulated by inositol phosphates (Kim et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2014). As pointed out in a commentary by Stephen Shears (Shears, 2010), the high $InsP_7$ levels in WT or $vip1\Delta$ yeast could have hindered CK2-mediated serine phosphorylation of AP3B1 in vivo (Azevedo et al., 2009), rendering a protein that is not primed to accept radiolabeled β-phosphate in vitro. On the other hand, in InsP₇-depleted $kcs1\Delta$ strain, the unaffected CK2 activity would have led to monophosphorylated AP3B1 that could be robustly pyrophosphorylated in vitro. In other words, it might be possible that the "back-phosphorylation" assay did not reflect pyrophosphorylation of proteins, but a CK2-mediated monophosphorylation instead (Shears, 2010).

In the past decade, an impressive effort has been made to overcome the technical challenges pacing down the understanding of PP-InsP biology. The improvement of PP-InsP detection methods (Qiu et al., 2020, 2021; Riemer et al., 2021), as well as the development of new chemical tools, such as the synthesis of PP-InsPs analogs, standards and chiral selectors, have been crucial to uncover, e.g., PP-InsP enantiomeric identities, binding partners and function (Wu et al., 2013, 2014; Pavlovic et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2016; Pavlovic et al., 2016; Blüher et al., 2017; Harmel et al., 2019). Besides, the development of synthetic pyrophosphopeptides (Marmelstein et al., 2014) and affinity reagents for the enrichment of pyrophosphorylated proteins (Conway and Fiedler, 2015), combined with the optimization of mass spectrometry methods (Penkert et al., 2019) have been fundamental to the field, since these progresses finally enabled the in vivo detection of protein pyrophosphorylation (Morgan et al., 2022).

In the latter work, the Fiedler's group established a novel workflow for pyrophosphoproteomics (Morgan et al., 2022) by systematically tackling the technical challenges of this approach. For instance, a set of synthetic standards was used to determine a characteristic neutral loss of pyrophosphopeptides, which was then used as a trigger for proteomic analyses (Penkert et al., 2017). This allowed, e.g., the distinction of peptides harboring one pyrophosphate moiety from peptides with two monophosphorylated residues, which was previously not possible due to their isobaric nature (Penkert et al., 2017). Besides, fragmentation parameters based on electron-transfer and higher energy collisional dissociation (EThcD) (Penkert et al., 2019), as well as sample preparation procedures were optimized to reliably identify pyrophosphosites in proteins derived from complex samples (Morgan et al., 2022).

The newly established pyrophosphoproteomics workflow was then employed with two human cell lines, i.e., HEK293T and HCT116, from which 108 and 78 pyrophosphosites distributed in 40 and 33 proteins were identified, respectively (Morgan et al., 2022). Subsequent sequence alignment and prediction analyses revealed that almost all pyrophosphoproteins harbor a CK2 consensus sequence. Some pyrophosphosites, however, are found in proline-directed kinase consensus sequences, and some were not predicted to be phosphorylated by any of those two types of kinases. These findings not only confirm the key role of CK2 in priming residues that undergo PP-InsP-dependent pyrophosphorylation, but also indicate that additional kinases could be involved in this process (Morgan et al., 2022). Another requisite for protein pyrophosphorylation that was recently proposed is that the target residues should lie within an intrinsically disordered region (IDR) of the protein (Ganguli et al., 2020). This hallmark could be confirmed by in silico analyses of protein targets identified in the pyrophosphoproteomics approach (Morgan et al., 2022), which predicted the localization of over 90% of the pyrophosphosites to IDRs. Finally, gene ontology analyses revealed that the great majority of the identified targets of protein pyrophosphorylation are involved in nuclear and nucleolar functions, including RNA processing and ribosome biogenesis (Morgan et al., 2022), which is in line with findings from previous studies, as mentioned above (Saiardi et al., 2004; Bhandari et al., 2007; Thota et al., 2015). In fact, two candidates were shown to be heavily pyrophosphorylated: the nucleolar and coiled-body phosphoprotein 1 (NOLC1), and the Treacher Collins syndrome protein 1 (TCOF1), both previously shown to undergo 5β [³²P]InsP₇-dependent pyrophosphorylation *in* vitro (Saiardi et al., 2004; Bhandari et al., 2007).

Is endogenous pyrophosphorylation of those proteins dependent on PP-InsPs? To assess this, three representatives (NOLC1, TCOF1 and the nuclear protein IWS1) were co-expressed with either active or inactive IPK6 in Ip6k1^{-/-} HEK293T cells (i.e., lacking 5-InsP₇), then purified and evaluated by neutral loss-triggered EThcD MS. As expected, protein fragments derived from cells expressing active IP6K presented several neutral-loss triggers that correspond to pyrophosphorylated residues in all three samples. In contrast, cells lacking 5-InsP₇ failed to provide even a single peptide inferred to be pyrophosphorylated (Morgan et al., 2022). Besides, several pyrophosphorylated proteins, including NOLC1 and TCOF1, are annotated to localize to the nucleolar fibrillar center (FC), where InsP₆ kinase isoforms also reside. Such a co-localization of IP6Ks and targets of protein pyrophosphorylation in the FC suggests that a local synthesis of 5-InsP7 would facilitate pyrophosphotransfer to proteins in this nucleolar sub-compartment.

The development of a technology that finally enables the detection of protein pyrophosphorylation by PP-InsPs *in vivo* opens new avenues for applications in different organisms, including plants. Nevertheless, the pyrophosphoproteomics

approach is still evolving and some technical issues remain to be solved. For instance, as pointed out by Morgan et al. (2022), the level of candidate overlap between biological replicates can be improved. This already indicates that not all pyrophosphosites were identified, and that optimization of the method, e.g., by improving enrichment steps to exclude remaining nonpyrophosphorylated material from the samples is required.

5 Can plant proteins be pyrophosphorylated?

No evidence of PP-InsP-mediated pyrophosphorylation of plant proteins has been reported until now. Still, we find it appropriate to discuss a few aspects that suggest this novel posttranslational modification might be relevant in plants as well. A key requirement for proteins to be pyrophosphorylated is the CK2dependent priming of serine residues (Bhandari et al., 2007). The observation of this phenomenon in both yeast (Bhandari et al., 2007; Szijgyarto et al., 2011; Thota et al., 2015) and animal (Azevedo et al., 2009; Chanduri et al., 2016) systems, along with the structural conservation and wide eukaryotic distribution of CK2 protein kinases, could represent a starting point to explore the roles of protein pyrophosphorylation in plants. Protein kinase CK2, formerly called "casein kinase II", is a serine/threonine kinase consisting of two catalytic subunits (α and/or α) and two regulatory β subunits (Litchfield, 2003; Wang et al., 2022a). Differently than the few genes encoding the animal orthologs, the plant CK2 is a multigene family. For instance, the Arabidopsis α and β subunits of CK2 are each encoded by four genes (Salinas et al., 2006), rice CK2 α and CK2 β are encoded by four and two genes, respectively (Chen et al., 2015), and in maize, four isoforms encoding the CK2 α subunit and three cDNA clones encoding the CK2 β subunit have been identified (Riera et al., 2001; Łebska et al., 2009), conferring significant functional redundancy among the CK2 homologs (Salinas et al., 2006). CK2 phosphorylates proteins harbouring a minimal consensus sequence defined as Ser/Thr-X-X-Asp/Glu, where variations may also occur (Pinna, 2003). The substrate recognition is proposed to be mediated by a "basic cluster" that is unique to CK2 protein kinases, and is found in the catalytic subunits of mammalian and plant CK2 crystal structures (Niefind et al., 2001; Demulder et al., 2020).

