

Violence is bad and a lot of teenagers are infected with that. but i donot know whowthis things are related to oneanotherthere aremanystudies in which resaerchers found out that television is aweak predictor. idont know i dont know that is i dont know how ist that related for example when somebodysy is playing countertrike a very aggressive game than he is at risk and could do some harm on society? is that true? My brother is a often plays games in which he kills people - via internet - and online and so on he is a very good student and has remarable grades i dont know what to write hopefully nobody will look at my mistakes in the text hahahaaa so back to the topic ehm, ehm ehm what my brain cant think while i am free writing, not related to the topic i dont know, what to wirte bach to topic so violence caused by media violence obscure, death, killing, weapons, dead, animals, odies, corpses, blood, graphic, scenic, i dont know that is a stupid task, i dont think that free writing is "the" method" what schould I write. Planning with no structure at all sucks, sorry fr that but my thoughts have to be related to the topic, while free writing there is no connection is there? Free writing free writing free writing what about th

The poublc opinion blames televison and computer games for the tragic incidents that occur in American High Schools and German elementary schools. I am talking about the fact that some young people or teenagers plan and act out a so called "Amoklauf" - a violent act on schools, teachers and students. This essay will focus on the connection between violence in media and the tragic events. It will take into consideration how people's perception is influenced by media. In addition to that there will be a close but brief passage about personal experiences.

Referring to the text "Research on the Effects of Media Violence" by Jonathan L. Freedman one can say that the author sums up different opinions on the topic. It becomes clear that most of the researchers think that media has an influence on the teenagers attitude towards violence but that this influence is not as striking as the society might guess. As an outcome of the text Freedman says that media violence is more or less a weak indicator (or predictor) for young people's behaviour.

Young people often play violent and aggressive computer games. They use weapons and kill other people online or "in-game". It is safe to say that those games are not made for children and that there are restrictions on the sale for them. Young people or people who are too young to play those games are not able to buy those games because there is a restriction and a law that says that it is forbidden to give children or young people those games.

When we talk about media and violence in media we have to consider what entertainment really is. There are different opinions on that. The one would say: "Entertainment is visiting an amusement park", while others would argue "Car driving is fun." Some people think that watching violent films and playing violent and bloody computer games is fun and a pleasure to them. First of all a lot of people would not understand why that is fun but we cannot judge people for their notion of entertainment/amusement. Weak teenagers or uneducated youths might be affected in a special way by those games and violence in general because they have not learned to differentiate between ficition and reality. These group of people are a risk but it would be false to say that they will kill people in reality because they like to kill "unreal or fictional" enemies in a comuter game.

For instance, my brother is a passionate player of games like Counter-Strike, Call of Duty or Medal of Honor which are all military games in which your task and aim is to kill as much people as possible in order to go on with your game. Nevertheless he is the best student in his class with remarkable grades. He is going to pass his A-Level exams, perhaps with one of the best grades at school. Or take into consideration that I prefer´to play games like "Resident Evil" in which you have to kill zombies with weapons. That has done me no harm and I never had the intention to try "the killing" in reality at school or in public places.

Censorship is a means of restriction and would be a violation of freedom of the individual person and the press/the media. People must decide for themselves what is good for them and what is not. It is the task of the society to integrate weak people that are at risk and in addition to that the teachers, parents and friends are also responsible of protecting their student, child or friend from the idea of mixing fiction and reality.

Weak teenagers that have a auffälliges behaviour could be a risk for society but as mentioned above if the responsible people respect their passion and integrate the people the risk is very low.

Coming to terms it would be negative to use the means of censorship because this would be a restriction of human individuality.