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7. Introduction

### 1.1. G protein-coupled receptors

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent one of the most important classes of transmembrane proteins. They were named based on the coupling with heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (G proteins). ${ }^{1,2}$ GPCRs are the largest gene superfamily of the human genome with more than 800 members. ${ }^{3}$ Pharmaceutical companies and researchers have extensively focused their research on GPCRs, and about $34 \%$ of the drugs on the market target around 100 GPCRs. ${ }^{3,4}$ The advances in protein engineering and crystallization techniques in the last years provided us with a surge of GPCR crystal and cryo-EM structures. ${ }^{5,6}$

### 1.1.1. GPCR structures

GPCRs are also called seven-transmembrane (7TM) receptors as they consist of seven hydrophobic transmembrane $\alpha$-helices. These domains are connected by three intracellular loops (ICL1-3) and three extracellular loops (ECL1-3), and contain an extracellular $N$-terminus and an intracellular C-terminus. One of the common features in class A GPCRs are two conserved extracellular cysteine residues that form a disulfide bond (Figure 1). One of these cysteine residues is located in TM3/ECL1 and the other one in ECL2. This disulfide bond is expected to induce and stabilize restricted conformations of GPCR domains. ${ }^{7}$ The binding domains of the natural GPCR ligands of GPCRs are quite different, organic agonists bind mainly to the TM region, while peptides bind to the amino terminus or the ECLs. ${ }^{8}$

GPCRs have crucial roles in signal transduction inside the body and are activated by various ligands, for instance hormones, neurotransmitters and photons. ${ }^{10}$ When GPCRs are activated, conformational changes occur in the receptors followed by interaction with the intracellular G protein that induces important signaling pathways inside the cell. The greatest homology between GPCRs was observed in the TM domains, while larger differences were found in the extracellular amino terminus which varies from comparatively short sequences in
peptide and neurotransmitter receptors (10-50 amino acids) to $350-600$ amino acids in glycoprotein hormone receptors. ${ }^{11}$


Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the GPCR structure. ${ }^{9}$

### 1.1.2. Classification of GPCRs

There are two common classification systems for GPCRs. Attwood, Findlay and Kolakowski introduced a classification system for GPCRs in vertebrates and invertebrates in 1994. ${ }^{12,13}$ This system categorizes the GPCRs into six classes (class A-F) (Figure 2). Class A is the largest and most studied class to which about $90 \%$ of GPCRs belong. These are the rhodopsin-like receptors that are activated by many ligands. Class B comprises the secretinlike receptors, to which larger proteins such as secretin and glucagon bind, while the metabotropic glutamate receptors that bind glutamate represent class C . The other classes do not exist in human, such as class D (fungal pheromone), class E (cAMP receptors), and class F (frizzled/smoothened receptors).

Another classification system that was introduced by Fredriksson et al. in 2003 is the GRAFS system. ${ }^{14}$ This system classifies GPCRs into five classes: glutamate, rhodopsin,
adhesion, frizzled/taste 2 and secretin receptors. The GRAFS system is classifying only human GPCRs and is based on their phylogenetic comparison (Table 1). ${ }^{14}$

Table 1. GPCR classification systems.

| A-F classification | GRAFS system |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A (Rhodopsin like receptors) |  |  |
|  | B (secretin-like receptors) | Glutamate receptors |
|  | C (metabotropic glutamate receptors) | Rhodopsin receptors |
|  | Adhesion receptors |  |
|  | E (cAMP receptors) |  |
|  | F (frizzled/smoothened receptors | Frizzled/Taste 2 receptors |
|  |  |  |

### 1.1.3. Signal transduction by GPCRs

Receptors are described as cellular macromolecules that transduce chemical signaling within the cell and are present in an active and inactive conformation. ${ }^{15,16}$ Binding of an agonist to the active conformation of a GPCR, stabilizes this conformation and activates the signal transduction cascade inside the cell. On the other hand, antagonists can bind to active and inactive GPCR conformations resulting in the stabilization of the inactive state or to receptor inactivation, thus inhibiting signal transduction. ${ }^{15,16}$ In a balanced unbiased system, GPCR signaling is mediated through the activation of G proteins; moreover GPCRs bind to adapter proteins, the so-called $\beta$-arrestins, which are controlling receptor desensitization and internalization (Figure 2). ${ }^{17,18}$ Some ligands can stimulate or inhibit various GPCR signaling pathways differentially and are called 'biased ligands'. These ligands can help us to better understand the molecular targets and the cellular responses associated with GPCRs and could be used as novel therapeutics with favorable pharmacology. $\beta$-Arrestin-biased ligands can specifically induce $\beta$-arrestin-mediated effects, while G protein-biased ligands activate the G protein signaling pathway avoiding $\beta$-arrestin-mediated desensitization of GPCRs (Figure 2). ${ }^{17,19}$


Figure 2. Unbiased and biased GPCR ligands. ${ }^{17}$
G proteins are heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding proteins that bind to the intracellular side of the receptor. They consist of an $\alpha$-subunit (G $\alpha$ ) and a $\beta \gamma$ dimeric subunit $(G \beta \gamma)$. Upon binding of an agonist to the GPCR, an exchange of GDP for GTP occurs in the $\alpha-$ subunit. The activated G protein then dissociates into the activated $\alpha$ subunit ( $\alpha^{*}$ ) and the $\beta \gamma$ subunit. ${ }^{20}$ These subunits play an important role in transmitting the extracellular signal from GPCRs to the intracellular secondary messengers systems, which can catalyze the formation of inositol phosphates (IPs), diacylglycerol (DAG), cAMP, and cGMP (Figure 3). The activation or deactivation of these effector proteins depends on the $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ subunit to which the GPCR couples. ${ }^{21}$

Seventeen different $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ subunits exist which are classified into four families $\left(\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{o}}\right.$, $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{q} / 11}$ and $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{12 / 13}$ ). ${ }^{22}$ Coupling to $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ stimulates adenylate cyclase (AC) that converts ATP to cAMP while $G \alpha_{i / o}$ suppresses $A C$ thus decreasing cAMP levels (Figure 3 ). ${ }^{23} \mathrm{G} \alpha_{q / 11}$ activates phospholipase $\mathrm{C} \beta(\mathrm{PLC} \beta)$, which hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate $\left(\mathrm{PIP}_{2}\right)$ to 1,4,5-inositol trisphosphate $\left(\mathrm{IP}_{3}\right)$ and DAG. DAG activates protein kinase $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PKC})$, whereas $\mathrm{IP}_{3}$ binds to its receptors resulting in massive release of calcium ions into the cytosol. ${ }^{24}$ Additionally, G $\alpha_{12 / 13}$ signaling involves the activation of RhoGTPase nucleotide exchange factors (RhoGEFs). ${ }^{25}$ Many GPCRs can couple to more than one $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ protein, ${ }^{26} \mathrm{G} \alpha$ subunits
regulate effector proteins such as AC and phospholipase C (PLC) which produce second messengers such as $\mathrm{IP}_{3}$ and cAMP. These second messengers control transcription factors inside the cell such as cAMP-responsive element (CRE), nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) and serum response factor (SRF) (Figure 3). ${ }^{27}$


Figure 3. Signal transduction in GPCRs. G $\alpha$ subunits regulate secondary messengers and transcription factors inside the cell. ${ }^{27}$

### 1.2. Classification of purinergic receptors

Purinergic receptors belong to the rhodopsin class of receptors, the largest family of GPCRs. They are classified into P1 receptors that are activated by adenosine and P2 receptors that are activated by various nucleotides including ATP, ADP, UTP, UDP and others (Figure 4). ${ }^{28,29}$ Moreover, purinergic receptors activated by adenine were discovered and designated P0 receptors. Adenosine receptors (P1) are subdivided into four subtypes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R s$ ) according to their tendency to activate or suppress adenylyl cyclase (AC) (Figure 4). ${ }^{30,31} \mathrm{~A}_{1}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} A R s$ show higher affinity for adenosine than $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$. $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and
$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs activate AC thus increasing cAMP levels, whereas $\mathrm{A}_{1}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R s$ inhibit $A C$ thereby decreasing cAMP levels.

Nucleotide receptors (P2) are subdivided into P2X and P2Y receptors according to their structure. ${ }^{32}$ There are seven subtypes of the ionotropic P2X receptors (P2X1-7) which are all activated by ATP, while the eight metabotropic P2Y receptors ( $\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{Y}_{1,2,4,6,11-14}$ ) are responsive to ATP, ADP, UTP, UDP or UDP-glucose depending on the subtype. The subscript in P2 receptors is based on the chronological order of their cloning. ${ }^{33}$ Adenine receptors (P0) have been cloned and characterized in rat, mouse and hamster, but not in humans. The first cloned adenine receptors in rat and hamster were named rAdeR1 and cAdeR1 respectively, while in mouse there are (at least) two adenine receptors, designated mAdeR1 and mAdeR2. ${ }^{34,35}$


Figure 4. Classification of the purinergic receptors.

### 1.3. Adenosine receptors

Adenosine receptors (ARs) were cloned in the early 1990s and since then they been extensively characterized. They comprise four subtypes: $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs and are activated by their natural ligand adenosine. ARs are membrane-bound G protein-coupled receptors that are widely distributed throughout the body, in the central nervous system (brain and spinal cord), and also in other organs including heart, lung, colon, liver. ${ }^{36}$ ARs are of great interest as drug targets for different therapeutic areas, e.g. ischemia, pain, inflammatory diseases, cancer, epilepsy, and neurodegenerative diseases. ${ }^{37,38}$

### 1.3.1. Adenosine

Adenosine is an important metabolite which is the building block of nucleic acids and ATP, the molecular currency of energy transfer in mammalian cells. ${ }^{39}$ It modulates many biological processes through the activation of the four subtypes of adenosine receptors. ${ }^{36}$ The activation of ARs is driven by the availability of adenosine in the extracellular compartment which has two main sources. Firstly, the intracellular adenosine is transported to the extracellular compartment through equilibrative nucleoside transporters (NT) (Figure 5). ${ }^{40}$

Additionally, extracellular adenosine can be produced by breakdown of ATP by the ecto-nucleotidases NTPDase1 (CD39) and ecto-5'-nucleotidase (CD73). ${ }^{41}$ Intracellular adenosine is produced either by the hydrolysis of adenosine monophosphate (AMP) by $5^{\prime}$ 'nucleotidases or through hydrolysis of S-adenosylhomocysteine (Hcy) by hydrolases (SAHhydrolases) (Figure 5). ${ }^{40,42}$ The intracellular pathway of adenosine production is the main source of adenosine under normal conditions, while the extracellular production is mainly activated under pathological conditions for example after tissue injury or hypoxia. ${ }^{43}$

The basal adenosine level under normal physiological conditions is $30-200 \mathrm{nM}$ which is not sufficient to activate the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs, the AR subtype with the lowest affinity (adenosine $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ at $\left.\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \sim 24 \mu \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{44}$ However, under pathological conditions such as hypoxia, inflammation and tissue injury, the adenosine level increases by up to 100 -fold, and consequently the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ are activated. ${ }^{44}$


Figure 5. Schematic representation of adenosine interconversion in the intra- and extracellular space. ${ }^{45}$

Adenosine plays an important role as a neurotransmitter and neuromodulator, also regarded as a 'guardian angel' for regulating tissue functions within stress conditions. ${ }^{46,47}$ The cardioprotective effects of adenosine were discovered by Drury and Szent-Györgyi in 1929, ${ }^{48}$ it promotes vasodilatation, angiogenesis, decreases inflammation and counteracts prolonged ischemia. ${ }^{49}$ Adenosine is clinically approved as Adenocard ${ }^{\circledR}$ for the treatment of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia. ${ }^{50}$ Contrarily, in acute pathological conditions, the overproduction of adenosine results in chronic inflammation and dramatic organ damage. ${ }^{45}$ These effects were reported for neurodegenerative diseases, ischemia, diabetes, asthma and cancer. For instance, adenosine disables the anti-tumor immune response in the tumor microenvironment and enhances proliferation and angiogenesis (neovascularization) in tumors. ${ }^{51}$ Therefore, targeting the adenosinergic pathways by AR antagonists may be an effective novel therapy for cancer. ${ }^{45}$

### 1.3.2. X-ray structures

Currently, there are more than 200 X-ray crystal structures for GPCRs deposited in the protein data bank. ${ }^{52}$ Studying these crystal structures helps us to understand the binding mode of the ligands to the orthostatic or the allosteric binding pockets of the receptor. GPCR crystal structures display the alpha-helices forming the transmembrane domains, extracellular and the intracellular loops. These new advancements in X-ray crystallographic technologies gave us more knowledge about the structure and function of GPCRs and aided us to rationally design new ligands. ${ }^{53}$ Although, crystal structures of the $\mathrm{A}_{1-}$ and the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs were resolved, structures for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs have not been obtained yet. ${ }^{52,54,55}$ Several X-ray structures of the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs were described either in agonist-bound active receptor states or in antagonist-bound inactive receptor states. For example, the crystal structure of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ in complex with its antagonist ZM241385 was reported (PDB id: 5NLX) (Figure 6). ${ }^{55}$


Figure 6. X-ray crystal structure of the adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ in complex with $\mathrm{ZM} 241385\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\right.$ antagonist) (PDB id: 5NLX). ${ }^{55}$

## 1.4. $\quad A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor ( $\left.A_{2 B} A R\right)$

### 1.4.1. Molecular characterization of the $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor

$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ adenosine receptors are subdivided into two subtypes as reported by Daly et al. in 1983 based on the findings that one subtype in the rat striatum had higher affinity for adenosine (named $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ ), while the other subtype found throughout the brain showed low affinity for adenosine and was named $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. ${ }^{56}$ The low affinity $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ was firstly cloned by Rivkees and Reppert from rat hypothalamus, and by Pierce from human hippocampus in 1992. ${ }^{57-59}$ The gene encoding for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ is located on chromosome number 17 (17p12-p11.2) spanning 30830


Figure 7. Gene coding for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor. ${ }^{57}$ base pairs (Figure 7). Also, a nonfunctional pseudogene for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ was described on chromosome 1 (1q32) that exhibits $79 \%$ identity to the $A_{2 B} A R$ gene. The $A_{2 B} A R$ has two transcripts with three exons on the forward strand. ${ }^{59}$

### 1.4.2. Homology model of the A2B adenosine receptor

The X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ has not yet been resolved. In order to know more about the structure and functions of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, several homology models were built based on the templates of the crystal structures of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, the most closely related AR paralogue to the $A_{2 B} A R .{ }^{60-62}$ The two ARs share an overall sequence identity of $58 \%$ and a similarity of $73 \%{ }^{63} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs share almost the same binding site for adenosine as shown in the homology model of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, based on the X-ray structure of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (PDB code: 2YDO) (Figure 8). ${ }^{64}$ Although there is only a single amino acid exchange (leucine L249 in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ for valine V250 in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ ), there is a large difference in the affinity of adenosine for both receptors. ${ }^{64}$ Therefore, it is expected that other parts of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ are involved in
conformational changes leading to the large difference in adenosine affinity, adenosine showed high affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ and low affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$.