Several CK2 targets have been identified in plants, the most of which are transcription factors or regulatory proteins (Mulekar and Huq, 2014; Wang et al., 2022a) involved in essential cellular processes such as protein translation and cell cycle regulation, as well as in development and environmental responses. Protein translation, for instance, was proposed to be regulated by CK2dependent phosphorylation of several translation initiation factors, including eIF2 α , eIF2 β , eIF3c, eIF4B, eIF5, and the plant-specific histone deacetylase 2B (HD2B) in Arabidopsis and wheat (Browning et al., 1985; Dennis and Browning, 2009). Interestingly, the Morgan et al. (2022) study revealed an overrepresentation of this biological process in targets of InsP₇-dependent pyrophosphorylation, including two translation initiation factors, namely EIF3C and EIF5B. Also pyrophosphorylated peptides from the mammalian Class I histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2) were identified (Morgan et al., 2022). The latter does not share sequence homology to the Arabidopsis HD2B, but both proteins have been implicated in nuclear or nucleolar processes, which are in stark display among those modulated by pyrophosphorylated proteins (Saiardi et al., 2004; Bhandari et al., 2007; Thota et al., 2015). While mammalian HDAC2 is a key transcriptional regulator (Yamakawa et al., 2017), the plant HD2B has been shown to drive pre-RNA processing and ribosome biogenesis (Yamakawa et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). It is worth mentioning that structural and functional analyses of two additional members of the mammalian Class I histone deacetylases (i.e., HDAC1 and HDAC3) revealed that they are activated by inositol phosphates (Watson et al., 2012; Millard et al., 2013; Watson et al., 2016). In this case, InsPs bind allosterically to a pocket at the interface of HDACs and transcriptional co-repressor proteins. Also the budding yeast class I HDAC Rpd3L was shown to be activated by PP-InsPs (Worley et al., 2013), which are proposed to bind to a conserved InsP-binding site corresponding to those identified in crystal structures of the human homologs (Watson et al., 2012; Millard et al., 2013; Worley et al., 2013). No indication of PP-InsP-derived pyrophosphorylation was reported in those studies, though. Still, HDAC2 was unambiguously identified as a pyrophosphorylation target (Morgan et al., 2022), which could represent an additional layer of HDAC activity regulation. Moreover, a high-throughput phosphoproteomics approach in Arabidopsis and rice identified conserved phosphorylated peptides derived from AtHDA1/19 and Os06g38470, orthologs that belong to Class I HDACs (Nakagami et al., 2010). Intriguingly, several of those HDAC-derived peptides were predicted to be monophosphorylated and still displayed a negative charge of -4, instead of -2, which is expected for a single added phosphate (Nakagami et al., 2010). We are aware that such charge differences could derive from several factors. That being said, the hypothesis that they might be mirroring a pyrophosphate moiety is not unlikely. Besides, all the phosphoserines identified in the Arabidopsis and rice HDAC proteins lie within a highly acidic region (Nakagami et al., 2010) typically found in CK2consensus sequences, and represent therefore potential targets of PP-InsP-derived pyrophosphorylation.

Important roles of CK2 in regulating inorganic phosphate (Pi) homeostasis have been reported in rice (Chen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020). Under sufficient Pi conditions, the rice CK2 α 3/ β 3 holoenzyme phosphorylates the PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER OsPT8, thereby inhibiting its interaction with PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER TRAFFIC FACILITATOR1 (OsPHF1). Such an interaction prevents OsPT8 exit from the ER to the plasma membrane, resulting in decreased Pi uptake (Chen et al., 2015). The authors also showed that the regulation of OsPT8 activity relies on the phosphorylation status of the CK2 β 3 subunit itself, via a negative feedback loop mechanism dependent on plant Pi status. That is, under Pi-limited conditions, unphosphorylated CK2B3 is degraded, which allows PT8-PHF1 interaction, facilitating OsPT8 exit to plasma membrane, ultimately leading to increase of Pi uptake (Chen et al., 2015). In this case, OsPT8 phosphorylation appears to require both regulatory and catalytic subunits of CK2 α 3/

 β 3 (Chen et al., 2015). In a subsequent study, the rice OsCK2 α 3 subunit was shown to be sufficient to phosphorylate OsPHO2 (PHOSPHATE2), thereby facilitating its rapid degradation (Wang et al., 2020). OsPHO2 is homologous to the Arabidopsis ubiquitinconjugating (UBC) E2 enzyme PHO2, which mediates degradation of high affinity phosphate transporters (Huang et al., 2013; Park et al., 2014), as well as of PHO1 (Liu et al., 2012), whose homologs are involved in Pi root-to-shoot translocation. The rice PHO1 protein was also identified as an OsPHO2 target and its degradation shown to regulate Pi homeostasis (Wang et al., 2020). Based on data resulting from both studies (Chen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020), the authors speculate that, under sufficient Pi conditions, $CK2\alpha 3/\beta 3$ help maintain physiological Pi levels by promoting i) the retention of phosphorylated phosphate transporters (PTs) in the ER, thereby reducing Pi uptake from the soil, and with ii) Pi translocation for storage in vacuoles via OsPHO2-OsPHO1 pathway. In contrast, low internal Pi levels favor phosphorylation and de-stabilization of the CK2β3 subunit, which leads to a decrease in PT phosphorylation and subsequently its plasma membrane localization. In parallel, CK2β3 destabilization results in more "free" stand-alone CK2a3 that phosphorylates OsPHO2, further promoting Pi mobilization to shoots to sustain shoot growth (Wang et al., 2020). Several recent studies determined that inositol (pyro)phosphates play fundamental roles during phosphate starvation responses (PSRs) in several organisms, including plants. In Arabidopsis and rice, for instance, the promoter binding capacity of PHR transcription factors were proposed to be modulated by binding to SPXdomain containing proteins, a process that is dependent on PP-InsPs (i.e., by stabilizing the PHR-SPX interaction), therefore blocking expression of PSI genes at Pi-replete conditions (Wild et al., 2016; Dong et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Land et al., 2021; Riemer et al., 2021; Gulabani et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2024). Even though this transcriptional control occurs likely via PP-InsP allosteric binding to basic clusters at the surface of SPX domains (Wild et al., 2016), one cannot exclude that these molecules also modulate Pi responses at post-transcriptional levels downstream of PHR activation, in addition to SPX binding. Combining the knowledge that CK2 activity can be regulated by PP-InsPs in mammals (Rao et al., 2014) and that plant PP-InsP levels are drastically influenced by internal Pi conditions (Riemer et al., 2021), along with the roles CK2 play in Pi homeostasis, additional layers of PP-InsP-dependent regulation via, e.g., protein pyrophosphorylation could also be interesting to explore.

In light signalling, CK2 regulates plant development by phosphorylating positive regulators of photomorphogenesis, HY5 (ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5) and HFR1 (LONG HYPOCOTYL IN FAR-RED LIGHT 1) transcription factors in the presence of light (Hardtke et al., 2000) and by promoting degradation of the negative regulators, such as PIF1 (PHY INTERACTING FACTOR 1) (Bu et al., 2011). In line with this, phytochrome-B-mediated red-light signalling regulates plant phosphate acquisition by modulating HY5 transcription factors and phytochrome interacting factors (PIF) PIF4/PIF5 (Sakuraba et al., 2018). Future work will have to show whether PP-InsPs are involved in such a modulation and if CK2-dependent regulation of light signaling might also involve PP-InsP-dependent protein pyrophosphorylation.

A recent study reported that CK2 also phosphorylates MYC2, a master transcription factor involved in jasmonic acid (JA) signaling (Zhu et al., 2023). CK2-dependent phosphorylation triggered MYC2 binding to promoters of JA-responsive genes, regulating JA signaling and JA-dependent pathogen responses in Arabidopsis (Zhu et al., 2023). Interestingly, JA responses were reported to be also regulated by phosphorylation-coupled proteolysis of MYC2 (Zhai et al., 2013), a post-translational control that is common in mammalian systems but not fully explored in plants. It would be worth investigating whether also in plants, PP-InsPs are involved in this process, especially since InsP7-mediated pyrophosphorylation led to polyubiquitination and degradation of the mammalian MYC (Lolla et al., 2021). Besides, it is well established that PP-InsPs regulate JA-dependent responses in plants (Laha et al., 2015, 2016). In this case, InsP₈, which is generated by the Arabidopsis PPIP5K VIH2, was proposed to be key to defenses against necrotrophic fungi and herbivore attack by acting as a co-ligand in the ASK1-COI1-JAZ1 receptor complex, whose binding to active JA-Ile during JA responses leads to de-repression of transcription factors, including MYC2 (Browse, 2009; Laha et al., 2015). This idea was corroborated by recent findings that *itpk1* mutants, which are defective in the synthesis of the InsP₈ precursor 5-InsP₇, and which display strong PSRs (Kuo et al., 2018; Riemer et al., 2021), display deranged JA-dependent responses as well (Pullagurla et al., 2023).

