

[Start] [04:13.023] 1) **** introduction *, **, rhetorics **, cul ***
*** connection of culture, **, ht *** etorics and **
* logic **** * "9 *** explain **, quote and connect * to [
* 12.085] oshima * 3) * [16.673] agree * vs. disagree with **, quote * ... why *, why *
not * 4) * conclusion * * * * *
* * * * * a) **** culture and * rhetorics * we
ll * co *** co *** connected, but also * not * no *** do not relate ably
itly of **** both * b) * [10.173] gern *** no generalization of * connecti
on * bt *** t * r * and * c [17.127] * den *** pend on the one hand, indepened o
n the other hand * * * * *
* * * * * c9) * * * * * ent * * * * *
* * * * * d) * pon *** int * out * influence of cultue * *** re on rhetorics **** *
* Arist * o * tle * * * * * has * not * been * mexican *, since his * * * * * philo
sophy * did * not * built * up * pon * so *** panish * re *** hetorics * * * * * a) * b) * * * * *
same * philosophy * with * differen *** cut *** ulture * background * * * * * ? * c) * * * * * differe
nt * cuture * * * * * *** s * cie * nce * because of * wrong * interpretation *
of * r * rhetorics * * * * * * * * * * a) * * * * * sum * up * * opo
* *** union * * * * * [13.527] Different * culture *, same * philosophy * *** hy * ? * It is *
obvious * that * there * are * many * different * cultures * in * the * world * and * that * every * cul
ture * is * [20.780] *** has * its * own * r * he * torical * system. * * * * * Cut *
*** Culture * and * rhetorics * are * well * connected * on * the * one * hand * so *** ince * * * * * lan
gus * age * is * influence in *** ing * one *** nce * * *** culture. * [1
2.247] However * m * , oin * *** n the other hand * rhetorics * * * * * does * not * neces
arr * * * * * [37.630] explain * culture. * In *** INdeet *** ndeed * , * * * * *
* * * * * s * * * * *
* * * * * everybody * has * a * cer ***
*** y * individ * al * has * a * cu *** belongs * to * a * cutlure * and * *

peaks a certain language, however. Nonetheless, nevertheless, the ability quality of a language does not determine once. Once culture is not determined by the quality of language proficiency. [15.666] Therefore, there should not be a generalization of the connection between culture and rhetoric. [19.890] One language has different focuses than another language. Therefore, To still, the same philosophy is present. The master of Philosophy, Aristotle did not speak. He was not did not focus mainly on rhetoric and [29.041] human being and explain his thesis due to different approaches. [36.955] The assumption that he would come from a different culture gives, offers the question whether we his theory of philosophy would have been changed. It is true that there is As mentioned before there is a connection between culture and rhetoric and if Aristotle would have been Mexican, he would change. You would [10.602] has changed his approaches of philosophy in the first place. Consequently, science and philosophy could be seen differently today. [11.560] However, we must, if there is [13.514] a connection between diverse cultures and diverse rhetorics, maybe the science and philosophy would still be the same.

I do agree that Aristotle was influenced by his culture to determine his theory and I think
 I am convinced that his language abilities would have been different in a different culture. However, as
 Nevertheless, as Oshima [14.722] I think I think that the content of what any culture wants to
 say is the same. The meaning is shown via different languages and the ideas for a certain specific opinion
 is influenced by one's culture, but I am sure that
 same ideas should be the same output as the same input
 as an input even though different cultures influence
 thoughts and ideas. As Oshima concludes that
 no one who has acquired the actual rhetoric can simulate
 is a brilliant writer or speaker. This supports the fact that rhetoric can influence
 theories but does not have to do so. Aristotle would have been educated
 the same way in Mexico with different influences of cultures and
 a diverse rhetoric, nonetheless he has had the great
 his great theory [11.363]

wiou could have philosophy. [01:47.231] i [36.087] [verbally express f [18.928] supports the fact that r [In conclusion i can say, that i I do not agree with the statement that our science would be and philosophy would be changed if aristotle would have been mexican M A Diverse cultures and always influence ones culture but never stops once e's person ' al [22.607] expansion of [19.720] quality to interpret and identify theories life Aristotle did. [18.687]

[Stop]