Figure 8. Comparison of the adenosine binding sites of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (PDB code: 2 YDO ) and the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ homology model. The overlay shows that the binding sites differ only in a single amino acid. ${ }^{64}$

Moreover, the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ has a longer extracellular domain (EL2) with two N glycosylation sites, and the EL2 was predicted to play an important role as a gate-keeper for ligand binding, receptor activation and subtype selectivity (Figure 9). ${ }^{65}$ Recently, a new study predicted the presence of a meta-binding site for adenosine in the ECL2 using supervised molecular dynamics simulation studies. ${ }^{66}$ Additionally, in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, only one disulfide bond is formed which is essential for ligand binding and receptor activation, whereas in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, four essential disulfide bonds are formed in the extracellular domains, and the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ is therefore much more rigid. ${ }^{67,68}$


Figure 9. Snakelike plot of the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor. EL2- $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ (black), EL2- $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ (blue). ${ }^{65}$

### 1.4.3. Physiologic and pathologic functions of the $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor

$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs play an important role in diverse physiological body functions. Under normal conditions, the basal extracellular level of adenosine is not high, thus the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, the low affinity AR subtype, is not activated. ${ }^{69}$ Under pathological conditions such as inflammation and hypoxia, extracellular adenosine levels are highly elevated, and significant upregulation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ expression was reported indicating an important role of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs in disease. ${ }^{44,70} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs are, for example, expressed in vasculature, brain, large intestine and urinary bladder. ${ }^{71}$ Moreover, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ are found in neuronal cells, alveolar cells, astrocytes and many immune cells including mast cells, macrophages and lymphocytes. ${ }^{72}$ Adenosine has been linked to diabetes mellitus, since it affects glucose homeostasis, and to insulin secretion through the activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs. Besides, it prevents insulin resistance under standard nutritional conditions by controlling the activity of alternative macrophages. ${ }^{73}$

In myocardial ischemia, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs are selectively induced to decrease infarction through vasodilatory effects, decreasing platelet aggregation and adjusting effective metabolism of carbohydrates. Also, the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ promotes tissue adaptation to hypoxia and counteracts hypoxia-induced inflammation. ${ }^{72}$ This cardioprotective process involves transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF1 $\alpha$ ) and specificity protein 1 (SP1) (Figure 10). ${ }^{74}$ Other studies indicated the important role of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R s$ in the progression of diabetic nephropathy, renal dysfunction and fibrosis. The inhibition of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ suppresses the production of VEGF in renal glomeruli. Furthermore, studies showed that the inflammatory cytokine (IL-6) mediated adenosine-activated renal fibrosis downstream of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists would play a protective role in VEGF-induced nephropathy and attenuate renal dysfunction and fibrosis. ${ }^{75,76}$


Figure 10. Hypoxia induces $A_{2 B} A R$ expression and adenosine production, e.g. in myocardial ischemia, through HIF1 and SP1 respectively. ${ }^{74}$

High adenosine levels in tumor tissues generate an immune-tolerant microenvironment and increase tumor growth. ${ }^{77}$ A A $^{B A R s}$ were found highly expressed in many cancer cells in comparison to nearby normal tissues, which suggests their vital role in tumor proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis and immune suppression (Figure 11). ${ }^{78}$ Interestingly, the signaling pathways related to $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-mediated proliferation in cancer cells are quite diverse in different cancer types. The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ can lead to activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), the cAMP-EPAC pathway, extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1/2) and others. ${ }^{79}$


Figure 11. Schematic representation showing the role of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ and its antagonists in cancer. ${ }^{78}$

It was found that the activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs on tumor cells increased metastasis. ${ }^{80}$ The mechanism may involve $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-mediated expression of one of the main metastasis transcription factors, Fos-related antigen-1 (Fra-1). ${ }^{81}$ Moreover, tumor growth is dependent on angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, that supply cells with oxygen and nutrients (Figure 11). ${ }^{82}$ The activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs stimulates the production of angiogenic cytokines by mast cells, and the potent angiogenic factor, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). ${ }^{83}$ $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ signaling is involved in cancer growth and proliferation, thus $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are
novel and potential anti-cancer drugs that limits tumor growth, and metastasis and enhance anti-tumor immune response. Currently, several $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are in clinical trials for treating cancer. ${ }^{78}$

### 1.4.4. $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor selective ligands

### 1.4.4.1. $\quad A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor agonists

### 1.4.4.1.1. Nonselective $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor agonists

Although studies of animal models suggest the therapeutic advantage of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activation in several diseases including lung injury, vascular leakage and ischemia, the development of a potent and selective fully efficacious $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist has not yet been achieved. ${ }^{84}$ The development of a selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist would be very important to fully understand the role of the receptor in health and disease. Various agonists for the other AR subtypes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$ ) have reached preclinical and clinical evaluation stages, and an $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective agonist, Regadenoson, is marketed as a diagnostic drug for myocardial perfusion imaging. ${ }^{85,86}$ Up till now, some adenosine-derived non-selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists (Figure 12) and the partial $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist, BAY60-6583 (4a, Figure 13) have been developed. ${ }^{64}$

Adenosine (1) is the natural agonist of ARs, however due to its short half-life, more stable synthetic adenosine derivatives are often used. ${ }^{87} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists include adenosine-like and non-adenosine-like compounds. $5^{\prime}$-( $N$-ethylcarboxamido)adenosine (NECA, 2), a nonselective AR agonist, is considered one of the most potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value in the nanomolar range depending on the expression level. ${ }^{88}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA is used as a radioligand to determine the affinity of agonists for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ in its active conformation. ${ }^{89} \mathrm{An} N^{6}$-substituted NECA derivative (3) with a furancarboxamide moiety (Figure 12) appeared to display some $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ selectivity, however, this is difficult to assess since the reported functional data $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$
cannot be directly compared with the affinities obtained in binding studies for $\mathbf{3}$ at the other AR subtypes. ${ }^{90}$


1 Adenosine
$K_{\text {i }} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 310 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 700 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \quad 24,000 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 290 \mathrm{nM}$


2 NECA
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 14 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 20 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \quad 1,890 \mathrm{nM}$ $K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 25 \mathrm{nM}$


3 NECA derivative
$K_{K_{\mathrm{i}}} \quad \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 1,050 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \quad \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \quad 1,550 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{EC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 82 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{hA}_{3}>5,000 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 12. Chemical structures of non-selective $A_{2 B} A R$ agonists and their potency and selectivity; $(\mathrm{h}=$ human). ${ }^{87,88,90}$

### 1.4.4.1.2. Partial $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor agonists

BAY60-6583 (4a) was reported as the most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR agonist (Figure 13) and has been extensively used in in vitro and in vivo pharmacological studies. ${ }^{91}$ The activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ is thought to maintain the endothelial cell barrier and to have a cardioprotective effects. ${ }^{92}$ In a model of myocardial reperfusion injury, compound 4a showed protective cardiovascular effects. ${ }^{93}$ Moreover, compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in breast cancer stem cells. ${ }^{94}$ However, recent studies showed that based on the receptor expression level and local adenosine concentration, compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ would act as a partial agonist or even as a functional antagonist. ${ }^{95,96}$ Thus compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ should be used with caution in pharmacological studies as it displayed varied efficacies ranging from full to partial $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists and can even act as $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist. ${ }^{64}$ Also, it displayed higher affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ and lower affinity for the other AR subtypes as compared to NECA (2) in binding assays.


$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 387 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 114 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 223 \mathrm{nM}$

4b P453

$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 235 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 764 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 9.52 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 474 \mathrm{nM}$

$K_{i} h^{n} A_{1} \quad 0.66 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \quad 1,400 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 1.2 \mathrm{nM}$ (partial agonist)
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 240 \mathrm{nM}$ (partial agonist)

Figure 13. Chemical structures of partial $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists; $\left(\mathrm{h}=\right.$ human). ${ }^{91,97,98}$

Recently, compound P453 (4b), was reported as a potent partial $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist. It shares with $\mathbf{4 a}$ the same amino-3,5-dicyanopyridines scaffold and appeared to show higher potency (Figure 13). ${ }^{97}$ Compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ activated $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs causing increased glutamate release at presynaptic terminals thus decreasing paired pulse facilitation (PPF). ${ }^{99} \mathrm{PPF}$ is expected to be involved in many neuronal tasks such as information processing and simple learning. ${ }^{100}$ Furthermore, Capadenoson (BAY-68-4986, 5), a structural analogue of compound 4a (Figure 13), was initially classified as a partial $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist and underwent phase IIa clinical trials in patients with atrial fibrillation and further in patients with stable angina. Later on, compound 5 was withdrawn from clinical trials. ${ }^{98,101}$ Recently, researchers showed the ability of $\mathbf{5}$ to stimulate also $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$, thus $\mathbf{5}$ is suggested to act as a dual $\mathrm{A}_{1} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ agonist. ${ }^{102}$

Recent studies have shown that dual agonism of $\mathrm{A}_{1^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs can be beneficial in the treatment of heart failure through modulating myocardial fibrosis (A $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}-$ mediated) and hypertrophy ( $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ mediated). ${ }^{103}$ Compound VCP746 (6) is a dual agonist for $\mathrm{A}_{1-}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}-$ ARs that consists of an adenosine moiety and an $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ positive allosteric modulator (PAM) moiety connected by an alkyl chain. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ promoted cardio protection through the activation of the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ and was proposed to display antifibrotic effects through $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$
stimulation (Figure 14). ${ }^{104}$ Binding affinity of compound $\mathbf{6}$ at human ARs was determined in Müller group, showing that $\mathbf{6}$ not only exhibited high binding affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ - and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$, but also significant affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype (unpublished data).


Figure 14. Chemical structure of compound $\operatorname{VCP} 746$ (6), a dual $A_{1} / A_{2 B} A R$ agonist; ( $h=$ human). ${ }^{104}$

### 1.4.4.1.3. Selective $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$ and $A_{3}$ adenosine receptor agonists

Several adenosine-like compounds were reported as selective AR agonists. ${ }^{85,105}$ The $N^{6}$-substituted adenosine derivative CCPA (7), was reported as a highly selective $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist. It showed selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ in rat and mouse studies, however it is less selective for the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ in humans versus the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype (Figure 15). ${ }^{106,107}$


Figure 15. Chemical structure of selective $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$ and $A_{3} A R$ agonists (7-9); (h = human). ${ }^{106-109}$

For the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, $\operatorname{CGS} 21680$ (8), having a bulky substituent in position 2 of the adenosine scaffold, was reported as a potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist in rats, expressing moderate selectivity in humans versus the $\mathrm{A}_{1-}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ subtypes. ${ }^{108} \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{IB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ (namodenoson, 9) was reported as a very potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist; it is a novel drug candidate which is currently in phase II clinical trials for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (Figure 15). ${ }^{109}$

### 1.4.4.2. $\quad \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

### 1.4.4.2.1. Nonselective adenosine receptor antagonists

The naturally occurring alkaloids theophylline and caffeine were the first reported nonselective AR antagonists (Figure 16). ${ }^{63}$ Caffeine (10) is found in common beverages such as coffee and tea, it increases alertness, arousal and energy. ${ }^{110}$ Furthermore, some studies suggested the usage of $\mathbf{1 0}$, also in combination with selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, for the treatment of effort-related motivational dysfunction in depression. ${ }^{111}$ Theophylline (11) is a well-known treatment for asthma, however it interacts with various drugs and it has a narrow therapeutic window, thus its usage has to be closely monitored to avoid toxicity. ${ }^{112}$ The antagonist XAC (12) exhibits high affinity for all human AR subtypes (Figure 16), an can be regarded as a potent pan-AR antagonist. ${ }^{64}$ An X-ray structure of the thermostabilized $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ in the inactive conformation in complex with $\mathbf{1 2}$ was reported (PDB id: 3REY). ${ }^{113}$


10 Caffeine
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 10,700 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 9,560 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \quad 10,400 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 13,300 \mathrm{nM}$


11 Theophylline
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 6,700 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \quad 1,710 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} h_{2 B} 9,070 n M$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 22,300 \mathrm{nM}$


12 XAC

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
K_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{hA}_{1} & 6.8 \mathrm{nM} \\
K_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} & 18 \mathrm{nM} \\
K_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} & 7.8 \mathrm{nM} \\
K_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{hA}_{3} & 91.9 \mathrm{nM}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 16. Chemical structures of nonselective AR antagonists; ( $\mathrm{h}=$ human). ${ }^{63,113}$

### 1.4.4.2.2. Selective $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

### 1.4.4.2.2.1. Xanthines

PSB-1115 (13) is one of the early reported potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists that has a sulfonamide group and showing a high water-solubility, therefore it has been frequently used in in vivo studies (Figure 17). ${ }^{114}$ It enhanced the intestinal barrier function in colon inflammation in ischemic conditions and reperfusion injury. ${ }^{115}$ However, $\mathbf{1 3}$ shows selectivity only in humans, not in other species, and it cannot penetrate the central nervous system (CNS) as it is deprotonated under physiological conditions. ${ }^{114}$ Direct substitution on the C 8 of the xanthine scaffold by different heteroaromatic residues was explored by several research groups. ${ }^{116}$ CVT-6883 (14), developed by CV Therapeutics (now Gilead), showed good $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic activity and selectivity. Although it inhibited pulmonary inflammation and injury in the lungs of adenosine deaminase (AD) deficient mice, it was discontinued from clinical trials for lung remodeling and pulmonary hypertension. ${ }^{117}$