Finally, whether enzymes similar to the mammalian UAP1 (Yang et al., 2023) also regulate PP-InsP-dependent pyrophosphorylation of plant proteins is for now only an intriguing subject to be speculated. In Arabidopsis, deficiency in N-acetylglucosamine-1-P uridylyltransferases GlcNAc.UT1 and GlcNAc.UT2 enzymes (i.e., homologs of UAP1) result in defects in protein-N-glycosylation, sterility and hypersensitivity to salt (Chen et al., 2022). In rice, loss of UAP activity resulted in early leaf senescence and upregulation of defense-responsive genes, along with ROS accumulation and induction of JA and abscisic acid (ABA) biosynthesis (Wang et al., 2015, 2021). It remains to be shown whether these phenotypes are caused by deranged UDP-GlcNAc biosynthesis, and in consequence altered N-glycosylation, or whether protein pyrophosphorylation might also be involved.

6 Conclusions and perspectives

The hypothesis that proteins are subjected to a novel type of post-translational modification involving inositol pyrophosphates remained elusive for several years, as it was only recently that pyrophosphorylated proteins could be unambiguously identified from complex biological samples (Morgan et al., 2022). In that study, however, the mass spectrometry workflow was applied to mammalian cells, and also the initial efforts to indirectly measure protein pyrophosphorylation focused solely on yeast and metazoan proteins.

PP-InsPs have been implicated in a plethora of processes in plants, but the molecular mechanisms by which they perform their functions

were only partially elucidated. In several cases, the mode of action utilized by these signalling molecules, i.e., whether they engage with proteins via allosteric binding, by outcompeting protein ligands, or via pyrophosphotransfer is unclear. In fact, there is so far no report on pyrophosphorylated plant proteins. This made us wonder how to approach this topic, and we pondered that a good starting point would be looking at the protein kinase CK2. We consider the broad distribution of CK2 homologs in plants, as well as the common pathways regulated by CK2 activity and PP-InsP signalling, hints that PP-InsP-mediated pyrophosphorylation also takes place in plants. It is still an open question whether PP-InsPs are involved in the regulation of plant CK2 itself or, importantly, if the CK2 protein targets are prone to further pyrophosphorylation. It would also be worth investigating whether plant PP-InsP-generating enzymes colocalize with CK2 subunits, as well as with putative targets of pyrophosphorylation. In the work of Rao et al. (2014), phosphorylation of members of the TTT (Tel2, Tti1, Tti2) cochaperone family by CK2 is regulated by selective binding of the protein kinase to IP6K2-derived 5-InsP7. IP6K2 was shown to directly associate with Tti1 but no physical interaction between CK2 and IP6K2 has been reported in this case. Nevertheless, these results strongly support that IP6K2, CK2 and its targets should reside in close proximity in order to promote downstream responses (Rao et al., 2014). Moreover, a recent protein interactome study revealed that the human IP6K1 interacts with both catalytic and regulatory CK2 subunits, as well as with several known pyrophosphorylation substrates, such as AP3B1, NOLC1, TCOF1 (Hamid et al., 2023). These findings provide important clues on the regulation of protein pyrophosphorylation, via a process that relies on localized synthesis of 5-InsP7 concomitant with a CK2-dependent priming of serine residues (Hamid et al., 2023). While the Arabidopsis InsP₆ kinase ITPK1 physically interacts with the TIR1 F-box protein component of the auxin receptor complex (Laha et al., 2022), it remains unclear whether this interaction evolved to stimulate auxin signaling by local production of 5-InsP₇ as a co-ligand of the auxin receptor or whether locally produced 5-InsP7 also pyrophosphorylates phosphoserine residues in this complex (Laha et al., 2022). Further, we believe that, despite a full repertoire of PP-InsPs including a 4/6-InsP7 not present in yeast and just recently identified in mammals (Riemer et al., 2021; Danye et al., 2022), as well a new PP-InsP4 isomer of unknown isomer identity (Riemer et al., 2021), the occurrence of this post-translational modification in plants has likely been masked by methodological challenges that hindered the detection of pyrophosphorylated proteins. It remains an interesting question whether different PP-InsP isomers have different substrate specificities towards their phosphoserine-containing protein targets. The seminal work of Bhandari et al. (2007) suggests no major differences in protein phosphorylation patterns when protein extracts obtained from budding yeast were incubated with either radiolabeled 5-InsP7 or Vip1-dependent InsP8 or 1-InsP7 (initially wrongly annotated as 4/6-InsP7), suggesting no differences in substrate specificities. However, the autoradiography of gel-separated protein extracts employed at the time was not very refined and reported only strong phosphorylation events, but not less abundant or weaker targets of PP-InsP-dependent pyrophosphorylation. In addition, 4/6-InsP7, or different PP-InsP4 isomers were not employed in these experiments. We envision that by taking advantage of recent advances in pyrophosphoproteomics, and by conducting similar *in vitro* experiments but also by analyzing plants with contrasting PP-InsP levels, could provide valuable insights for exploring this exciting role of PP-InsPs in plants. At last, and maybe most importantly, it will be an exciting endeavour to not only identify and map pyrophosphorylation sites in plants, but to learn more about the consequences of this modification on protein function and/or localization, and how this might influence cellular metabolism, growth and development, as well as responses to external stimuli, and in consequence, plant phenotypes in different environments.

Author contributions

YM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GS: Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MK: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. We acknowledge funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy, EXC-2070-390732324, PhenoRob and grants SCHA 1274/4-1, SCHA 1274/5-1. This work was supported by the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Bonn.

Acknowledgments

We thank Huanchen Wang (NIH/NIEHS) and Adolfo Saiardi (LMCB – University College London) for helpful discussions on the enantiomer identity of 1/3-InsP₇ and 1/3,5-InsP₈ in various eukaryotes.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The handling editor AP declared a past co-authorship with the author GS.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Alcázar-Román, A. R., and Wente, S. R. (2008). Inositol polyphosphates: a new frontier for regulating gene expression. *Chromosoma* 117, 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s00412-007-0126-4

Azevedo, C., Burton, A., Ruiz-Mateos, E., Marsh, M., and Saiardi, A. (2009). Inositol pyrophosphate mediated pyrophosphorylation of AP3B1 regulates HIV-1 Gag release. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 21161–21166. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909176106

Azevedo, C., Livermore, T., and Saiardi, A. (2015). Protein polyphosphorylation of lysine residues by inorganic polyphosphate. *Mol. Cell* 58, 71–82. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.02.010

Barker, C. J., French, P. J., Moore, A. J., Nilsson, T., Berggren, P. O., Bunce, C. M., et al. (1995). Inositol 1,2,3-trisphosphate and inositol 1,2- and/or 2,3-bisphosphate are normal constituents of mammalian cells. *Biochem. J.* 306, 557–564. doi: 10.1042/bj3060557

Berridge, M. J. (1986). Inositol trisphosphate and calcium mobilization. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 8 Suppl 8, S85–S90. doi: 10.1097/00005344-198600088-00018

Berridge, M. J. (1993). Inositol trisphosphate and calcium signalling. *Nature* 361, 315–325. doi: 10.1038/361315a0

