

[Start] [★01:43.811] ↗ [★04:27.202] ↗ [★20.318] W Essay Plan: ↗ ↗ ★★★★★ -

★ [★12.693] generally I agree with ★★ the view presented in the text ★★ and quotation [★15.086] ↗ ↗ -

relationship: ★ culture ★, Logic ★ and Rhetoric (title) ★★★★★ [^] ← ← ★ →

C ★ [^] ↗ ★★ ↗ -

★ realized ★★ ★★ by ★ different examples (★ English, ★ Arabic, ★ Asian, ★ Spanish)

[★12.872] ↗ ↗ ★ Ar -

Aristotle: ★ Latin philosopher ★★ (★★ L Roman culture and ★★ ★★ philosophy) [★25.774] ◆ --

> Logic would have been different but ★ science ★★ ★★ the whole of our sciences excellence ★★ nowadays ★★ ★★ would not have been ★★ totally different [★01:03.217]. [★18.129] Independent scientists ★★ ★★ ★★ ... ↗ ↗ ↗ [★12.572] ↗ ★★

★ There is a - Essay: ↗ ↗ ↗ There is is a ★★ obvious relationship between ★★ "Culture, Logic and Rhetoric" as it is pointed out in ★★ Oshima ★ and Hogue's text ★★ ★★ ★★. ★★ ★★ They state that it is culturally dependent ★★ where ★★ ★★ ★★ hether a ★★ ★★ person

English person or a ★★ Asian person write scientific [★11.900] essays. ★★ The most obvious ★★ difference is structure ★★ and ★★ ★★ ★★ approach to the topic. [★17.558] This essay will focus on ★★ ★★ ★★ Pi's statement saying that "★ if Aristotle had been Mexican, his logic ★★ ★★ [...] ★★ ★★ and perhaps, by the same token, the whole of our philosophy ★★ and our science would have been different. ★★ ★★ ★★ ★★" [^] "★ [^] ↗ ↗ ★★ At first [★18.457] [^] [^] [^] ↗ ↗ -

★ ★★ 1. Aristotles's ★★ life ★★ ? ↗ ★ -

" 2. ★★ ★★ today's science ★★ ★★ ★★ ↗ ★ -

★ 3. relationship ★★ ★★ ancient times and now [^] and culture! [^] I ★★ one has to argue that Aristotle was a ancient ★★ Latin ★★ philosopher

★ ★★ H ★★ ★★ ★★ Ancient life and culture had a huge influence on his ★

view and his works. [11.860] [1] However, Especial But the expansion of the Roman Empire had a great influence, too. Aristotle came in contact with different cultures and adapted and neglected foreign philosophies and views on the world. [20.798] This Today this process takes place while in different cultures like English, Asian, Arabic and Spanish have their own style of writing, their own Logic so to speak. [21.785] As Oshima and Hogue point it out it is [13.121] outstanding that different cultures have different approaches to science and in addition to that they have their own style of writing. That is a fact one cannot reject. So when speaking about different styles of writing and approaching scientific issues, it is safe to say that these cultures have their own way of describing and experiencing the world and its issues. The description of the world and its [01:23.436] acceptance (?) is different. For example the English people are very organized and try to structure their essays the most. While Arabic writing is different in the use of coordinators and Asian writing differs significantly in the angles of approaching the subject. In addition to that Spanish [10.177] people [17.752] do not fulfil the English requirement of paragraph unity. [12.010] [1] reception As a conclusion the result the approach of a scientific issue is closely related to the reception of it. It and vice versa. This interrelationship becomes clear by the examples of Oshima and Hogue's text. Take Secondly, taking into consideration the quotation of Peirce it is safe to say

to say that if Aristotle had been Mexican then his logic would be different. Culture influences the Logic of the particular people in a specific culture as it is explained above in the paragraph above. The impact on our philosophy would be [12.796] great because we adapted Aristotle's philosophy and perhaps or better obviously the our philosophy would be different as well. Taking into account the impact on our science I am a bit more careful. We The present time provides a lot of educated and sophisticated scientists who try to describe the world through rough experiments and. This description of the world could have taken place just like it did take place without a different philosophy created by Aristotle. [16.028] even with [29.186] Coming to terms I would like to state that [10.445] The the impact on our philosophy (while being aware of the "Mexican" Aph Aristotle philosophy) would be vast and great but I am concerning our Science there are different opinions. In my view science is not as closely related to philosophy as Culture, Logic, and Rhetoric [17.489] which is a major concern in Oshima and Huiogue's text. [30.325] and its relation to the present text. [59.420] in al eir
--
[11.106] d [15.985] Ad As a result approaching a scientific issue is close to writing and the world's reception

reception of the world is linked to Cu lture, Logic
a , and Rge hetoric ."[][]"[][] ,***[][] [10.542] [S
top]