MRS-1754 (15) was reported as a potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist in humans (Figure 17), however it showed poor oral bioavailability and is nonselective in rats and mice, moreover, it is metabolically unstable. ${ }^{118,119}$ Compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ inhibited the growth of colon carcinoma cells and induced antiangiogenesis in microvascular endothelial cell lines. ${ }^{115,120}$ To overcome the drawbacks of sulfonates, the sulfonamide group was introduced in compound PSB-603 (16a, Figure 17), one of the most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. Compound 16a developed in Müller's research group showed excellent affinity for the human $A_{2 B} A R$ with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $0.553 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{121}$ Subsequently, a high affinity radioligand was prepared $\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]\right.$ PSB603) which is frequently used today in radioligand binding assays. ${ }^{121}$ Compound $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ changed the cellular metabolism in colorectal cancer cells and enhanced their responsiveness to chemotherapy. ${ }^{122}$ Moreover, it attenuated the proliferation of multiple prostate cancer cell
lines. ${ }^{123}$ Compound 16a showed high metabolic stability in human, mouse and rat, however it has poor water solubility. ${ }^{105}$


13 PSB-1115
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}>24,000 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 53.4 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$



15 MRS-1754
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 403 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 503 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 1.97 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 570 \mathrm{nM}$

human). ${ }^{62,114,117,118,121}$

Recently, structural modifications in 16a revealed more potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, such as compound PSB-1901 (16b, Figure 17). ${ }^{62}$ Replacement of the terminal $p$ chlorophenyl substituent in 16a by a $p$-bromo residue increased the binding affinity of the compound for the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. Compound 16b is the most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist up to date (human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} K_{i}=0.0835 \mathrm{nM}$ ). ${ }^{62}$

### 1.4.4.2.2.2. Non-xanthines

Several non-xanthine-based $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ selective antagonists with different heterocyclic scaffolds have been developed. LAS101057 (17), a pyrazine derivative (Figure 18) showed promising potency in cell-based assays and in an in vivo OVA-sensitized mouse model of
asthma. After passing preclinical safety studies, it was further selected for phase I clinical trials for asthma treatment. ${ }^{124}$ Compound ISAM140 (18) was identified as a new potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonist with a new scaffold resulted from structural modification of the 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-2(1H)-one chemotype (Figure 18). The potency of $\mathbf{1 8}$ was studied in radioligand binding assays ( $K_{i}=3.49 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and functional cAMP experiments $\left(K_{B}=27.0\right.$ $\mathrm{nM}) .{ }^{125}$


17 LAS101057
$K_{i} h_{A_{1}}>10,000 n M$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}>2,500 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 24 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{3}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$


18 ISAM140
$K_{i} h^{\prime} A_{1}>10,000 n M$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 3.49 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$


19 BAY-545
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 1,300 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \quad \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 820 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 66 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 97 \mathrm{nM}$ $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 6,600 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 18. Chemical structures of selective non-xanthine $A_{2 B} A R$ antagonists; ( $h=$ human). ${ }^{124-126}$

Furthermore, high-throughput screening was carried out by Bayer in 2018 using their corporate substance library ( $\sim 2.8$ million compounds were screened), where they reported thienouracil core to be a promising scaffold for selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. BAY-545 (19, Figure 18) was selected as a lead structure for subsequent in vivo testing. ${ }^{126}$ It was tested in animal models of pulmonary fibrosis, and caused a decrease of pro-fibrotic and proinflammatory mediators in the lungs of animals pretreated with a lung fibrosis induction stimulus (fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and silica). Although compound 19 has high exposure after oral administration to mice, yet it is still a moderately potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonist that needs further optimization. ${ }^{126}$ One of the main obstacles facing the in vitro studies of many $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonists is their low solubility in body fluids. Selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists with improved physicochemical properties are required.

### 1.4.4.2.3. Selective $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$ and $A_{3}$ adenosine receptor antagonists.

PSB-36 (20, Figure 19) is a selective and potent xanthine-based $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ AR antagonist that induced inhibition of human $T$ lymphocytes proliferation and cell death. ${ }^{63}$ Preladenant (21) is considered one of the most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists across different species, also it was evaluated in clinical investigations for early Parkinson's disease (PD), however the results did not support its usage as a monotherapy for PD treatment although it was well tolerated. ${ }^{129}$ For the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, MRS1523 (22), was reported as a potent $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R$ antagonist in rodents, yet it showed large species difference in terms of potency and selectivity. ${ }^{129}$


Figure 19. Chemical structure of selective $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$ and $A_{3} A R$ antagonists (20-22); (h) human). ${ }^{127-129}$

### 1.4.4.3. Dual $A_{2 A} / A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

Multitargeting is a new concept in drug development where one drug molecule can simultaneously interact with two or more drug targets. This approach can be powerful, in particular in diseases like cancer where the pharmacological cascade is very complex. ${ }^{130}$ Additionally, multi-targeting compounds show less side effects and improved compliance in patients as compared to combination therapies. ${ }^{130}$ Adenosine blocks the effects of Tlymphocytes to attack cancer tissues through activation of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ are highly expressed in many cancer cells having a vital role in tumor proliferation, and metastasis. ${ }^{73}$ Therefore, developing dual inhibitors that block both receptors $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, simultaneously could exhibit synergistic effects in cancer (immuno)therapy. ${ }^{64}$

Several dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists were recently reported (Figure 20). AB928 (23) was reported as a peripherally restricted potent antagonist of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs. A phase I clinical trial was conducted to study safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) of orally applied 23. The PK profile of compound 23 was doseproportional and linear which supports its further clinical development as oral anti-cancer therapy. ${ }^{131}$ Moreover, a dose escalation phase I study of compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ in combination with AB122 - a fully human monoclonal antibody targeting programmed cell death receptor-1 (PD1) - will be assessed in participants with advanced malignancies. ${ }^{132}$ However, $\mathbf{2 3}$ shows only $30-40$-fold selectivity versus the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$, which is considered as anti-target for this indication. Furthermore, 2-alkynyl-8-aryl-9-methyladenine derivative E-3210 (24) developed by Eisai (Japan) was reported as a dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist (Figure 20), however the affinity data for $\mathbf{2 4}$ have not been yet published. ${ }^{133}$ Further clinical investigation of this compound for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) was announced. ${ }^{126,134}$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{hA}_{1} \quad 64 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{B} h_{2 A} 1.5 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{B} h_{A_{2 B}} \mathbf{2 n M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{hA}_{3} \quad 489 \mathrm{nM}$

24 E-3210

Figure 20. Chemical structures of dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists; $\left(\mathrm{h}=\right.$ human). ${ }^{133,135}$

Studies carried out by X-Chem (USA) showed that dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists exhibited improved anticancer activity over selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ antagonists as they can inhibit adenosine signaling in more cell types. ${ }^{136}$ After several lead optimizations, they developed the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists X 6350 with good activity and PK properties; however, the chemical structure is not yet available. The reported binding affinities towards human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$
were 21.0 nM and 4.4 nM respectively. In addition, X6350 follows all Lipinski's rules of five for drug-likeness, thus it was selected as a clinical candidate. ${ }^{136}$ Furthermore, a newly discovered series of dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists was reported by Selvita (Poland). Compounds SEL330584 and SEL330-639 exhibited high in vitro activity not only at low adenosine levels, but also at high adenosine concentrations (tumor-like adenosine-rich environment), however the compound's structures are not yet accessible. ${ }^{135,137}$ Both compounds restored the adenosine agonist-impaired functional activity of molybdate-transporting ATPase (moDC) in cytokine release assays through their inhibition of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR} .{ }^{138}$

### 1.4.4.4. Allosteric modulators

In addition to the previously described $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR agonists and antagonists, some allosteric modulators, namely 1-benzyl-3-ketoindole derivatives 25-28, were reported (Figure 21). ${ }^{139,140}$ These compounds were identified using binding assays, functional assays (cAMP accumulation assay) and $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assays in CHO cells expressing $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs. Compounds $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ were reported to act as positive allosteric modulators (PAMs), they increased the efficacy of the AR agonist NECA (2) at A $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs but they had no effect on their own. Compound 26 increased cAMP production induced by 2 with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 250 \mathrm{nM}$ and a maximal increase from $100 \%$ to $237 \%$. ${ }^{139}$


Figure 21. Chemical structures of allosteric $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ modulators. ${ }^{139,140}$

On the other hand, performing slight alterations in the side chain of the same scaffold resulted in other compounds 27-28 reported as $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ negative allosteric modulators (NAMs) (Figure 21). Compound 28b increased the dissociation of the radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA from the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ and blocked NECA-induced cAMP in CHO cells expressing the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}} \mathrm{AR}^{140}$ The inventors of the allosteric $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ modulators $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 8}$ had designed these compounds taking into consideration the structural similarity between AR antagonists and $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor ligands.

### 1.4.4.5. Radio- and fluorescent ligands

Radioligand binding assays are important methods for the screening of new potential ligands. Several selective agonist and antagonist radioligands for the different adenosine receptor subtypes were reported. ${ }^{110}$ Agonist radioligands label the high-affinity binding site of an active receptor, while antagonist radioligands bind to both the active and inactive conformations of the receptor with similar affinity. However, antagonists with inverse agonistic activity bind with higher affinity to an inactive receptor conformation. Although several selective antagonist radioligands for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BA}} \mathrm{ARs}$ were reported, such as $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{MRS}-1754$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-603 29 (Figure 22), still, a selective agonist radioligand for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ has not yet been developed. ${ }^{121,141}$

Despite extensive trials performed in the Müller group to establish a radioligand binding assay using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ BAY $60-6583$ (Figure 13), they were successful due to the compound's moderate affinity and high non-specific binding. ${ }^{89}$ Alternatively, the tritiumlabeled NECA ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA, 30), a non-selective AR agonist can be used in radioligand binding assays to determine the affinity of ligands for the active conformation of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 22). ${ }^{89}$ However, it can only be used in cell lines not expressing the other AR subtypes.


Figure 22. Chemical structures of radioligands for labeling of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$; $\left(\mathrm{h}=\right.$ human). ${ }^{89,121}$
Fluorescent ligands represent an important alternative to radioligands to reduce costs and to avoid the risks of handling radioactive waste. ${ }^{142}$ Recently, the first potent and selective fluorescent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ ligand was reported by the Müller group (PSB-12105, 31, Figure 23). ${ }^{61}$ It selectively labeled $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ and was used to establish a homogeneous receptor-ligand binding assay using flow cytometry, as well as a NanoBret ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ assay. ${ }^{61,143}$ Moreover, a novel radiofluorinated $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist was developed as potential ligand for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. Compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ showed high affinity and selectivity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs (Figure 23). This ligand would help us to quantify the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ in pathological conditions, identifying variations in receptor density in inflammation or cancer models and for monitoring cancer therapies. ${ }^{144}$


Figure 23. Chemical structures of the fluorescent ligand PSB-12105 (31) and the radiofluorinated $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist 32; $(\mathrm{h}=$ human $) .{ }^{61,144}$

### 1.4.5. Therapeutic applications of $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor-selective ligands

Several studies reported the important roles of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs in many pathological conditions where adenosine levels are elevated, and consequently $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ are activated. For example, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists were reported to have cardioprotective effects in ischemic conditions, ${ }^{145}$ while $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are potential agents for cancer (immune)therapy. ${ }^{64}$

### 1.4.5.1. $\quad A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor agonists

Although several non-selective AR agonists were reported, such as adenosine and NECA, up to now no fully efficacious $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR-selective agonist has been developed. Several potential therapeutic applications were described for BAY-60-6583 (4a), the partial $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist (Figure 13), for example in obesity-induced diabetes, ischemic stroke and heart infarction. ${ }^{146,147}$ Activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs by compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ promoted the proliferation of various cancer cells including human breast cancer cell line, MDA-MB-231 cells, and melanoma cells in a mouse model. ${ }^{148,149}$ Because compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ is reported as a partial $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist, it should be used with caution if investigated in in vivo studies or in clinical trials to validate that these pharmacological effects are via $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activation. ${ }^{96,150}$

### 1.4.5.2. $\quad A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

The inhibition of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ holds great promise in cancer (immuno)therapy. $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists not only prevent inhibition of immune cells by adenosine in the cancer microenvironment similarly to $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, but they also inhibit tumor proliferation, metastasis and angiogenesis. ${ }^{64}$ The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist PSB-1115 (13, Figure 17) decreased metastasis of $\mathrm{CD}_{\mathbf{~} 73^{+}}$melanoma cells, and it also increased the anti-tumor activity of dacarbazine, a chemotherapeutic alkylating drug used in the treatment of melanoma and Hodgkin's lymphoma. ${ }^{149,151}$

Theophylline (11, Figure 16), a well-known asthma drug, is a non-selective AR antagonist which demonstrated some anti-tumor effects. Although it inhibits all four AR subtypes, its anticancer effect is thought to occur through $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ rather than $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ based on blockade of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ knockout mice study. ${ }^{152}$ Moreover, theophylline was tested for its anticancer effects in a chronic lymphocytic leukemia phase II clinical study. ${ }^{153} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ selective antagonists including PSB-603 (16a), GS 6201 (14, Figure 17) and ATL-801 inhibited the proliferation of prostate, colon, melanoma and other cancer cells, ${ }^{123,152,154,155}$ suggesting a potential role of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective antagonists as anticancer agents. Compound 14 developed by CV Therapeutics was the first selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist to be clinically evaluated. ${ }^{153}$ In Phase I clinical trials, it showed a good safety and pharmacokinetic profile and was found to be suitable for once daily chronic dosing. ${ }^{156}$

Additionally, compound PBF-1129 (structure not disclosed), a reported potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist, is clinically investigated as oral treatment for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). ${ }^{157,158}$ ATL-844, an $A_{2 B A R}$-selective antagonist developed by PGxHealth company, was clinically evaluated in phase II trials for the treatment of asthma and type-2 diabetes mellitus, however both trials were discontinued. ${ }^{159}$ Moreover, compound AB928 (23, Figure 20), a dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist, is clinically investigated as oral anti-cancer therapy, ${ }^{131}$ since it is hypothesized that simultaneous inhibition of both AR subtypes ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ) has synergistic effects against some tumors. ${ }^{78}$ Oral 23 showed a dose-proportional PK profile and will be investigated in combination with AB 122 , human monoclonal antibody targeting programmed cell death-1 receptor for the treatment of various cancers. ${ }^{132}$

### 1.5. Approaches to improve aqueous solubility of target compounds

Currently there are many reported potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists that can inhibit $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs with (sub)-nanomolar binding affinity values (Figures 17, 18). ${ }^{62,121}$ However, most of these compounds are lacking adequate aqueous solubility required for in vivo studies and subsequent clinical development. ${ }^{62}$ Compounds having inadequate physicochemical properties create major challenges for their delivery to the desired biological target. ${ }^{160}$ Therefore, several approaches have been explored to overcome these challenges with sparingly soluble drugs including prodrug approaches, structural modifications and various formulation strategies, such as pH adjustment and inclusion of solubilizers. ${ }^{161}$

### 1.5.1. Prodrugs

Prodrugs are molecules that are biologically inactive and are converted to the active parent drug in vivo through chemical or enzymatic reactions. ${ }^{162}$ The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved more than 30 prodrugs in the past 10 years, they represent $12 \%$ of all approved small molecule drugs. ${ }^{160}$ Prodrug approaches can increase water solubility, improve oral absorption, enhance chemical stability, and reduce toxicity of the parent drug. ${ }^{163}$ There are many approaches to develop prodrugs, such as phosphates, phosphonates, esters and carbamates, however selecting the appropriate one depends on the desired outcome. Here, we will focus on phosphate prodrugs used to improve the solubility of the parent molecule.