Bhandari, R., Saiardi, A., Ahmadibeni, Y., Snowman, A. M., Resnick, A. C., Kristiansen, T. Z., et al. (2007). Protein pyrophosphorylation by inositol pyrophosphates is a posttranslational event. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 15305–15310. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0707338104

Bhat, S. A., Malla, A. B., Oddi, V., Sen, J., and Bhandari, R. (2024). Inositol hexakisphosphate kinase 1 is essential for cell junction integrity in the mouse seminiferous epithelium. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* 1871, 119596. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2023.119596

Blüher, D., Laha, D., Thieme, S., Hofer, A., Eschen-Lippold, L., Masch, A., et al. (2017). A 1-phytase type III effector interferes with plant hormone signaling. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 2159. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02195-8

Brearley, C. A., and Hanke, D. E. (2000). Metabolic relations of inositol 3,4,5,6tetrakisphosphate revealed by cell permeabilization. Identification of inositol 3,4,5,6tetrakisphosphate 1-kinase and inositol 3,4,5,6-tetrakisphosphate phosphatase activities in mesophyll cells. *Plant Physiol.* 122, 1209–1216. doi: 10.1104/pp.122.4.1209

Brown, N. W., Marmelstein, A. M., and Fiedler, D. (2016). Chemical tools for interrogating inositol pyrophosphate structure and function. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 45, 6311–6326. doi: 10.1039/C6CS00193A

Browning, K. S., Yan, T. F., Lauer, S. J., Aquino, L. A., Tao, M., and Ravel, J. M. (1985). Phosphorylation of wheat germ initiation factors and ribosomal proteins. *Plant Physiol.* 77, 370–373. doi: 10.1104/pp.77.2.370

Browse, J. (2009). Jasmonate passes muster: a receptor and targets for the defense hormone. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 60, 183–205. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.043008.092007

Bu, Q., Zhu, L., Dennis, M. D., Yu, Lu, Lu, S. X., Person, M. D., et al. (2011). Phosphorylation by CK2 enhances the rapid light-induced degradation of phytochrome interacting factor 1 in Arabidopsis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286 14), 12066–12074. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.186882

Bustos, R., Castrillo, G., Linhares, F., Puga, MaríaI., Rubio, V., Pérez-Pérez, J., et al. (2010). A central regulatory system largely controls transcriptional activation and repression responses to phosphate starvation in Arabidopsis. *PloS Genet.* 6, e1001102. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001102

Capolicchio, S., Wang, H., Thakor, D. T., Shears, S. B., and Jessen, H. J. (2014). Synthesis of densely phosphorylated bis-1,5-diphospho-myo-inositol tetrakisphosphate and its enantiomer by bidirectional P-anhydride formation. *Angewandte Chemie Int. Edition* 53, 9508–9511. doi: 10.1002/anie.201404398

Cartwright, J. L., and McLennan, A. G. (1999). The Saccharomyces cerevisiae YOR163w gene encodes a diadenosine 5', 5"'-P1,P6-hexaphosphate (Ap6A) hydrolase member of the MutT motif (Nudix hydrolase) family. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 8604–8610. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.13.8604

Chakraborty, A. (2018). The inositol pyrophosphate pathway in health and diseases. *Biol. Rev. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 93, 1203–1227. doi: 10.1111/brv.12392

Chakraborty, A., Koldobskiy, M. A., Bello, N. T., Maxwell, M., Potter, J. J., Juluri, K. R., et al. (2010). Inositol pyrophosphates inhibit Akt signaling, thereby regulating insulin sensitivity and weight gain. *Cell* 143, 897–910. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.032

Chanduri, M., Rai, A., Malla, A. B., Wu, M., Fiedler, D., Mallik, R., et al. (2016). Inositol hexakisphosphate kinase 1 (IP6K1) activity is required for cytoplasmic dyneindriven transport. *Biochem. J.* 473, 3031–3047. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20160610

Chen, X., Lu, Li, Qian, S., Scalf, M., Smith, L. M., and Zhong, X. (2018). Canonical and noncanonical actions of arabidopsis histone deacetylases in ribosomal RNA processing. *Plant Cell* 30, 134–152. doi: 10.1105/tpc.17.00626

Chen, Y.-H., Shen, H.-L., Chou, S.-J., Sato, Y., and Cheng, W.-H. (2022). Interference of arabidopsis N-acetylglucosamine-1-P uridylyltransferase expression impairs protein N-glycosylation and induces ABA-mediated salt sensitivity during seed germination and early seedling development. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.903272

Chen, J., Wang, Y., Wang, F., Yang, J., Gao, M., Li, C., et al. (2015). The rice CK2 kinase regulates trafficking of phosphate transporters in response to phosphate levels. *Plant Cell* 27, 711–723. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.135335

Choi, J. H., Williams, J., Cho, J., Falck, J. R., and Shears, S. B. (2007). Purification, sequencing, and molecular identification of a mammalian PP-InsP5 kinase that is activated when cells are exposed to hyperosmotic stress. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 30763–30775. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M704655200

Conway, J. H., and Fiedler, D. (2015). An affinity reagent for the recognition of pyrophosphorylated peptides. *Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. English)* 54, 3941–3945. doi: 10.1002/anie.201411232

Danye, Q., Gu, C., Liu, G., Ritter, K., Eisenbeis, V. B., Bittner, T., et al. (2022). Capillary electrophoresis mass spectrometry identifies new isomers of inositol pyrophosphates in mammalian tissues. *Chem. Sci.* 14, 658–667. doi: 10.1039/ D2SC05147H

Dell'Angelica, E. C., Shotelersuk, V., Aguilar, R. C., Gahl, W. A., and Bonifacino, J. S. (1999). Altered trafficking of lysosomal proteins in Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome due to mutations in the beta 3A subunit of the AP-3 adaptor. *Mol. Cell* 3, 11–21. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80170-7

Demulder, M., De Veylder, L., and Loris, R. (2020). Crystal structure of Arabidopsis thaliana casein kinase 2 α 1. Acta Crystallographica Section F Struct. Biol. Commun. 76, 182–191. doi: 10.1107/S2053230X20004537

Dennis, M. D., and Browning, K. S. (2009). Differential phosphorylation of plant translation initiation factors by Arabidopsis thaliana CK2 holoenzymes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 20602–20614. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.006692

Desai, M., Rangarajan, P., Donahue, J. L., Williams, S. P., Land, E. S., Mandal, M. K., et al. (2014). Two inositol hexakisphosphate kinases drive inositol pyrophosphate synthesis in plants. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* 80, 642–653. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12669

Dollins, D.E., Bai, W., Fridy, P. C., Otto, J. C., Neubauer, J. L., Gattis, S. G., et al. (2020). Vip1 is a kinase and pyrophosphatase switch that regulates inositol diphosphate signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 9356–9364. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908875117

Dong, J., Ma, G., Sui, L., Wei, M., Satheesh, V., Zhang, R., et al. (2019). Inositol pyrophosphate insP8 acts as an intracellular phosphate signal in arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* 12, 1463–1473. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2019.08.002

Draškovič, P., Saiardi, A., Bhandari, R., Burton, A., Ilc, G., Kovačevič, M., et al. (2008). Inositol hexakisphosphate kinase products contain diphosphate and triphosphate groups. *Chem. Biol.* 15, 274–286. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2008.01.011

Dubois, E., Scherens, B., Vierendeels, F., Ho, M. M.W., Messenguy, F., and Shears, S. B. (2002). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the inositol polyphosphate kinase activity of Kcs1p is required for resistance to salt stress, cell wall integrity, and vacuolar morphogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 23755–23763. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M202206200

Fridy, P. C., Otto, J. C., Dollins, D.E., and York, J. D. (2007). Cloning and characterization of two human VIP1-like inositol hexakisphosphate and diphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate kinases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 30754–30762. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M704656200

Fu, C., Rojas, T., Chin, A. C., Cheng, W., Bernstein, I. A., Albacarys, L. K., et al. (2018). Multiple aspects of male germ cell development and interactions with Sertoli cells require inositol hexakisphosphate kinase-1. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 7039. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-25468-8

Fu, C., Xu, J., Cheng, W., Rojas, T., Chin, A. C., Snowman, A. M., et al. (2017). Neuronal migration is mediated by inositol hexakisphosphate kinase 1 via α-actinin and focal adhesion kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 2036–2041. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1700165114

Fu, C., Xu, J., Li, R.-J., Crawford, J. A., Khan, A. B., Ma, T. M., et al. (2015). Inositol hexakisphosphate kinase-3 regulates the morphology and synapse formation of cerebellar purkinje cells via spectrin/adducin. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 35, 11056–11067. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1069-15.2015

Ganguli, S., Shah, A., Hamid, A., Singh, A., Palakurti, R., and Bhandari, R. (2020). A high energy phosphate jump - From pyrophospho-inositol to pyrophospho-serine. *Adv. Biol. Regul.* 75, 100662. doi: 10.1016/j.jbior.2019.100662

Gaugler, P., Schneider, R., Liu, G., Qiu, D., Weber, J., Schmid, J., et al. (2022). Arabidopsis PFA-DSP-type phosphohydrolases target specific inositol pyrophosphate messengers. *Biochemistry* 61, 1213–1227. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.2c00145

Greber, B. J. (2016). Mechanistic insight into eukaryotic 60S ribosomal subunit biogenesis by cryo-electron microscopy. *RNA (New York N.Y.)* 22, 1643–1662. doi: 10.1261/rna.057927.116

Gulabani, H., Goswami, K., Walia, Y., Roy, A., Noor, J. J., Ingole, K. D., et al. (2022). Arabidopsis inositol polyphosphate kinases IPK1 and ITPK1 modulate crosstalk between SA-dependent immunity and phosphate-starvation responses. *Plant Cell Rep.* 41, 347–363. doi: 10.1007/s00299-021-02812-3

Hamid, A., Ladke, J. S., Shah, A., Pal, M., Ganguli, S., Singh, A., et al. (2023). Interaction with IP6K1 supports pyrophosphorylation of substrate proteins by the inositol pyrophosphate 5-IP7. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2023.12.10.570918 Hardtke, C. S., Gohda, K., Osterlund, M. T., Oyama, T., Okada, K., and Deng, X. W. (2000). HY5 stability and activity in arabidopsis is regulated by phosphorylation in its COP1 binding domain. *EMBO J.* 19, 4997–5006. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.18.4997.

Harmel, R. K., Puschmann, R., Trung, M. N., Saiardi, A., Schmieder, P., and Fiedler, D. (2019). Harnessing 13C-labeled myo-inositol to interrogate inositol phosphate messengers by NMR. *Chem. Sci.* 10, 5267–5274. doi: 10.1039/C9SC00151D

Huang, T.-K., Han, C.-L., Lin, S.-I., Chen, Y.-J., Tsai, Y.-C., Chen, Y.-R., et al. (2013). Identification of downstream components of ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme PHOSPHATE2 by quantitative membrane proteomics in Arabidopsis roots. *Plant Cell* 25, 4044–4060. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.115998

Illies, C., Gromada, J., Fiume, R., Leibiger, B., Yu, J., Juhl, K., et al. (2007). Requirement of inositol pyrophosphates for full exocytotic capacity in pancreatic beta cells. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 318, 1299–1302. doi: 10.1126/science.1146824

Jadav, R. S., Kumar, D., Buwa, N., Ganguli, S., Thampatty, S. R., Balasubramanian, N., et al. (2016). Deletion of inositol hexakisphosphate kinase 1 (IP6K1) reduces cell migration and invasion, conferring protection from aerodigestive tract carcinoma in mice. *Cell. signalling* 28, 1124–1136. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2016.04.011

Karki, S., Tokito, M. K., and Holzbaur, E. L. (1997). Casein kinase II binds to and phosphorylates cytoplasmic dynein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 5887–5891. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.9.5887

Khaled, M. L., Bykhovskaya, Y., Gu, C., Liu, A., Drewry, M. D., Chen, Z., et al. (2019). PPIP5K2 and PCSK1 are candidate genetic contributors to familial keratoconus. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 19406. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55866-5

Kilari, R. S., Weaver, J. D., Shears, S. B., and Safrany, S. T. (2013). Understanding inositol pyrophosphate metabolism and function: kinetic characterization of the DIPPs. *FEBS Lett.* 587, 3464–3470. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2013.08.035

Kim, Y.-K., Lee, K. J., Jeon, H., and Yu, Y. G. (2006). Protein kinase CK2 is inhibited by human nucleolar phosphoprotein p140 in an inositol hexakisphosphate-dependent manner. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 36752–36757. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M604785200

Kloimwieder, A., and Winston, F. (2011). A screen for germination mutants in saccharomyces cerevisiae. G3 (Bethesda Md.) 1, 143–149. doi: 10.1534/g3.111.000323

Koepp, D. M., Schaefer, L. K., Ye, X., Keyomarsi, K., Chu, C., Harper, J. W., et al. (2001). Phosphorylation-dependent ubiquitination of cyclin E by the SCFFbw7 ubiquitin ligase. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 294, 173–177. doi: 10.1126/science.1065203

Koldobskiy, M. A., Chakraborty, A., Werner, J.K., Snowman, A. M., Juluri, K. R., Vandiver, M.S., et al. (2010). p53-mediated apoptosis requires inositol hexakisphosphate kinase-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 20947–20951. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1015671107

Kuenzel, N. A., Alcázar-Román, A. R., Saiardi, A., Bartsch, S. M., Daunaraviciute, S., Fiedler, D., et al. (2022). Inositol pyrophosphate-controlled kinetochore architecture and mitotic entry in S. pombe. *J. Fungi (Basel Switzerland)* 8, 933. doi: 10.3390/jof8090933

Kuo, H.-F., Hsu, Y.-Y., Lin, W.-C., Chen, K.-Y., Munnik, T., Brearley, C. A., et al. (2018). Arabidopsis inositol phosphate kinases IPK1 and ITPK1 constitute a metabolic pathway in maintaining phosphate homeostasis. *Plant J.* 95, 613–630. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13974

Laha, N. P., Giehl, R. F.H., Riemer, E., Qiu, D., Pullagurla, N. J., Schneider, R., et al. (2022). INOSITOL (1,3,4) TRIPHOSPHATE 5/6 KINASE1-dependent inositol polyphosphates regulate auxin responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 190, 2722–2738. doi: 10.1093/plphys/kiac425

Laha, D., Johnen, P., Azevedo, C., Dynowski, M., Weiß, M., Capolicchio, S., et al. (2015). VIH2 regulates the synthesis of inositol pyrophosphate insP8 and jasmonatedependent defenses in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 27, 1082–1097. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.114.135160

Laha, D., Parvin, N., Dynowski, M., Johnen, P., Mao, H., Bitters, S. T., et al. (2016). Inositol polyphosphate binding specificity of the jasmonate receptor complex. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 2364–2370. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00694

Laha, D., Parvin, N., Hofer, A., Giehl, R. F.H., Fernandez-Rebollo, N., von Wirén, N., et al. (2019). Arabidopsis ITPK1 and ITPK2 have an evolutionarily conserved phytic acid kinase activity. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 14, 2127–2133. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.9b00423

Land, E. S., Cridland, C. A., Craige, B., Dye, A., Hildreth, S. B., Helm, R. F., et al. (2021). A role for inositol pyrophosphates in the metabolic adaptations to low phosphate in arabidopsis. *Metabolites* 11, 601. doi: 10.3390/metabo11090601

Łebska, M., Szczegielniak, J., Dobrowolska, Grażyna, Cozza, G., Moro, S., and Muszyńska, Grażyna (2009). A novel splicing variant encoding putative catalytic α subunit of maize protein kinase CK2. *Physiologia Plantarum* 136, 251–263. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01238.x