The concept behind the phosphate prodrug approach is to convert a polar and/or ionized functional group 'synthetic handle', for example a hydroxyl group, to its respective phosphate prodrug. There are several reported phosphate prodrugs, some of them are already approved drugs on the market, such as the hypnotic agent Fospropofol (Lusedra ${ }^{\circledR}$, 33), the orally administered antiretroviral protease inhibitor Fosamprenavir (Lexiva ${ }^{\circledR}$, 34) and the antiemetic drug Fosaprepitant (Ivemend ${ }^{\circledR}, \mathbf{3 5}$ ). ${ }^{160-162}$ Moreover, MSX-3 (36), the water-soluble prodrug of
the $A_{2 A} A R$ antagonist MSX-2 (37), is directly injectable and widely used as a pharmacological tool in various in vivo studies. ${ }^{163-165}$



Figure 24. Chemical structures of some phosphate prodrugs.
Phosphate prodrugs display higher aqueous solubility than the parent molecule. The thermodynamic solubility of prodrugs can be modified by the cations. ${ }^{166}$ Compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ has enhanced aqueous solubility, from $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for propofol to $\sim 500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ for the prodrug. Phosphate prodrug 36 showed an about 100 -fold increase in solubility in comparison to its parent compound $\mathbf{3 7}$ with a free hydroxy group. After injection, $\mathbf{3 6}$ undergoes fast and quantitative bio-conversion by alkaline phosphatase producing the potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist 37 (Figure 25). ${ }^{163}$


Figure 25. In-vivo bioconversion of MSX-3 (36) to MSX-2 (37). ${ }^{163,164}$

### 1.5.2. Structural modifications

Optimization of physiochemical properties alongside with biological activity is an important element of successful drug discovery. The high demand in producing potent and selective ligands for specific targets resulted in many cases in increasing the size and hydrophobicity of the lead structure. ${ }^{167}$ Improving the solubility of the target compound can be achieved by reducing its hydrophobicity and crystalline stability, while at the same time considering the structure-activity-relationships (SARs) responsible for the biological activity. ${ }^{168}$

Firstly, introducing a polar group, a 'solubilizing appendage', to the target compound is one of the approaches to increase its solubility. Such polar groups should be directed away from the binding site as they can make H -bonding with the target residues and interfere with the binding of the ligand's key pharmacophore features to its target. ${ }^{160}$ For example, the replacement of various alkyl groups attached to isothiazole by polar groups such as a hydroxyl group in compound 38d and an ethoxy group in compound 38c dramatically increased water solubility from $\sim 100$ to $>5000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (Figure 26). ${ }^{168}$



Figure 26. The effect of introducing polar groups on the aqueous solubility of compound 38. ${ }^{168}$
Moreover, replacing an aromatic phenyl ring by a polar heterocycle, such as pyridine, is one of the common approaches to reduce hydrophobicity. This replacement will not interfere with the final shape of the compound and is often tolerated. Interestingly, we can observe the effect of just changing a single CH group in compound $\mathbf{3 9}$ to a nitrogen (pyridyl analogue) in compound 40 resulting in increased solubility by 100 -fold at in pH 6.8 (Figure 27). ${ }^{169}$
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Figure 27. The effect of ring replacements on the aqueous solubility. ${ }^{169}$
Another example shows the effect of heteroatom rearrangements in one heterocyclic ring on the overall solubility of the compound. Compound 41a having a 1,3,4-oxadiazole ring was found to be much better soluble than compound 41b having a 1,2,4-oxadiazole and compound 41c having a 1,2,4-oxadiazole (Figure 28). ${ }^{170,171}$ This effect is expected to be related to the higher overall basicity of the 1,3,4-oxadiazoles. ${ }^{172}$


Figure 28. The effect of heteroatom rearrangements on the aqueous solubility. ${ }^{170,171}$

Furthermore, compound AMG 517 (42), a vanilloid receptor 1 (TRPV1) antagonist, showed low thermodynamic aqueous solubility that limited its further clinical development as a potential second-generation treatment for chronic pain. ${ }^{173}$ Introducing saturation (removing aromaticity) to the 4 -(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ring improved greatly the solubility of the compound (43, Figure 29). ${ }^{173}$ Compound 43 is less hydrophobic (lower cLogP value), this could be anticipated due to the reduction in structural planarity and the disruption of the crystalstacking capabilities. Moreover, compound $\mathbf{4 3}$ showed a lower melting point which supports the interpretation that disruption of planarity accounts in part to the enhanced solubility. ${ }^{174,175}$


Figure 29. The effect of removing aromaticity on the aqueous solubility of AMG 517 (42).
2. Aims of the study

### 2.1. Water-soluble prodrugs of $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

Although several potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists have been discovered, they are poorly water-soluble, which limits their usage as pharmacological tools. The objective of this project is to structurally optimize the potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist PSB-1901 (16b) to develop water-soluble $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist phosphate prodrugs, that can be hydrolyzed by endogenous phosphatases yielding the active drug. ${ }^{176}$ The target compounds will bear hydroxy groups attached either at substituent on the $N 3$ position of the xanthine moiety or to the large $C 8$ xanthine substituent (target structures A-C, Figure 30).


Figure 30. Schematic representation showing the three main partial structures of $A_{2 B} A R$ antagonist 16b and the proposed structural modification to obtain three series of hydroxylated target structures $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ followed by the preparation of their respective phosphate prodrugs.

The designed compounds share the main pharmacophoric features essential for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activity, including a xanthine scaffold that represents a flat core offering a hydrogen-bond donor group (NH at $N 7$ of xanthine) and a hydrogen-bond acceptor group (carbonyl function at $C 6$ of xanthine), a propyl substitution at the $N 1$ position of xanthine, a benzenesulfonamide moiety and a $p$-bromophenyl substituent at $C 8$ of the xanthine core. Compounds showing high affinity and selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ will be further phosphorylated to yield their respective watersoluble phosphate prodrugs (Figure 30).

### 2.2. Development of dual $A_{2 A} / A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

Recent studies indicated a beneficial role of synergistically inhibiting $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ for cancer (immuno)therapy. ${ }^{177,178}$ Starting from lead compound PSB-1901 (16b), we will make several modifications on this scaffold with substituents that are well tolerated by both $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ (Figure 31). Starting with substitution on the xanthine scaffold, we will replace the N1propyl group with an ethyl or methyl residues, and substitute in the $N 3$ position with methyl, ethyl, or cyclopropyl substituents. Also, the terminal phenyl ring will be replaced with various heterocyclic rings including pyridine, pyrimidine, thiophene, etc. Different linkers connecting the terminal aromatic ring with the piperazine or piperidine moieties will also be investigated (Figure 31). These various structural modifications will be implemented and evaluated with the aim to develop potent dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptors antagonists.


Figure 31. Schematic representation of the proposed structural modification of 16b to develop potent dual adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists.
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## 4. Results and discussion

4.1. Part I: Water-soluble prodrugs of $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists
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### 4.1.2. Abstract

$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptors ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BA}} \mathrm{ARs}$ ) are in the focus of interest as drug targets in (immuno)oncology since antagonists show antiproliferative, anti-angiogenic, anti-metastatic, immunostimulatory and analgesic effects. Potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists have been developed, however, antagonists with high water-solubility are lacking but would be required, e.g., for parenteral applications and for enhancing peroral bioavailability. Here, we present the development of water-soluble phosphate prodrugs of potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. We developed three series of xanthine-derived $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists bearing a hydroxy group attached to different positions of the scaffold. The most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists $(\mathbf{3 0 b}, \mathbf{4 2}, \mathbf{5 0})$ were subsequently phosphorylated to obtain the desired phosphate prodrugs 5254, which display greatly improved water-solubility. These compounds are anticipated to become useful pharmacological tools for in vivo studies, e.g. in animal models of cancer and infections, and have potential as future drugs.

### 4.1.3. Introduction

Adenosine receptors (ARs) are activated by the nucleoside adenosine and belongs to the family of class A (rhodopsin-like) G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). They are subdivided into four subtypes, $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}{ }^{1,2}$ The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ is hardly activated under normal physiological conditions where extracellular adenosine levels are in the nanomolar range and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R$ expression levels are low. However under pathological conditions, such as hypoxia, inflammation and cancer, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ expression is increased and extracellular adenosine levels rise by about 100 -fold. ${ }^{3}$ Under these conditions, $A_{2 B}$ ARs are activated, indicating the important role of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ in pathology. ${ }^{1,4}$
$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs are ubiquitously expressed, e.g. in vasculature, neuronal cells, alveolar cells and immune cells including mast cells, macrophages, lymphocytes and astrocytes. ${ }^{5,6}$ The roles of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs are diverse depending on the cell type and timing of receptor activation or inhibition. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ AR was reported to display a cardioprotective role by promoting tissue adaptation to hypoxia and counteracting hypoxia-induced inflammation; thus $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists might be useful for the treatment of coronary heart diseases. ${ }^{6,8}$ Recently, the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BA}} \mathrm{AR}$ has been proposed as a novel target in immuno(oncology), where the high level of extracellular adenosine in tumor tissues and the upregulation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ generates an immune-tolerant microenvironment and increases tumor proliferation. ${ }^{9-11}$ Therefore, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonists are considered as potential anti-cancer drugs with antiproliferative, antimetastatic, antiangiogenic and immunostimulatory properties, in addition analgesic. ${ }^{12,13}$

Several classes of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists have been developed, which can be subdivided into xanthine and non-xanthine-derived compounds. ${ }^{11}$ The naturally occurring alkaloids caffeine (1a) and theophylline (1b) are weak, non-selective AR antagonists. ${ }^{14}$ Caffeine is found in many beverages and acts as a central nervous system (CNS) stimulant that enhances cognitive and physical performance. ${ }^{15}$ It is also used in combination with analgesics and
enhances their effects. ${ }^{16}$ Recent study showed that the caffeine in combination with the anticancer drug fluorouracil (5-FU) acting as anti-metabolite of nucleotide synthesis, inhibited the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells in vivo and in vitro and induced cellular apoptosis more efficiently than caffeine or 5-FU monotherapy. ${ }^{17}$ Theophylline (1b) has been used for the treatment of asthma, however it has a narrow therapeutic window, and therefore its application is now very limited. ${ }^{18}$

Potent and selective xanthine-based $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists include MRS-1754 (2), PSB1115 (3), PSB-603 (4a), PSB-1901 (4b), ATL-801 (5) and CVT-6883 (6) (Figure 1). ${ }^{19-22}$ Compound 2 induced antiangiogenic effects in microvascular endothelial cell lines and inhibited the proliferation of colon carcinoma cells. ${ }^{19,23,24}$ Compound 3, having a sulfonic acid group, showed high water-solubility. However, it has moderate $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and lacks selectivity in rodents. It improved the intestinal barrier functions in colon inflammation in reperfusion injury and ischemic conditions. ${ }^{24}$ However, it is deprotonated under physiological conditions, therefore it cannot penetrate the central nervous system (CNS). ${ }^{20}$

To overcome the drawbacks of sulfonates, compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ with a sulfonamide group was developed in our group. ${ }^{21}$ It is one of the most potent and selective human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists with a binding affinity of $0.553 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{21}$ It is used in its tritium-labeled form $\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]\right.$ PSB- 603$)$ as a radioligand for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ binding assays. ${ }^{21}$ Compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ altered the metabolism in colorectal cancer cells and improved their response to chemotherapy. ${ }^{25}$ It also decreased the growth of multiple prostate cancer cell lines. ${ }^{26}$ Although 4a displayed high metabolic stability in human, rat and mouse, it is poorly water-soluble which limits its applications. ${ }^{27}$ Structural modification of $\mathbf{4 a}$ led to the most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists reported to date, compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ which displays picomolar $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity in human $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=83.5 \mathrm{pM}\right)$ (Figure 1), but again, showing low water-solubility. ${ }^{22}$ Furthermore, compound ATL-801 (5) significantly decreased the severity of murine colitis and would be an effective treatment for inflammatory bowel
disease. ${ }^{28}$ It also blocks the immunosuppressive effects of adenosine thus decreasing the growth of breast and bladder tumors. ${ }^{29}$ GS-6201 (6) (Figure 1) administered after the onset of ischemia was reported to block cardiac remodeling in mouse after acute myocardial infarction (AMI). ${ }^{30}$ It also lowered ventricular arrhythmias and left ventricular dysfunction after AMI in the rat model, therefore it was further clinically evaluated for pulmonary hypertension related with interstitial lung diseases. ${ }^{31,32}$


Figure 1. Selected $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists and their affinities at human AR subtypes. ${ }^{18-22,28,33-37}$
Several classes of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R$-selective non-xanthine-based antagonists have been described in literature. ${ }^{11,27}$ ISAM140 (7) a 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-2(1H)-one derivative showed high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=3.49 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{36}$ Bioisosteric replacements for furan moiety
in 7 with different pentagonal heterocyclic rings, such as oxazole produced potent and selective A ${ }_{2 B A R}$ antagonists. ${ }^{38}$ LAS101057 (8), a pyrazine derivative, showed promising potency in cell-based assays and in vivo in an ovalbumin OVA-sensitized mouse model of asthma. ${ }^{37}$ It was selected for phase I clinical trials for asthma treatment after optimistic preclinical safety studies results, however further development was discontinued. ${ }^{37,39}$ Also, compound 9 having the triazino-benzimidazolone moiety displayed high affinity and selectivity at $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs} .{ }^{33} \mathrm{PBF}$ 1129 (structure not published), a reported potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist that demonstrated high anti-tumor efficacy, is clinically investigated as treatment for advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). ${ }^{40-42}$ Although there are many reported potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonists (Figure 1), most of these compounds display limitations with regard to their physicochemical properties. In particular for water-solubility has been a major problem with potent AR antagonists in general and with xanthine derivatives in particular. ${ }^{21,22,43}$

Prodrugs are biologically inactive molecules that undergoes in vivo bio-conversion to the active parent drug through chemical or enzymatic reactions. ${ }^{44}$ The preparation of watersoluble prodrugs may overcome the limitations of poorly soluble drugs, allowing parental, including local applications and also improving peroral bioavailability. ${ }^{45}$ The development of water-soluble phosphate prodrugs is particularly promising. ${ }^{45,46}$ They can be obtained by the phosphorylation of hydroxy (or amino) groups present in the drug molecules and converted to the corresponding salts. Examples for successful phosphate prodrugs are depicted in Figure 2, namely the approved hypnotic drug, Fospropofol (10, Lusedra ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), the orally administered antiretroviral protease inhibitor, Fosamprenavir (11, Lexiva ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and the intravenously administered antiemetic drug Fosaprepitant (Ivemend ${ }^{\circledR}$, 12). ${ }^{46-48}$ Moreover, MSX-3 (13), a water-soluble prodrug of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist MSX-2, which is directly injectable, has been widely used as a pharmacological tool in vivo studies. ${ }^{45,49-58}$ These phosphate prodrugs show
significant improvement in aqueous solubility in comparison to their parent drugs (Figure 2), and their thermodynamic solubility can be modulated by their counterion(s). ${ }^{59}$


Figure 2. Selected phosphate prodrugs, their aqueous solubility and improvement in watersolubility compared to their parent drugs. ${ }^{45,46,48}$

In a previous publication, we presented the development of the outstanding potent and selective $A_{2 B} A R$ antagonist 4b (Figure 1). However this compound and its analogue 4a, display poor aqueous solubility, which limits their applicability, especially for injection. ${ }^{22}$ Therefore, starting from a structure-based approach, we designed derivatives into which hydroxy groups were introduced. Since the X-ray crystal structure of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ has not yet been resolved, we used a homology model of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ that was built based on the crystal structure of the
$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, the most closely related AR paralogue. ${ }^{22,60,61}$ This model helped us to better understand the molecular interactions of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with its receptor binding pocket and to identify possible positions for substitution with polar hydroxy groups. ${ }^{22}$ We subsequently synthesized three different series of potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists (Figure 3). Selected compounds were subsequently phosphorylated to obtain the desired water-soluble phosphate prodrugs.

### 4.1.4. Results and discussion

### 4.1.4.1. Design

The target compounds bear different hydroxy groups either attached at the $N 3$ position of xanthine moiety or to the large C8 xanthine substituent (Figure 3). In addition, they are sharing the main pharmacophore features essential for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ binding, including xanthine scaffold that represents a flat core offering a hydrogen-bond donor group ( NH at $N 7$ of xanthine) and a hydrogen-bond acceptor group (carbonyl function at $C 6$ of xanthine), propyl substitution at $N 1$-position of xanthine, benzenesulfonamide moiety and the $p$-bromo substituent on the terminal phenyl moiety, which increases $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity.


Figure 3. Schematic representation showing the key pharmacophore features of the potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist $\mathbf{4 b}$ used as a lead structure and the proposed structural
modifications to obtain the three series of hydroxylated target structures $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ followed by the preparation of their respective phosphate prodrugs.

Substitution of the xanthine $N 3$-position with hydroxyalkyl chains will yield target structures A (Figure 3). Different substitutions will be implemented on the terminal phenyl ring with phenolic hydroxy or hydroxyalkyl substitution to obtain target structures B. A third strategy was to introduce a hydroxyethyl residue attached to an amino linker connecting the piperidinyl moiety with the terminal phenyl ring resulting in target compounds C. Hydroxysubstituted products that show high affinity and selectivity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs will be phosphorylated to their respective water-soluble phosphate prodrugs (Figure 3).

### 4.1.4.2. Chemistry

The synthetic routes for the target compounds are depicted in Schemes 1-7.

Substitution at N3-xanthine position (target structures A). Different synthetic strategies including protecting group techniques were employed to obtain xanthine derivatives 24 and 30a-d bearing hydroxyalkyl substituents at the $N 3$-position of the xanthine core with various chain length (Schemes 1 and 2). The 3-hydroxypropyl-substituted derivative 24 was prepared as depicted in Scheme 1. The benzoic acid derivatives 16a-c were prepared by reaction of 4(chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid 14 with piperazines 15a-c in the presence of $N, N-$ diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA). Protection of the 6 -amino group of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was performed using $N, N$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal (DMF-DMA) ${ }^{62}$ yielding 18. Subsequent alkylation with 3-iodopropyl acetate led to the intermediate 19. Treatment of 19 with a solution of methylamine in ethanol cleaved the ester bond as well as the formamidine group yielding $\mathbf{2 0}$. Nitrosation and subsequent reduction of $\mathbf{2 0}$ were performed as previously described for uracil derivatives ${ }^{63}$ yielding compound 22. Amide coupling of $\mathbf{2 2}$ with the benzoic acid derivative 16a in the presence of the coupling reagent $N$-ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide
hydrochloride (EDC) $)^{21}$ led to uracilcarboxamide 23. Final ring closure reaction of $\mathbf{2 3}$ with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) ${ }^{64}$ at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the hydroxyl-substituted target compound 24 .

Scheme 1. Preparation of benzoic acid derivatives (16a-c) and 3-(3-hydroxypropyl)substituted xanthine derivative 24 .





Reagents and conditions: (a) DIPEA, DCM, rt, 48-72 h, 61-75\%; (b) DMF-DMA, DMF, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, $44 \%$; (c) 3-iodopropyl acetate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetonitrile, rt , $24 \mathrm{~h}, 36 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ ( $33 \%$ in EtOH ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~min}, 63 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}(12.5 \% \mathrm{aq}), 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~min}$, $45 \%$; (g) EDC, DMF, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 36 \%$; (h) (1) HMDS, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, (2) 4 M HCl in dioxane, rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$.

Scheme 2. Preparation of 3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-substituted xanthine derivatives 30a-d.


Reagents and conditions: (a) EDC, DMF, rt, 4-8 h, 45-60\%; (b) DMF-DMA, DMF, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, ~ 2-4$ h, $61-90 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-4 \mathrm{~h}, 45-74 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ ( $33 \%$ in EtOH), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4-8 \mathrm{~h}, 66-93 \%$; (e) (1) HMDS, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, (2) 4 M HCl in dioxane, rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 43-63 \%$.

An alternative sequence to obtain $1,3,8$-substiuted xanthine derivatives bearing different hydroxyalkyl groups at $N 3$-positions was explored for the preparation of compounds 30a-d (Scheme 2). The coupling of 5,6-diamino-3-propyluracil (25) ${ }^{63}$ with sulfonamidosubstituted benzoic acid derivatives 16a-c in the presence of $\mathrm{EDC}^{20}$ in DMF at rt yielded intermediates 26a-c. The 6-aminouracil group of 26a-c was subsequently protected using DMFDMA leading to 27a-c. Alkylation of the uracil N1-position with the appropriate alkyl halide
and subsequent simultaneous deprotection resulted in derivatives 29a-d. The final target compounds 30a-d were obtained by ring-closure reaction using $\mathrm{HMDS}^{64}$ at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction sequence described in Scheme 2 turned out to be superior to that described in Scheme 1 due to the higher reproducibility with different substituents.

Hydroxy(alkyl)-substitution at the terminal phenyl ring (target structures B). Previously, we have reported $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists 31a-e (Figure 4) possessing high potency and selectivity. ${ }^{22}$ These compounds represent derivatives and analogues of lead compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ featuring fluoro, chloro, methoxy or hydroxyl residues in the meta- and/or para-position of the terminal phenyl ring. In the present study, we synthesized related derivatives bearing a $p$-bromophenyl group, which is known to display high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$, but with an additional phenolic group (35) or a hydroxyalkyl residue (41b, 42) (Scheme 3 and 4).


Figure 4. Chemical structures of previously reported xanthines 31a-e. ${ }^{22}$

Bromination of commercially available 2- or 3-methoxyphenylpiperazine led to the desired 4-bromophenylpiperazines 33a,b. ${ }^{65}$ Subsequent aminolysis of the nitrophenyl-sulfonic acid ester $\mathbf{3 2}^{66}$ with piperazines $\mathbf{3 3 a}$ or 33b respectively was performed according to a previously reported procedure ${ }^{21,22,66,67}$ under reflux conditions in DMSO under an argon atmosphere producing compounds 34a,b (Scheme 3). $O$-Demethylation of 34a using boron tribromide $\left(\mathrm{BBr}_{3}\right)$ yielded the final product $\mathbf{3 5}$ bearing a phenolic group. The $O$-demethylation reaction of compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ using the same conditions was not successful and a dibrominated compound was produced instead, which is highly lipophilic and poorly water-soluble.

Scheme 3. Preparation of compounds 34a,b and 35.



Reagents and conditions: (a) DMSO, $\mathrm{Ar}, 150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15-18 \mathrm{~h}, 25-40 \%$.; (b) $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr} 3, \mathrm{DCM}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 26 \%$.

Unfortunately, xanthine derivative 35 featuring an ortho-phenolic group, was not suitable for further phosphorylation due to steric hindrance. Therefore, we prepared compounds 41b and 42 with extended hydroxyalkyl chains (Scheme 4). The commercially available N -(ohydroxyphenyl)piperazine (36) was boc-protected following standard protocols. ${ }^{65}$ The resulting tert-butyl 4-(2-hydroxy phenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (37) was subsequently alkylated with different alkyl halides yielding $\mathbf{3 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$, which were brominated in the following step yielding the desired $p$-bromophenylpiperazines $\mathbf{3 9 a}, \mathbf{b}$. Subsequent deprotection under acidic conditions led to the building blocks 40a and 40b, which were then coupled with the xanthine-nitrophenylsulfonic acid ester $\mathbf{3 2}$ in DMSO as described in Scheme 3 affording derivatives 41a and 41b. Reduction of 41a using lithium borohydride yielded the final product 42 bearing a 2 hydroxyethoxy substituent at the ortho-position of the terminal phenyl ring (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Preparation of compounds 41a,b and 42.


Reagents and conditions: (a) (Boc) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ dioxane (1:1:1), rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; (b) (for 41a) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 69 \%$, (for 41b) $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 84-90 \%$; (d) TFA, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-$ rt, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 40-73 \%$; (e) DMSO, $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16-18 \mathrm{~h}, 20-28 \%$; (f) LiBH4, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 90 \mathrm{~h}, 25 \%$.

Substitution at the amino-linker (target structures $C$ ). As another novel target, we introduced a hydroxyalkyl chain attached to a nitrogen atom connecting a piperidinyl linker with the terminal phenyl ring. The formation of appropriate building blocks started from N -bocpiperidone (43) and 4-bromoaniline (44). Reductive amination using sodium triacetoxyborohydride in the presence of acetic acid yielded 45, which was subsequently alkylated with ethyl- or methyl bromoacetate. ${ }^{68,69}$ The products were directly $N$-deprotected under acidic conditions leading to 46a,b. Subsequent reaction of 46a with 4(chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid (14) in the presence of DIPEA as a base yielded intermediate 47. Amide coupling of 5,6-diamino-1-propyluracil (25) with 47 in the presence of EDC, followed by ring closure with phosphorus pentoxide ${ }^{22}$ in DMF at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded xanthine derivative 49 .

Reduction of the methyl ester of 49 with lithium borohydride $\left(\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}\right)$ afforded the final N -hydroxyethyl-substituted xanthine derivative 50 (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Preparation of compound 50 (Method A).


Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{DCE}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 40 \%$; (b) (1) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOR}$, DIPEA, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 24 h , (2) 4 M HCl in dioxane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 55-60 \%$; (c) DIPEA, DCM, rt, $72 \mathrm{~h}, 53 \%$; (d) EDC, DMF, rt, $8 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 56 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 90 \mathrm{~h}, 40 \%$.

As an alternative approach to synthesize target compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ (Method B), we applied the aminolysis method in analogy to the procedure described in Schemes 3 and 4. Reaction of sulfonic acid ester $\mathbf{3 2}$ with piperazine 46b yielded compound $\mathbf{5 1}$ bearing an ethyl ester which was reduced using lithium borohydride producing compound 50 (Scheme 6). This method involves fewer steps to obtain
the final product 50 in comparison to method A (Scheme 5) and provides a higher overall yield (Method B: 3.78 \%, Method A: 2.04 \%).

Scheme 6. Preparation of compound 50 (Method B).



Reagents and conditions: (a) DMSO, $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 45 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 90 \mathrm{~h}$, $35 \%$.

The synthesized $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists were purified using flash chromatography on silica gel (for details see Experimental). Structures, molecular weight, purity, and calculated $\log \mathrm{P}$ values (cLog P) of the synthesized xanthine derivatives are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Synthesized $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R$ antagonists, molecular weights, purities, and calculated $\log P$ values.


[^0]
### 4.1.4.3. Adenosine receptor affinities

They were carried out to measure the affinity of the synthesized compounds towards at four human AR subtypes, $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ (Table 2). The $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA},{ }^{71}$ the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{MSX}-2,{ }^{72}$ the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB- $603,{ }^{21}$ and the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB- $11{ }^{73}$ were employed.