Lee, S., Kim, M.-G., Ahn, H., and Kim, S. (2020). Inositol pyrophosphates: signaling molecules with pleiotropic actions in mammals. *Molecules (Basel Switzerland)* 25, 2208. doi: 10.3390/molecules25092208

Lee, W.-K., Lee, S.-Y., Kim, W.-I., Rho, Y.-H., Bae, Y.-S., Lee, C., et al. (2008). Characterization of the insP6-dependent interaction between CK2 and nopp140. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 376, 439–444. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.09.008

Lee, Y.-S., Mulugu, S., York, J. D., and O'Shea, E. K. (2007). Regulation of a cyclin-CDK-CDK inhibitor complex by inositol pyrophosphates. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 316, 109–112. doi: 10.1126/science.1139080 Lin, H., Fridy, P. C., Ribeiro, A. A., Choi, J. H., Barma, D. K., Vogel, G., et al. (2009). Structural analysis and detection of biological inositol pyrophosphates reveal that the family of VIP/diphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate kinases are 1/3-kinases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 1863–1872. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M805686200

Litchfield, D. W. (2003). Protein kinase CK2: structure, regulation and role in cellular decisions of life and death. *Biochem. J.* 369, 1–15. doi: 10.1042/bj20021469

Liu, T.-Y., Huang, T.-K., Tseng, C.-Y., Lai, Y.-S., Lin, S.-I., Lin, Wei-Yi, et al. (2012). PHO2-dependent degradation of PHO1 modulates phosphate homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 24, 2168–2183. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.096636

Lolla, P., Shah, A., Unnikannan, C. P., Oddi, V., and Bhandari, R. (2021). Inositol pyrophosphates promote MYC polyubiquitination by FBW7 to regulate cell survival. *Biochem. J.* 478, 1647–1661. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20210081

Lonetti, A., Szijgyarto, Z., Bosch, D., Loss, O., Azevedo, C., and Saiardi, A. (2011). Identification of an evolutionarily conserved family of inorganic polyphosphate endopolyphosphatases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 31966–31974. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.266320

Lorenzo-Orts, L., Couto, D., and Hothorn, M. (2020). Identity and functions of inorganic and inositol polyphosphates in plants. *New Phytol.* 225, 637-652. doi: 10.1111/nph.16129

Malla, A. B., and Bhandari, R. (2017). IP6K1 is essential for chromatoid body formation and temporal regulation of Tnp2 and Prm2 expression in mouse spermatids. *J. Cell Sci.* 130, 2854–2866. doi: 10.1242/jcs.204966

Marmelstein, A. M., Yates, L. M., Conway, J. H., and Fiedler, D. (2014). Chemical pyrophosphorylation of functionally diverse peptides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136, 108–111. doi: 10.1021/ja411737c

Márquez-Moñino, M. Á., Ortega-García, R., Shipton, M. L., Franco-Echevarría, E., Riley, A. M., Sanz-Aparicio, J., et al. (2021). Multiple substrate recognition by yeast diadenosine and diphosphoinositol polyphosphate phosphohydrolase through phosphate clamping. *Sci. Adv.* 7. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abf6744

Meyer, R. D., Srinivasan, S., Singh, A. J., Mahoney, J. E., Gharahassanlou, K. R., and Rahimi, N. (2011). PEST motif serine and tyrosine phosphorylation controls vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 stability and downregulation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 31, 2010–2025. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01006-10

Millard, C. J., Watson, P. J., Celardo, I., Gordiyenko, Y., Cowley, S. M., Robinson, C. V., et al. (2013). Class I HDACs share a common mechanism of regulation by inositol phosphates. *Mol. Cell* 51, 57–67. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2013.05.020

Morgan, J. A.M., Singh, A., Kurz, L., Nadler-Holly, M., Penkert, M., Krause, E., et al. (2022). Pyrophosphoproteomics: extensive protein pyrophosphorylation revealed in human cell lines. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2022.11.11.516170

Morrissette, V. A., and Rolfes, R. J. (2020). The intersection between stress responses and inositol pyrophosphates in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Curr. Genet.* 66, 901–910. doi: 10.1007/s00294-020-01078-8

Mulekar, J. J., and Huq, E. (2014). Expanding roles of protein kinase CK2 in regulating plant growth and development. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 2883–2893. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ ert401

Mulugu, S., Bai, W., Fridy, P. C., Bastidas, R. J., Otto, J. C., Dollins, D.E., et al. (2007). A conserved family of enzymes that phosphorylate inositol hexakisphosphate. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 316, 106–109. doi: 10.1126/science.1139099

Nakagami, H., Sugiyama, N., Mochida, K., Daudi, A., Yoshida, Y., Toyoda, T., et al. (2010). Large-scale comparative phosphoproteomics identifies conserved phosphorylation sites in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 153, 1161–1174. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.157347

Niefind, K., Guerra, B., Ermakowa, I., and Issinger, O. G. (2001). Crystal structure of human protein kinase CK2: insights into basic properties of the CK2 holoenzyme. *EMBO J.* 20, 5320–5331. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.19.5320

Norman, K. L., Shively, C. A., de La Rocha, A. J., Mutlu, N., Basu, S., Cullen, P. J., et al. (2018). Inositol polyphosphates regulate and predict yeast pseudohyphal growth phenotypes. *PloS Genet.* 14, e1007493. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007493

Park, B. S., Seo, J. S., and Chua, N.-H. (2014). NITROGEN LIMITATION ADAPTATION recruits PHOSPHATE2 to target the phosphate transporter PT2 for degradation during the regulation of Arabidopsis phosphate homeostasis. *Plant Cell* 26, 454–464. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.120311

Pavlovic, I., Thakor, D. T., Bigler, L., Wilson, M. S.C., Laha, D., Schaaf, G., et al. (2015). Prometabolites of 5-diphospho-myo-inositol pentakisphosphate. *Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. English)* 54, 9622–9626. doi: 10.1002/anie.201503094

Pavlovic, I., Thakor, D. T., Vargas, J. R., McKinlay, C. J., Hauke, S., Anstaett, P., et al. (2016). Cellular delivery and photochemical release of a caged inositol-pyrophosphate induces PH-domain translocation in cellulo. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10622. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10622

Penkert, M., Hauser, A., Harmel, R., Fiedler, D., Hackenberger, C. P.R., and Krause, E. (2019). Electron transfer/higher energy collisional dissociation of doubly charged peptide ions: identification of labile protein phosphorylations. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrometry* 30, 1578–1585. doi: 10.1007/s13361-019-02240-4

Penkert, M., Yates, L. M., Schümann, M., Perlman, D., Fiedler, D., and Krause, E. (2017). Unambiguous identification of serine and threonine pyrophosphorylation using neutral-loss-triggered electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation. *Analytical Chem.* 89, 3672–3680. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.6b05095

Pinna, L. A. (2003). The raison D'Être of constitutively active protein kinases: the lesson of CK2. Accounts Chem. Res. 36, 378–384. doi: 10.1021/ar020164f

Pöhlmann, J., and Fleig, U. (2010). Asp1, a conserved 1/3 inositol polyphosphate kinase, regulates the dimorphic switch in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 30, 4535–4547. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00472-10

Pöhlmann, J., Risse, C., Seidel, C., Pohlmann, T., Jakopec, V., Walla, E., et al. (2014). The vip1 inositol polyphosphate kinase family regulates polarized growth and modulates the microtubule cytoskeleton in fungi. *PloS Genet.* 10. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1004586

Pullagurla, N. J., Shome, S., Yadav, R., and Laha, D. (2023). ITPK1 regulates jasmonate-controlled root development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biomolecules* 13, 1368. doi: 10.3390/biom13091368

Qiu, D., Eisenbeis, V. B., Saiardi, A., and Jessen, H. J. (2021). Absolute quantitation of inositol pyrophosphates by capillary electrophoresis electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J. Vis. Exp.* 174. doi: 10.3791/62847

Qiu, D., Wilson, M. S., Eisenbeis, V. B., Harmel, R. K., Riemer, E., Haas, T. M., et al. (2020). Analysis of inositol phosphate metabolism by capillary electrophoresis electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6035. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19928-x

Rao, F., Cha, J., Xu, J., Xu, R., Vandiver, M.S., Tyagi, R., et al. (2014). Inositol pyrophosphates mediate the DNA-PK/ATM-p53 cell death pathway by regulating CK2 phosphorylation of Tti1/Tel2. *Mol. Cell* 54, 119–132. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.02.020

Rechsteiner, M., and Rogers, S. W. (1996). PEST sequences and regulation by proteolysis. Trends Biochem. Sci. 21, 267–271. doi: 10.1016/S0968-0004(96)10031-1.