Substitution at N3-xanthine position (target structures A). The first group of xanthine derivatives was substituted at the 3-position of the xanthine core with hydroxyalkyl residues of different linker lengths (Table 2). Previous studies demonstrated that a propyl substituent in the 1-position of xanthine is optimal for high affinity and selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. Also, lipophilic substituents, such as bromo at the $p$-position of the terminal phenyl ring are beneficial probably due to halogen bonding interaction in the aromatic binding pocket of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR. ${ }^{21,22}$ Therefore, we considered these important features in the design of the new molecules. As expected, compounds with a $p$-bromophenyl substituent ( $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ and 30c) showed 2-6-fold higher $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ affinity than analogues with a $p$-chlorophenyl residue (24 and 30a).

Compounds having the longer hydroxyalkyl chains at the N3-position (24 and 30c) showed similar $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity but with increased affinity for several AR subtypes and thus lower $A_{2 B} A R$ selectivity than compounds having the shorter alkyl chain (30a, 30b and 30d). Therefore, hydroxyethyl was superior to a hydroxypropyl residue. Compound $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ showed high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.34 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. In addition, it was about 700 -fold selective for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ over $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs and about 35 -fold selective over the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. This $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist was therefore selected for phosphorylation to develop the water-soluble phosphate prodrug 52 (Scheme 7). Although compound 30d showed more than 100 -fold selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ over the other AR subtypes, it was less potent at $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ than $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ and therefore not prioritized.

Table 2．Adenosine receptors affinities of synthesized $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Compd． | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ | $K_{i} \pm \operatorname{SEM}(\mathbf{n M})$ <br> （\％inhibition of radioligand binding at indicated concentration） |  |  |  | $\mathbf{S I}{ }^{e}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{BA}} \mathrm{AR}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{A l}_{1} \mathbf{A R}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}^{c}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{3} \mathbf{A R}^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | 24 | 乡 ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | H | Cl | $2.72 \pm 0.35$ | $20.1 \pm 11.2$ | $385 \pm 99$ | $28.4 \pm 10.2$ | 8 |
|  | 30a | $\xi \stackrel{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | H | Cl | $3.09 \pm 1.10$ | $\geq 1000$（38\％） | $264 \pm 95$ | $68.7 \pm 14.1$ | 23 |
|  | 30b | $\xi+2 \mathrm{OH}$ | H | H | Br | $1.34 \pm 0.13$ | ＞ 1000 （33\％） | $900 \pm 283$ | $45.7 \pm 6.9$ | 35 |
|  | 30c | $\xi$ | H | H | Br | $0.492 \pm 0.058$ | $\geq 1000$（41\％） | $23.4 \pm 6.8$ | $78.5 \pm 22.8$ | 48 |
|  | 30d | 皿 2 OH | H | Cl | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $8.23 \pm 3.21$ | ＞1000（32\％） | $844 \pm 139$ | $\approx 1000$（52\％） | 103 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 1 b}^{f}$ | H | H | OH | Cl | $0.421 \pm 0.041$ | $113 \pm 39$ | $40.7 \pm 10.4$ | ＞1000（31\％） | 97 |
|  | $31 \mathrm{e}^{f}$ | H | H | Cl | OH | $3.55 \pm 0.77$ | $\geq 1000$（37\％） | $93.8 \pm 17.7$ | $\geq 1000$（41\％） | 27 |
|  | 34a | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | Br | $1.03 \pm 0.23$ | $\geq 1000$（43\％） | $175 \pm 32$ | ＞1000（23\％） | 170 |
|  | 34b | H | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Br | $0.764 \pm 0.158$ | ＞1000 ${ }_{(31 \% \text { ）}}$ | $123 \pm 27$ | $285 \pm 99$ | 161 |
|  | 35 | H | OH | H | Br | $0.443 \pm 0.154$ | $302 \pm 65$ | $87.8 \pm 5.8$ | $>1000$（32\％） | 199 |
|  | 41a | H | 乡o ${ }^{11}$ | H | Br | $3.76 \pm 1.11$ | ＞1000（28\％） | $\geq 1000$（41\％） | $\geq 1000$（42\％） | 266 |
|  | 41b | H | $\mathrm{O}_{Y+} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{YH}_{2}$ | H | Br | $6.27 \pm 0.92$ | $\geq 1000$（37\％） | $446 \pm 80$ | ＞1000（33\％） | 72 |
|  | 42 | H | $\xi_{3}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{Y}_{2}^{\mathrm{OH}}$ | H | Br | $0.718 \pm 0.038$ | $240 \pm 1400$ | $157 \pm 53$ | $>1000$（34\％） | 219 |
|  | 49 | $\mathrm{R}^{5}=$ |  |  |  | $0.318 \pm 0.084$ | ＞1000（29\％） | $971 \pm 397$ | ＞1000（19\％） | 3053 |
|  | 50 | $\mathrm{R}^{5}=$ |  |  |  | $0.473 \pm 0.132$ | $237 \pm 113$ | $121 \pm 44$ | $\approx 1000$（45\％） | 246 |
|  | 51 | $\mathrm{R}^{5}=$ |  |  |  | $0.266 \pm 0.105$ | $\approx 1000$（17\％） | 1000 （47\％） | $>1000$（17\％） | 3759 |

${ }^{a}$ vs．$\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB－603 $(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{b}$ vs．$\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CCPA $(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{c}$ vs．$\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MSX－2 $(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{d}$ vs．$\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB－11
$(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{e}$ selectivity index was calculated by dividing the second lowest $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value by the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value；${ }^{f}$ previously reported $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists．${ }^{22}$

Substitution at the terminal phenyl ring (target structures B). The second group of compounds includes those substituted on the terminal phenyl ring with a hydroxy group or hydroxyalkyl residues and concurrently with halides, such as chloro or bromo, that are known to increase $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ affinity (Table 2). ${ }^{22}$ In our previous study, we found out that compounds having polar hydroxy substituents in the $p$-phenyl position, such as $\mathbf{3 1 e}$, are showing moderate affinity and selectivity towards the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR} .{ }^{22}$ Therefore, in this study, we introduced a bromo-substituent in the $p$-phenyl position for optimal halogen bonding in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ binding site, while having the phenolic hydroxy group in the $o$-position. Compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ displayed the highest selectivity ( $\sim 200$-fold) for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ over the other AR subtypes in comparison to compounds 31b ( $\sim 100-$ fold) and 31e (27-fold).


Figure 5. Concentration-dependent inhibition of radioligand binding by compounds $\mathbf{4 2}$ and 50 to the human AR subtypes. Data points are means (SEM of three experiments performed in duplicates. * ${ }_{1}$ AR-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}^{71}$, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ - selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MSX$2^{72}$, the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB- $603^{21}, *{ }^{2}$ AR-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA} .{ }^{73}$

Compound 42 with a hydroxyethyl chain attached to the ortho-position of the terminal phenyl ring displayed similar excellent affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.718 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-selectivity (219fold) (Figures 5 and 6). Thus, we prepared its water-soluble phosphate prodrug 53 (Scheme 7). Compound 41b having a longer hydroxyalkyl chain (diethylene glycol) displayed 9-fold lower potency and decreased selectivity compared to $\mathbf{4 2}$ (Table 2 ).

Substitution at the amino linker (target structure C). The third group of compounds includes those having hydroxyalkyl chain attached to an amino linker (Table 2). In this novel series of compounds, we have the essential pharmacophoric features that are essential for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity, the free $N 3$-position of the xanthine core and the terminal $p$-brormophenyl moiety. Methyl and ethyl acetate derivatives 49 and 51 displayed high affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}<0.5 \mathrm{nM}$ ) for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ and outstanding selectivity ( $>3000$-fold). indicating that $N$-substitution of 4-(phenylamino)piperidine-substituted sulfophenylxanthines is well tolerated. This modification is new and has not been tried before; it opens up new possibilities for modulating the properties of this class of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists and for attaching functional groups and reporter moieties such as e.g. fluorophores. Target compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ bearing a hydroxyethyl group on the nitrogen atom combined with a terminal para-bromophenyl ring displayed subnanomolar potency and was highly selective ( 246 -fold, see Figures 5 and 6 ). We subsequently developed its phosphate prodrug 54 (Scheme 7).

Affinities and selectivities of the best hydroxy-substituted $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are depicted and compared in a column diagram (Figure 6). Three potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR antagonists, 30b, 42 and 50 , the best one from each target structure $A, B$ and $C$ with respect to high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and AR subtype selectivity were further phosphorylated to yield the respective phosphate prodrugs 52-54 (Scheme 7).


Figure 6. Affinities of compounds $\mathbf{3 0 b}, \mathbf{3 5}, 42$ and $\mathbf{5 0}$ at the four human AR subtypes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right.$, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) determined in radioligand binding assays are given as $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values; * tested, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{i}}<$ 5.5; ${ }^{\#}$ tested, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{i}}<6$.

### 4.1.4.4. Preparation of phosphate prodrugs

One-pot phosphorylation reaction ${ }^{45,66,74,75}$ of hydroxyalkyl groups in 30b, $\mathbf{4 2}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}$ was performed in a mixture of phosphoryl chloride and trimethyl phosphate. Hydrolysis of the reaction mixtures with triethylammonium hydrogen carbonate buffer (TEAC) yielded the desired phosphate prodrugs 52-54. Compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ having the phenolic hydroxyl group was not phosphorylated due to the expected steric hindrance.

Scheme 7. Preparation of phosphate prodrugs 52-54.



Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{Ar}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6-8 \mathrm{~h}$, (2) TEAC buffer pH $7.4-7.6,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 45-60 \%$.

### 4.1.4.5. Physicochemical and Pharmacokinetic Properties

Water solubility is an important pharmacokinetic parameter affecting oral bioavailability. Moreover, it is a prerequisite e.g. for application as injectables, for inhalation, and in eye drops. ${ }^{48}$ The water solubility of selected $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonists and the phosphate prodrug 54 was measured using semi-thermodynamic approach in PBS buffer ( pH 7.4 ) (Table 3). ${ }^{76}$ The water solubility of most of the investigated 8 -substituted xanthine derivatives including standard compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ (PSB-603) is very low, only $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or below. The introduction of a hydroxyalkyl group ( $\mathbf{3 0 b}, \mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{5 0}$ ) or a phenolic OH group (31e) did not improve or only slightly increased solubility. Compound 30c containing a hydroxypropyl substituent in the N3position of the xanthine core displayed particularly low aqueous solubility, possibly due to the formation of a stable intramolecular hydrogen bond with N9. These results emphasize the importance of pursuing a prodrug approach which is expected to significantly enhance water solubility. We tested this assumption for one example, phosphate prodrug 54. Compared to the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonist $\mathbf{5 0}$ (solubility: $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) its prodrug $\mathbf{5 4}$ (solubility: $166 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) displayed an 830 -fold increase in solubility. While the solubility of the parent drug $\mathbf{5 0}$ is 420 -fold of its $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value, the solubility of the prodrug is 350,000 times higher than the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of the drug.

Table 3. In-vitro ADME studies data of selected compounds in comparison to PSB-603 (4a); n.d. (not determined)

Compound | 4a |
| :---: |
| (PSB-603) |


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Compounds are dissolved in DMSO to obtain a 20 nM stock solution then diluted with PBS buffer ( pH 7.4 ), followed by vigorous shaking ( 24 h ) and centrifugation ( 30 min ). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ performed using human liver microsomes at $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Next, we investigated the compounds' metabolic stability in vitro, in human liver microsomes. The standard $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist $\mathbf{4 a}$ is metabolically very stable, and no degradation was observed within the incubation time of ( $0,15,30,45$ and 60 minutes). The hydroxyalkyl-substituted derivatives (30b, 30c) were similarly stable towards liver
metabolism. In contrast, phenol 31 showed fast metabolic conversion. The hydroxyethyl derivative $\mathbf{5 0}$ (structure C) was also metabolized, although more slowly than phenol 31. Both compounds had a longer half-live than the drug verapamil which was tested for comparison as a drug with low metabolic stability. The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists (4a, 30b, 30c and 50) showed high plasma protein binding ( $99.2,99.98,100.02$ and $99.99 \%$ respectively). This may protect them from degradation and increase their half-lives in vivo. ${ }^{78,79}$

### 4.1.5. Conclusion

In the present study, we modified the structure of the potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists PSB-603 (4a) and its analog PSB-1901 (4b) with the aim to develop water-soluble phosphate prodrugs. These prodrugs can be expected to be readily hydrolyzed by endogenous phosphatases producing the active compound. ${ }^{45,46}$ Three different positions were selected for introduction of the hydroxy groups for subsequent phosphorylation. Antagonist 30b belonging to target structure A , substituted in the $N 3$-position of the xanthine core with a hydroxyethyl residue, exhibited high antagonistic affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, however it also showed moderate affinity for the $A_{3} A R$. On the other hand, target structures $B$ and $C$, substituted on the substituent in the C8-position of the xanthine core with a phenolic group or hydroxyalkyl chains and keeping the unsubstituted $N 3-\mathrm{H}$, also displayed high potency and were additionally highly selective for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. We successfully phosphorylated three potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists representing the three target structures ( $\mathbf{3 0 b}, 4 \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}$ ) and obtained the desired water-soluble phosphate prodrugs $\mathbf{5 2} \mathbf{- 5 4}$. For the example of prodrug 54 we could indeed measure a huge ( 830 -fold) increase in water-solubility ( $166 \mu \mathrm{M}$ vs. $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist 50). The developed $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist prodrugs will be valuable tool compounds for in vitro and in vivo studies, and may guide a way for novel drugs in immunooncology, inflammation and pain, as well as further pathologic conditions that are associated with an upregulation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs.

### 4.1.6. Experimental section

### 4.1.6.1. Chemistry

General. All reagents used in this study were commercially obtained from various vendors (Sigma, Aldrich, Merck, Enamine and Acros) and used without further purification. Solvents were used without additional purification or drying, except dichloromethane which was freshly distilled. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using aluminum sheets coated with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Column chromatography for the products was performed with a CombiFlash $R_{f}$ Companion System (Teledyne ISCO, USA) using RediSep packed columns. Preparative HPLC was carried out on a Knauer HPLC system with a Wellchrome K-1800 pump, a WellChrome K-2600 spectrophotometer with a Eurospher 100 C18 column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). A gradient of methanol or acetonitrile in water was used as indicated below with a flow rate of $15 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Lyophilization was performed with a CHRIST ALPHA 1-4 LSC freeze dryer.

The purity of all biologically evaluated compounds was determined by HPLC-UV using an LC-MS instrument (Applied Biosystems API 2000 LC-MS/MS, HPLC Agilent 1100) according to the following procedure: compounds were dissolved at a concentration of 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in methanol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$. Then, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the sample were injected into a Phenomenex Luna C18 HPLC column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and chromatographed using a gradient of water/methanol (containing 2 mM ammonium acetate) from 90:10 to 0:100 for 20 min at a flow rate of $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. UV absorption was detected from 200 to 950 nm using a diode array detector. Mass spectra were recorded on an API 2000 mass spectrometer (electron spray ion source, Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) coupled with an Agilent 1100 HPLC system. For all other intermediate compounds, the same method was employed, but the compounds were dissolved in methanol. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a micrOTOF-Q mass spectrometer (Bruker) with an ESI-source coupled with an HPLC

Dionex Ultimate 3000 (Thermo Scientific) using an EC50/2 Nucleodur C18 Gravity $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column (Macherey-Nagel). The column temperature was $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Ca} .1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of the sample in acetonitrile was injected and a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was applied. HPLC was started with a solution of acetonitrile in water (10:90) containing 2 mM ammonium acetate. The gradient was started after 1 min reaching $100 \%$ acetonitrile within 9 min and then it was flushed at this concentration for another $5 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data were collected on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz NMR spectrometer at $500 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $126 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, or on a 600 MHz NMR spectrometer at $600 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $151 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. DMSO- $d_{6}$ or deuterium oxide $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ were used as solvents. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the deuterated solvent, i.e. DMSO, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 2.50 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 39.5 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 4.80$ ppm. Coupling constants $J$ values were reported in Hertz and spin multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet) and br (broad).

General procedure A. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of 16a-c and 47. To a mixture of 4-(chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid (14, 1 eq ) and the appropriate amine (15a-c or 46a, 1eq) dissolved in 20-30 mL of DCM, DIPEA (1.7 eq) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 48-72 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the solvents were evaporated and the obtained residue was washed with water and neutralized with few drops of 1 N HCl and the formed precipitate was collected by filtration. The obtained benzoic acid derivatives 16a-c and 47 were used in the next step without further purification.

General procedure B. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of 18 and 27a-c. To a solution of the appropriate uracil derivative ( $\mathbf{1 7}$ or 26a-c, 1 eq ) in 3-15 mL of DMF, DMFDMA (2 eq) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-4 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon completion of the reaction, the excess solvents were evaporated and the obtained residue was purified by column chromatography using the eluent system $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5).

General procedure C. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of 28a-d. To a solution of the appropriate uracil derivative ( $\mathbf{2 7 a - c}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $10-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ of anhydrous DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 eq) was added followed by dropwise addition of the appropriate alkyl halide (1.5-2 eq) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-4 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon completion of the reaction, the excess DMF was evaporated and the obtained residue was purified by column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/ethanol (9.7:0.3).

General procedure D. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and 29a-d. To a flask containing the appropriate uracil derivative ( $\mathbf{1 9}$ or 28a-d, 1 eq), methylamine solution ( $33 \%$ in ethanol, $10-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added at rt and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4-8 h. Upon completion of the reaction, excess solvents were evaporated and the obtained residue was washed with water and filtered. The obtained precipitate was purified by column chromatography using the eluent system $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5).

General procedure E. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of 23, 26a-c and 48. To a flask containing the appropriate uracil derivative ( $\mathbf{2 2}$ or $\mathbf{2 5}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), and the appropriate benzoic acid derivative ( $\mathbf{1 6 a - c}$ or $\mathbf{4 7}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in $10-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMF, the coupling reagent EDC ( 1.5 eq ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for $3-8 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon completion of the reaction, 10 mL of water was added and the formed precipitate was collected by filtration. The obtained precipitate was purified by column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5).

General procedure F. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of $\mathbf{2 4}$ and 30a-d. To a flask containing the appropriate uracil derivative ( $\mathbf{2 3}$ or $\mathbf{2 9} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $5-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ of HMDS was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the solvents were evaporated and the formed residue was dissolved in HCl (4 M in dioxane, 25 mL ) and stirred at rt for 1 h . A mixture of ice and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ) was
added and the mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining a residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5).

General procedure G. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of $\mathbf{3 4 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{4 1 a , b}$, and 51. To a solution of $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 32 (1 eq) dissolved in 3-5 mL of anhydrous DMSO, the appropriate amine ( $\mathbf{3 3 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{4 0 a}, \mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{4 6 b}, 2-4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15-20 \mathrm{~h}$ under an argon atmosphere. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then poured into 30 mL of water and a precipitate was formed. The solid was filtered off and washed with water $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(3 \times 5$ mL ). Samples were further purified using column chromatography using eluent system DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5).

General procedure $\mathbf{H}$ for the synthesis of phosphate prodrugs. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of $\mathbf{5 2 - 5 4}$. To a flask containing the appropriate xanthine derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 0 b}, 42$ or $\mathbf{5 0}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in $2-3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of trimethyl phosphate and stirred under an argon atmosphere at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{POCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise and then the reaction was kept stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-8 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon completion of the reaction, triethylammonium hydrogen carbonate buffer $\mathrm{pH} 7.4-7.6$ (TEAC, $0.2 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by stirring at rt for 1 h . The formed solution was freeze-dried and the obtained solid was then purified by reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) using a gradient of double-distilled water/acetonitrile from 100:0 to $40: 60$ in 25 min and a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$, then suitable fractions were collected and lyophilized to obtain the desired products.

4-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzoic acid (16a). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using 14 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ (535 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 20 mL DCM, DIPEA ( 0.8 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture
was stirred at rt for 48 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $65 \%(670 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol $(9: 1)=0.33 . \operatorname{HPLC}-U V(254 \mathrm{~nm}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 8.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 6.87 (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $3.38-3.29$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $3.24-3.17$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ). ESI-MS, purity: $95.9 \%$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $380.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzoic acid (16b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using $\mathbf{1 4}(920 \mathrm{mg}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ ( 1.0 $\mathrm{g}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 30 mL DCM, DIPEA $(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $61 \%(1.07 \mathrm{~g}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9:1) $=0.14 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.36-3.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.25-3.17$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 167,149.3,131.8,130.7,129.1,126.7,125.8,118.1,111.4,45.4,42.7$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $425.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-[4-(3-Chloro-4-methoxy-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl-benzoic acid (13c). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using 14 ( $391 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 5 c}$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 20 mL DCM, DIPEA ( 0.4 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 72 h . A light brown precipitate was obtained in a yield of $75 \%$ ( 550 $\mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.19-8.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.90-7.86$ (d, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.20-3.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.08-3.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 166.2,148.9,144.9,138.6,135.1,130.41,128,121.6,118.8$, 116.7, 113.7, 56.4, 49, 45.8. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $411.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

6-Amino-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (17). ${ }^{63}$ To a flask containing 6 -aminouracil ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 27.5 mL of HMDS, a catalytic amount of ammonium sulfate $(0.25 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed with stirring at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h until a clear solution was formed. The reaction was cooled to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$-iodopropane $(9.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 100$ mmol ) was added dropwise and then the reaction mixture was heated for at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was monitored using TLC with the eluent system DCM/methanol (9:1). Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and on an ice bath, 50 mL of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added dropwise with stirring until effervescence ceased. The desired product was obtained in a yield of $79 \%(10.6 \mathrm{~g})$ as an off-white product. $R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9: 1)=0.41$. LC-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 170.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$N^{\prime}$-(2,4-Dioxo-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidin-6-yl)- $N, N$-dimethyl-formamidine (18). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure B using $17(2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 15 mL DMF and DMF-DMA ( $4.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . An off-white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $44 \%(1.53 \mathrm{~g}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9: 1)=0.63 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ), $3.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 158.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 156.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 151.7(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CH}), 81.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 40.6$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 40.3\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $225.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 3-[6-[(E)-Dimethylaminomethyleneamino]-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-pyrimidin-1-yl]propyl

 acetate (19). To a flask containing $\mathbf{1 8}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.93$ $\mathrm{g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by dropwise addition of 3-iodopropyl acetate ( 1.22 g 5.4 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h . Excess solvents were removed byvaporization followed by the addition of 10 mL water and a white precipitate was formed. The desired product was obtained in a yield of $36 \%(0.53 \mathrm{~g})$ as white product. $R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5) $=0.33 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {uracil }}\right)$, $4.03-3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.72-3.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 1.89-1.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.53-1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.82$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 170.2,161.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 158.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 156.1\left(\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {uracil }}\right), 151.7(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CH}), 82\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 62\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.4\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $40.2\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$, $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (acetyl) }}\right)$, $11.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right)$. LCMS positive mode (m/z): $324.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

6-Amino-1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-3-propyl-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (20). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure D using $19(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 15 mL methylamine solution ( $33 \%$ in ethanol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $77 \%(170 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9: 1)=0.31$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2 \text { (uracil) }}\right), 4.65\left(\mathrm{t}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 3.81 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.65\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.71$ $-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.50-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.79\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.3$ ( C 4 uracil $), 154.6$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 151.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 75.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), $58.3\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.3\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 11.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $227.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

6-Amino-1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-5-nitroso-3-propyl-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (21). To a flask containing 20 ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) dissolved in acidic solution ( 2 mL glacial acetic acid and 2 mL water), $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was added and the mixture was stirred at$ $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min . Evaporation of the excess acetic acid yielded the desired product 21 in a yield of $63 \%(94 \mathrm{mg})$ as a violet solid. $R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9: 1)=0.57 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ,

DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 1.69$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.64-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 149.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 145.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 139.2, 94.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), $58.2\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $257.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

5,6-Diamino-1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-3-propyl-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (22). To a flask containing $21(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$, aqueous ammonia solution $(12.5 \%, 12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was refluxed at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min until the solid was completely dissolved and then $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(275 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise until the red color disappeared forming a light-yellow solution. The excess solvents were evaporated, and the residue formed was washed with 3 mL of water yielding compound $\mathbf{2 2}$. The desired product was obtained in a yield of $45 \%(86 \mathrm{mg})$ as a white product. $R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol $(9: 1)=0.24 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.75-3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.45-3.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.74-1.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.54-1.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right), 0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 159.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 149.6$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 144.5 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 96.3 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), $58.0(\mathrm{~N} 1-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $243.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## $N$-[6-Amino-1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-pyrimidin-5-yl]-4-[4-(4-chloro

 phenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzamide (23). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure E using $22(95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 6 a}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL DMF, $\mathrm{EDC}(113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . A pale-yellow precipitate was obtained in a yield of $36 \%$ ( 75 mg ). $R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol $(9: 1)=0.78 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=$$\left.8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90$ (d, $\left.J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1$ $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.77-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.54-1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $0.82\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.5,159.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right)$, 159.2, 152.1, 150.5, 149.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 144.5 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {uraciil }}$ ), 139.0, 136.9, 129.2, 128.9, 127.5, 123.4, $117.8,87.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.0,47.9,45.8,42.0\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.2,31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.8$, $21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 605.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 8-[4-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylphenyl]-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1-propyl-

 7H-purine-2,6-dione (24). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure F through refluxing 23 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL HMDS, followed by stirring in HCl ( 4 M in dioxane, 5 mL ). A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $88 \%$ ( 64 mg ). M.p.: 335$339{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9:1) $=0.84 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 14.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 8.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1$ - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.48\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.24-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $3.10-3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.93-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.3$ ( $\mathrm{C} 4_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 150.7, 149.3 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.3, 148.0 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 135.8, 133.1, 128.8, 128.5, 127.3, 123.4, 117.8, $108.9\left(\mathrm{HOCH}_{2}\right), 58.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.9,45.8,42.4\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.0,31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: $96.7 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $587.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} 586.0920$, found 586.0887.5,6-Diamino-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidine-2,4-dione (25). ${ }^{63}$ To a flask containing 6-amino-5-nitroso-3-propylpyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aqueous ammonia solution ( $12.5 \%, 14 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The reaction was refluxed at $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min until the solid is completely soluble and then we add $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ portionwise till the yellow color disappears forming a colorless solution. The excess solvents were vaporized and the residue formed was washed with 3 mL water yielding the desired product $\mathbf{2 5}$. The desired product was obtained in a yield of $24 \%(223 \mathrm{mg})$ and as the product is chemically unstable, it was used directly without further purification. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $185.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## $N$-(6-Amino-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidin-5-yl)-4-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-

$\mathbf{y l}]$ sulfonylbenzamide (26a). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure E using 25 (200 mg, 1.10 mmol$)$ and $\mathbf{1 6 a}(419 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL DMF, EDC ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . A yellow precipitate was obtained in a yield of $48 \%(300 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.48$ (s, 1H, NH), $9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.24-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.06-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.54-1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.3,160.7$ (C4uracil $)$, 150.6 ( C6 uracil ), 150.1 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 149.2, 139.0, 137.0, 129.1, 128.8, 127.5, 123.3, 117.8, $86.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uraciil }}\right), 47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 41.1(\mathrm{~N} 3-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 547.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## $N$-(6-Amino-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidin-5-yl)-4-[4-(4-bromophenyl)piperazin-1-

 $\mathbf{y l}$ [sulfonylbenzamide (26b). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure E using 25 ( $434 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 6 b}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 15 mL DMF, EDC ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h . A yellowprecipitate was obtained in a yield of $45 \%(630 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9: 1)=0.43 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.25-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $3.08-2.99$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $1.55-1.43$ (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) })}$ ), 0.83 (t, $J=7.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.3,160.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 150.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right)$, 150.2 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {uracil }}$ ), $149.5,139,137,131.7,129.1,127.5,118.17,111,86.7$ ( $\mathrm{C} 5_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 47.7 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $593.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## $N$-(6-Amino-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidin-5-yl)-4-[4-(3-chloro-4-methoxy-phenyl)

 piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzamide (26c). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure E using $\mathbf{2 5}(450 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 6 c}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 15 mL DMF, EDC ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 8 h . A yellow precipitate was obtained in a yield of $60 \%(430 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89-$ 7.84 (d, $\left.J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.21-6.94$ (d, $\left.J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.62$ (d, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.70-3.62\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.22$ $-3.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.98-3.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.43-1.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $0.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.3,162.4,160.7$ (C4 uracil), 150.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 150 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 148.7, 145.2, 139, 137, 129, 128, 127.5, 121.7, 121.6, 118.62, 116.5, 113.7, 86.7 (C5uracil), 56.4, $48.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 41\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 35.9, $21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ (propyl) $)$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 577.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.(E)-4-((4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)-N-(6(((dimethylamino)methylene)amino) 2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-5-yl)benzamide (27a). This compound was
synthesized according to the general procedure B using 26a ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL DMF, DMF-DMA ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . A pale-yellow precipitate was obtained in a yield of $61 \%(200 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5) $=0.26 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.06 (d, $\left.J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.96$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.87 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.23-3.16$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $3.09-3.00$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), 2.94 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.57-1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.9$, 161.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), $156.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 154.7$ $(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 150.7(\mathrm{C} 2$ uracil $), 149.3,139.0,137.3,128.8,128.6,127.9,123.4,117.8,96.0(\mathrm{C} 5$ uracil $)$, $47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 41.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $33.9\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 11.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $602.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 4-[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl-N-[6-[(E)-dimethylamino-methyleneamino]-