Ried, M. K., Wild, R., Zhu, J., Pipercevic, J., Sturm, K., Broger, L., et al. (2021). Inositol pyrophosphates promote the interaction of SPX domains with the coiled-coil motif of PHR transcription factors to regulate plant phosphate homeostasis. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 384. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20681-4

Riemer, E., Pullagurla, N. J., Yadav, R., Rana, P., Jessen, H. J., Kamleitner, Marília, et al. (2022). Regulation of plant biotic interactions and abiotic stress responses by inositol polyphosphates. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.944515

Riemer, E., Qiu, D., Laha, D., Harmel, R. K., Gaugler, P., Gaugler, V., et al. (2021). ITPK1 is an InsP6/ADP phosphotransferase that controls phosphate signaling in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* 14, 1864–1880. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2021.07.011

Riera, M., Peracchia, G., and Pagès, M. (2001). Distinctive features of plant protein kinase CK2. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 227, 119–127. doi: 10.1023/A:1013141713277.

Romá-Mateo, C., Ríos, P., Tabernero, L., Attwood, T. K., and Pulido, R. (2007). A novel phosphatase family, structurally related to dual-specificity phosphatases, that displays unique amino acid sequence and substrate specificity. *J. Mol. Biol.* 374, 899–909. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2007.10.008

Safrany, S. T., Ingram, S. W., Cartwright, J. L., Falck, J. R., McLennan, A. G., Barnes, L. D., et al. (1999). The diadenosine hexaphosphate hydrolases from Schizosaccharomyces pombe and Saccharomyces cerevisae are homologues of the human diphosphoinositol polyphosphate phosphohydrolase. Overlapping substrate specificities in a MutT-type protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 21735–21740. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.31.21735

Saiardi, A., Bhandari, R., Resnick, A. C., Snowman, A. M., and Snyder, S. H. (2004). Phosphorylation of proteins by inositol pyrophosphates. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 306, 2101–2105. doi: 10.1126/science.1103344

Saiardi, A., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Snowman, A. M., Tempst, P., and Snyder, S. H. (1999). Synthesis of diphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate by a newly identified family of higher inositol polyphosphate kinases. *Curr. Biol. CB* 9, 1323–1326. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(00)80055-X

Saiardi, A., Nagata, E., Luo, H. R., Snowman, A. M., and Snyder, S. H. (2001). Identification and characterization of a novel inositol hexakisphosphate kinase*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 39179–39185. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M106842200

Saiardi, A., Resnick, A. C., Snowman, A. M., Wendland, B., and Snyder, S. H. (2005). Inositol pyrophosphates regulate cell death and telomere length through phosphoinositide 3-kinase-related protein kinases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 1911–1914. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409322102

Saiardi, A., Sciambi, C., McCaffery, J.M., Wendland, B., and Snyder, S. H. (2002). Inositol pyrophosphates regulate endocytic trafficking. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 14206–14211. doi: 10.1073/pnas.212527899

Sakuraba, Y., Kanno, S., Mabuchi, A., Monda, K., Iba, K., and Yanagisawa, S. (2018). A phytochrome-B-mediated regulatory mechanism of phosphorus acquisition. *Nat. Plants* 4, 1089–1101. doi: 10.1038/s41477-018-0294-7

Salinas, P., Fuentes, D., Vidal, E., Jordana, X., Echeverria, M., and Holuigue, L. (2006). An extensive survey of CK2 alpha and beta subunits in Arabidopsis: multiple isoforms exhibit differential subcellular localization. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 47, 1295–1308. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcj100

Shears, S. B. (2009). Diphosphoinositol polyphosphates: metabolic messengers? *Mol. Pharmacol.* 76, 236–252. doi: 10.1124/mol.109.055897

Shears, S. (2010). The long-awaited demonstration of protein pyrophosphorylation by IP7 in *vivo? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, E17, author reply E18. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914016107

Shears, S. B. (2018). Intimate connections: Inositol pyrophosphates at the interface of metabolic regulation and cell signaling. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 233, 1897–1912. doi: 10.1002/jcp.26017

Shears, S. B., Baughman, B. M., Gu, C., Nair, V. S., and Wang, H. (2017). The significance of the 1-kinase/1-phosphatase activities of the PPIP5K family. *Adv. Biol. Regul.* 63, 98–106. doi: 10.1016/j.jbior.2016.10.003

Shears, S. B., and Wang, H. (2020). Metabolism and functions of inositol pyrophosphates: insights gained from the application of synthetic analogues. *Molecules (Basel Switzerland)* 25, 4515. doi: 10.3390/molecules25194515

Steidle, E. A., Chong, L. S., Wu, M., Crooke, E., Fiedler, D., Resnick, A. C., et al. (2016). A novel inositol pyrophosphate phosphatase in saccharomyces cerevisiae: siw14 PROTEIN SELECTIVELY CLEAVES THE β-PHOSPHATE FROM 5-DIPHOSPHOINOSITOL PENTAKISPHOSPHATE (5PP-IP5). J. Biol. Chem. 291, 6772–6783. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.714907

Steidle, E. A., Morrissette, V. A., Fujimaki, K., Chong, L., Resnick, A. C., Capaldi, A. P., et al. (2020). The InsP7 phosphatase Siw14 regulates inositol pyrophosphate levels to control localization of the general stress response transcription factor Msn2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 2043–2056. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.012148

Stephens, L., Radenberg, T., Thiel, U., Vogel, G., Khoo, K. H., Dell, A., et al. (1993). The detection, purification, structural characterization, and metabolism of diphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate(s) and bisdiphosphoinositol tetrakisphosphate (s). *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 4009–4015. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)53571-7.

Streb, H., Irvine, R. F., Berridge, M. J., and Schulz, I. (1983). Release of Ca2+ from a nonmitochondrial intracellular store in pancreatic acinar cells by inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate. *Nature* 306, 67–69. doi: 10.1038/306067a0

Szijgyarto, Z., Garedew, A., Azevedo, C., and Saiardi, A. (2011). Influence of inositol pyrophosphates on cellular energy dynamics. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 334, 802–805. doi: 10.1126/science.1211908

Thota, S. G., Unnikannan, C. P., Thampatty, S. R., Manorama, R., and Bhandari, R. (2015). Inositol pyrophosphates regulate RNA polymerase I-mediated rRNA transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biochem. J.* 466, 105–114. doi: 10.1042/BJ20140798

Tsui, M. M., and York, J. D. (2010). Roles of inositol phosphates and inositol pyrophosphates in development, cell signaling and nuclear processes. *Adv. Enzyme Regul.* 50, 324–337. doi: 10.1016/j.advenzreg.2009.12.002

Vaughan, P. S., Leszyk, J. D., and Vaughan, K. T. (2001). Cytoplasmic dynein intermediate chain phosphorylation regulates binding to dynactin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 26171–26179. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M102649200

Voglmaier, S. M., Bembenek, M. E., Kaplin, A. I., Dormán, G., Olszewski, J. D., Prestwich, G. D., et al. (1996). Purified inositol hexakisphosphate kinase is an ATP synthase: diphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate as a high-energy phosphate donor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93, 4305–4310. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.9.4305

Wang, F., Deng, M., Chen, J., He, Q., Jia, X., Guo, H., et al. (2020). CASEIN KINASE2-dependent phosphorylation of PHOSPHATE2 fine-tunes phosphate homeostasis in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 183, 250–262. doi: 10.1104/pp.20.00078

Wang, H., Falck, J. R., Hall, T. M., Tanaka, S., and Stephen, B. (2012). Structural basis for an inositol pyrophosphate kinase surmounting phosphate crowding. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 8, 111–116. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.733

Wang, G., Gao, G., Yang, X., Yang, X., and Ma, P. (2022a). Casein kinase CK2 structure and activities in plants. *J. Plant Physiol.* 276, 153767. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2022.153767

Wang, H., Gu, C., Rolfes, R. J., Jessen, H. J., and Shears, S. B. (2018). Structural and biochemical characterization of Siw14: A protein-tyrosine phosphatase fold that metabolizes inositol pyrophosphates. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 6905–6914. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA117.001670

Wang, H., Nair, V. S., Holland, A. A., Capolicchio, S., Jessen, H. J., Johnson, M. K., et al. (2015). Asp1 from schizosaccharomyces pombe binds a [2Fe-2S]2+ Cluster which inhibits inositol pyrophosphate 1-phosphatase activity. *Biochemistry* 54, 6462–6474. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00532

Wang, H., Perera, L., Jork, N., Zong, G., Riley, A. M., Potter, B. V.L., et al. (2022b). A structural exposé of noncanonical molecular reactivity within the protein tyrosine phosphatase WPD loop. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 2231. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29673-y

Wang, Z., Wang, Ya, Hong, X., Hu, D., Liu, C., Yang, J., et al. (2015). Functional inactivation of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase 1 (UAP1) induces early leaf senescence and defence responses in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 973–987. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru456

Wang, Z., Wang, Q., Wei, L., Shi, Y., Li, T., Hu, K., et al. (2021). UDP-N-acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase 2 (UAP2) and 1 (UAP1) perform synergetic functions for leaf survival in rice. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.685102

Watson, P. J., Fairall, L., Santos, G. M., and Schwabe, J. W.R. (2012). Structure of HDAC3 bound to co-repressor and inositol tetraphosphate. *Nature* 481, 335–340. doi: 10.1038/nature10728

Watson, P. J., Millard, C. J., Riley, A. M., Robertson, N. S., Wright, L. C., Godage, H. Y., et al. (2016). Insights into the activation mechanism of class I HDAC complexes by inositol phosphates. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11262. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11262

Welcker, M., and Clurman, B. E. (2007). Fbw7/hCDC4 dimerization regulates its substrate interactions. *Cell Division* 2, 7. doi: 10.1186/1747-1028-2-7

Whitfield, H., White, G., Sprigg, C., Riley, A. M., Potter, B. V.L., Hemmings, A. M., et al. (2020). An ATP-responsive metabolic cassette comprised of inositol tris/ tetrakisphosphate kinase 1 (ITPK1) and inositol pentakisphosphate 2-kinase (IPK1) buffers diphosphosphoinositol phosphate levels. *Biochem. J.* 477, 2621–2638. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20200423 Wild, R., Gerasimaite, R., Jung, J.-Y., Truffault, V., Pavlovic, I., Schmidt, A., et al. (2016). Control of eukaryotic phosphate homeostasis by inositol polyphosphate sensor domains. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 352, 986–990. doi: 10.1126/science.aad9858

Worley, J., Luo, X., and Capaldi, A. P. (2013). Inositol pyrophosphates regulate cell growth and the environmental stress response by activating the HDAC rpd3L. *Cell Rep.* 3, 1476–1482. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.03.043

Wu, M., Chong, L. S., Capolicchio, S., Jessen, H. J., Resnick, A. C., and Fiedler, D. (2014). Elucidating diphosphoinositol polyphosphate function with nonhydrolyzable analogues. *Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. English)* 53, 7192–7197. doi: 10.1002/anie.201402905

Wu, M., Dul, B. E., Trevisan, A. J., and Fiedler, D. (2013). Synthesis and characterization of non-hydrolysable diphosphoinositol polyphosphate second messengers. *Chem. Sci.* 4, 405–410. doi: 10.1039/C2SC21553E

Yadav, R., Liu, G., Rana, P., Pullagurla, N. J., Qiu, D., Jessen, H. J., et al. (2023). Conservation of heat stress acclimation by the inositol polyphosphate multikinase, IPMK responsible for 4/6-InsP7 production in land plants. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2023.11.17.567642

Yamakawa, H., Cheng, J., Penney, J., Gao, F., Rueda, R., Wang, J., et al. (2017). The transcription factor sp3 cooperates with HDAC2 to regulate synaptic function and plasticity in neurons. *Cell Rep.* 20, 1319–1334. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.07.044

Yang, S., Jin, S., Xian, H., Zhao, Z., Wang, L., Wu, Y., et al. (2023). Metabolic enzyme UAP1 mediates IRF3 pyrophosphorylation to facilitate innate immune response. *Mol. Cell* 83, 298–313.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2022.12.007

Yang, S.-Y., Lin, W.-Y., Hsiao, Y.-M., and Chiou, T.-J. (2024). Milestones in understanding transport, sensing, and signaling of the plant nutrient phosphorus. *Plant Cell.* doi: 10.1093/plcell/koad326

York, J. D., Odom, A. R., Murphy, R., Ives, E. B., and Wente, S. R. (1999). A phospholipase C-dependent inositol polyphosphate kinase pathway required for efficient messenger RNA export. *Sci. (New York N.Y.)* 285, 96–100. doi: 10.1126/science.285.5424.96

Yousaf, R., Gu, C., Ahmed, Z. M., Khan, S. N., Friedman, T. B., Riazuddin, S., et al. (2018). Mutations in Diphosphoinositol-Pentakisphosphate Kinase PPIP5K2 are associated with hearing loss in human and mouse. *PloS Genet.* 14, e1007297. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007297

Zhai, Q., Yan, L., Tan, D., Chen, R., Sun, J., Gao, L., et al. (2013). Phosphorylationcoupled proteolysis of the transcription factor MYC2 is important for jasmonatesignaled plant immunity. *PloS Genet.* 9, e1003422. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003422

Zhu, J., Lau, K., Puschmann, R., Harmel, R. K., Zhang, Y., Pries, V., et al. (2019). Two bifunctional inositol pyrophosphate kinases/phosphatases control plant phosphate homeostasis. *eLife* 8. doi: 10.7554/eLife.43582

Zhu, J., Wang, W.-S., Yan, D.-W., Hong, L.-W., Li, T.-T., Gao, X., et al. (2023). CK2 promotes jasmonic acid signaling response by phosphorylating MYC2 in Arabidopsis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, 619–630. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1213

Glossary

CDP-DAG	CDP-diacylglycerol
DIPP	diphosphoinositol polyphosphate phosphohydrolase
DSP	dual-specificity phosphatase
EThcD	electron-transfer and higher energy collisional dissociation
GlcNAc.UT	N-acetylglucosamine-1-P uridylyltransferase
Glu(6)P	glucose-6-phosphate
HDAC	histone deacetylase
IMP	inositol monophosphatase
InsPs	inositol phosphates
IP6K	inositol hexakisphosphate kinase
IPK2	inositol polyphosphate 6/3-kinase
IP ₃ -3K	inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate 3-kinases
ITPK	inositol (1,3,4) trisphosphate 5/6-kinase
MEFs	mouse embryonic fibroblasts
MIPS	myo-inositol phosphate synthase
PFA-DSPs	plant and fungi atypical dual-specificity phosphatases
PIS	phosphatidylinositol synthase
PLC	phospholipase C
Pol I	RNA polymerase I
PP-InsPs	inositol pyrophosphates
PPIP5K	diphosphoinositol-pentakisphosphate kinase
PSI	Pi starvation-induced
PSR	phosphate starvation response
PT	phosphate transporter
PtdIns	phosphatidylinositol
UAP	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase
	1