 2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1H-pyrimidin-5-yl]benzamide (27b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure $B$ using $\mathbf{2 6 b}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 3 mL DMF and DMF-DMA ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $87 \%(95 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9:1) $=0.49 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.23-$ 3.16 (m, 4H, CH piperazine $^{\text {) , }} 3.09-3.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $2.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $1.45-1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) })}\right), 0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.9,161.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 156.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 154.6 ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 150.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 149.6, 139.0, 137.3, 131.68, 128.6, 127.8, 118.2, 111, $96\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uraciil }}\right), 47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.7$$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 41.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $33.9\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $646.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
[5-(4-\{[4-(3-Chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}fcytof-N-\{6-[(E)-[(dimethyl-amino)methylidene]amino]-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-5-yl\}benzamide (27c). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using 26c (760 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL anhydrous DMF and DMF-DMA ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $90 \%(831 \mathrm{mg})$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 632.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 2-[5-(4-\{[4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}benzamido)-6-[(E)-[(dimethylamino)-

 methylidene]-amino]-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-1-yl]ethylacetate (28a). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure C using 27a (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL of anhydrous DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3iodoethylacetate ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . A pale yellowish precipitate was obtained in a yield of $50 \%(120 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9.5: 0.5)=0.49 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.23-7.18$ (d, $\left.J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.93-6.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.19\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.18-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $3.00-3.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.94$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.58-1.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl })}\right), 0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 170.3,166.8,160.6$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 156.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), $156.3(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CH}), 150.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {uracil }}\right), 149.3\left(\mathrm{C} 5_{\text {uracil }}\right), 138.7,137.6,128.8,128.6,128.0,123.4,117.8,95.7,61.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 42.3\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 33.9\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 688.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
## 2-[5-(4-\{[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}benzamido)-6-[(E)-[(dimethylamino)

 methylidene]amino]-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-1-yl]ethylacetate (28b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure C using $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ ( 90 mg , $0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL of $\mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-iodoethylacetate ( 60 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture was stirred for at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . A yellowish precipitate was obtained in a yield of $45 \%(45 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol $(9.5: 0.5)=0.15 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.33-7.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.87-6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.22\left(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.19\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.23-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.12-3.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.58-1.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 170.3,166.8$, $160.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 156.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracii }}$ ), $156.3(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CH}), 150.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uraciil }}$ ), 149.6 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uraciil }}$ ), 138.7, 137.6, 131.7, 128.5, 128.0, 118.2, 111, 95.7, $61.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 55.97, $47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 42.3\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $33.9\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 29.7, $20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $732.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
## 2-[5-[[4-[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl-benzoyl]amino]-6-[(E)dimethylamino-

 methyleneamino]-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-pyrimidin-1-yl]propylacetate (28c). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure $C$ using 27b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-iodopropyl acetate ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ mmol ) and the reaction mixture was stirred for at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~h}$. A yellowish precipitate was obtained in a yield of $64 \%(74 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5) $=0.42 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.36-7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.87-6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.00\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.80-3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,$3.23-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.00-3.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.84-2.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.89\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.57-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 170.4,166.9$, 160.6 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 156.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 156.3, 150.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 149.6 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 138.7, 137.6, 131.7, 128.5, 127.9, 118.2, 111, 95.6, $62.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 47.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $42.3\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, 33.9 $\left(N-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.4,20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $746.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## [5-(4-\{[4-(3-Chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}benzamido)-6-[(E)-

 [(dimethyl-amino)methylidene]amino]-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin -1-yl]methylacetate (28d). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure C using 27c ( $908 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 15 mL anhydrous DMF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 400 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-iodoethylacetate $(462 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{~h}$. A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $55 \%$ (550 mg ). LC-MS positive mode ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $704.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
## $N$-[6-Amino-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-pyrimidin-5-yl]-4-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)

 piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzamide (29a). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure D using 28a ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in methylamine solution ( $33 \%$ in ethanol, 10 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $79 \%(72 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5) $=0.25 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.98\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.23-3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.09-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.58-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 0.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.5,159.2$(C4uracil), 152.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 150.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 149.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 139, 137, 129.1, 128.8, 127.5, 123.3, 117.8, 87.8, 59, $47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $26.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right)$, $21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right), 11.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $591.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## $N$-[6-amino-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-pyrimidin-5-yl]-4-[4-(4-bromophenyl)

 piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzamide (29b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure D using $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL methylamine solution ( $33 \%$ in ethanol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $74 \%(50 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{methanol}(9.5: 0.5)=0.22 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.23 - $3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.10-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.53-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.5,159.2$ ( C 4 uracil $), 152.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 150.7 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {uraciil }}$ ), 149.5 ( C 5 uracil ), 139, 137, 131.7, 129.1, 127.5, 123.3, 118.2, 111, $87.8,59,47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) })}\right), 11.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $637.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.$N$-[6-Amino-1-(2-hydroxypropyl)-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-pyrimidin-5-yl]-4-[4-(4-bromophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylbenzamide (29c). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure D using $\mathbf{2 8 c}$ ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in methylamine solution ( $33 \%$ in ethanol, 10 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $93 \%(55 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9.5: 0.5)=0.19 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.76-3.67\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.48-$
$3.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.25-3.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.98-3.5(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $1.78-1.68\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.57-1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 165.5,159.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right), 152\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}\right)$, 150.5 (C2urail), 149.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {uracil }}$ ), 139, 137, 131.7, 129.1, 127.8, 127.5, 118.2, 111, 87.3, 58.1, $47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $31,26.5,21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $649.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## $N$-[6-Amino-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-5-yl]-4-

 \{[4-(3-chloro-4-methoxyphe-nyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}benzamide (29d). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure D using $\mathbf{2 8 d}$ ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in methylamine solution ( $33 \%$ in ethanol, 10 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $66 \%$ ( 30 mg ). LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 621.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
## 8-[4-[4-(4-Chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulf-onylphenyl]-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-propyl-7H-

 purine-2,6-dione (30a). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure F through refluxing 29a ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL HMDS, followed by stirring in HCl ( 4 M in dioxane, 5 mL ). A yellow precipitate for 30a was obtained in a yield of $43 \%(29 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $332-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5) $=0.26 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.20$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86$ (m, 2H, CH $\left.{ }_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.23-3.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.08-$ $3.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.93-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.62-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO-d $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.4$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 150.9 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 149.3 ( $\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.6 ( $\mathrm{C} 4_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $147.9,135.9,133.1,128.8,128.5,127.3,123.4$, 117.8, $108.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}\right)$, $57.8\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$,$21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: $96.2 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $573.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 571.1814$, found 571.1833.

## 8-[4-[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylphenyl]-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-propyl-7H-

 purine-2,6-dione (30b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure F through refluxing 29b ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL HMDS, followed by stirring in HCl ( 4 M in dioxane, 2 mL ). A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $63 \%(27 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: 348$352{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol $(9: 1)=0.55 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 14.4(\mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{br}), 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.90-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.23-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.07-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $1.54-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 154.5$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 150.9 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 149.6 ( $\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.6 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $147.9,135.7,133.3,131.7,128.4,127.2,118.1,111(\mathrm{C} 5$ xanthine $), 57.8\left(\mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $45.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $29.12,21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl })}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 95.2\%. LC-MS (m/z): $617.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESITOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 617.1104, found 617.0996 .
## 8-[4-[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonylphenyl]-3-(2-hydroxyprop-yl)-1-propyl-

 7H-purine-2,6-dione (30c). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure F through refluxing 29c ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL HMDS, followed by stirring in $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 2 mL$)$. A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $60 \%(50 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $352-357{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9.5: 0.5)=0.59 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 14.17 (s (br), 1H, OH), 8.37 (d, $\left.J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $7.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,$3.90-3.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.48\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.23-3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $3.09-3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.66-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.4$ ( $\mathrm{Cb}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 150.8 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 149.6 ( $\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 135.8, 133.2, 131.7, 128.5, 127.3, 118.2, 111 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $58.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 41,31.1,21$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: $99.1 \%$. LC-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $631.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} 631.1260$, found 631,1162.

## 8-(4-\{[4-(3-Chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)

 -1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (30d). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure F through refluxing 29d ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL HMDS, followed by stirring in $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 5 mL$)$. A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $40 \%(38 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $355-360^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ xanthine $), 8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.17-4.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.91-3.83$ (m, 2H, CH2), $3.86-3.79\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.14-3.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $3.08-3.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.62-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 172.1,161.7$ ( $\mathrm{C} 6_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 150.9 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 146.3 ( $\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 145.3 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 140.1, 133.3, 128.4, 127.1, 121.6, 118.6, 116.5, $113.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}\right)$, $56.4,49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41.4\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right)$. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 603.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.6\%. LC-MS (m/z): $603.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ 603.1714, found 603.1605.1-(4-Bromo-2-methoxy-phenyl)piperazine (33a). ${ }^{65}$ This compound was synthesized using 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)piperazine ( $2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 40 mL DCM and cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bromine ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for at rt for 4 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was further treated with 20 mL sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and portioned between water and DCM. The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining an oily residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (9:1). A brownish oil was obtained in a yield of $99 \%(4.2 \mathrm{~g})$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $270.6[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(4-Bromo-3-methoxy-phenyl)piperazine (33b). ${ }^{65}$ This compound was synthesized using 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)piperazine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 30 mL DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bromine ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for at rt for 1 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was further treated with 20 mL $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and portioned between water and DCM. The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining an oily residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (9:1). A white solid was obtained in a yield of $32 \%(440 \mathrm{mg})$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $270.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 8-(4-\{[4-(4-Bromo-2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-

 tetra-hydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (34a). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure G using $\mathbf{3 2}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{3 3 a}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $40 \%(150 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $344-346{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.73-3.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.06-3.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.01-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.61-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 153, 151.1 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 147.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 139.8, 135.6, 133.3, 128.5, 127.1, 123.4, 120.2, 114.9, 108.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 68.7, 56, 55.9, 49.3 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, 46.3 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.2,30.8,29.8,21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 11.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 95\%. LC-MS (m/z): $603.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 603.0947, found 603.0659.

## 8-(4-\{[4-(4-Bromo-3-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetra-

 hydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (34b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure G using 32 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 33b ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $25 \%(30 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $339-342{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 11.93 (s, 1H, NH ${ }_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.33 (d, $\left.J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $7.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.84-3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.76$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.26 - $3.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, 3.01 - 2.97 (m, 4H, CH piperazine $), ~ .61-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 156,155.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 151.4, 151.1 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 135.7, 133.4, 132.8, 128.5, 127.2, 109.5 ( $\mathrm{C} 5_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 101.7, 100.4, $56.2,48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: 95.9\%. LC-MS (m/z): $603.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 601.0947, found 601.0874.8-(4-\{[4-(4-Bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (35). To a flask containing (100 mg, 0.17 mmol ) of 34a dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DCM under an argon atmosphere and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (1M in DCM, 2 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred under an argon atmosphere at rt for 24 h . When the reaction has finished, a mixture of ice and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give a precipitate that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5). The desired product was obtained as a white solid in a yield of $26 \%(22 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $336-338{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 14.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right.$ ), 11.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 9.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 8.35 (d, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.95-6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.85-6.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.79-6.77\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $3.73-3.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86-3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.11-3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 3.01$ $-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.62-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.2$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 151.5 , 151.1 ( $\left.\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}\right)$, 148.2 ( $\left.\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}\right)$, 138.7, 135.6, 133.4, 128.5, 127.1, 122, 120.9, 118.4, 114.8, 49.3 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, 48.6, 46.2 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 30.2, 29.1, $21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, 17.4, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 99\%. LC-MS (m/z): $589[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} 587.0791$, found 587.1301.
tert-Butyl 4-(2-hydroxyphenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (37). To a solution of $\mathbf{3 6}$ (2.0 g, 11 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 30 mL of $\mathrm{THF} /$ dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent mixture (1:1:1), $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 12 h. The solvents were evaporated, washed with 20 mL of water and the formed precipitate was collected by filtration. The desired product was obtained as a brown solid in a yield of $99 \%$ $(3.17 \mathrm{~g}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $(9.5: 0.5)=0.7 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.89-6.80$
( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $6.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.71(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.48-3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right.$ ), 1.41 ( s , 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154,150.5,139.8,123.4,119.4,119.1,115.7,78.9$, 66.5, 50.3, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $278.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(2-(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethoxy)phenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (38a). To a flask containing $37(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of DMF, ethyl bromoacetate ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Upon completion of the reaction, dist. water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The desired product was obtained as a brown oil in a yield of $69 \%(91 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in DCM/methanol (9.9:0.1) $=0.3 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.92(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.20-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ester) }}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.99-2.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ester) })} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2}-d_{6}\right) \delta 168.9,154.1,150.3,141.3,122.7$, 121.9, 118.7, 113.4, 79, 65.1, 60.8, 50.1, 28.2, 14.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $364.7[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)cyclohexa-1,3-dien-1-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (38b). To a flask containing $37(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL DMF, 2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethanol ( $115 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Upon completion of the reaction, dist. water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The desired product was obtained as a brown oil in a yield of $60 \%(200 \mathrm{mg}) . R_{f}$ in $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{methanol}(9.5: 0.5)=0.4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.93-6.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.86\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.58-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{OH}), 4.09-4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.78-3.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.53-3.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), 2.94 - 2.90 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), 1.41 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 154.1,151.2,141.4,122.7,121.2,118.3,113.3,79,72.7,69.3,67.6,60.4,50.1,28.2$. LCMS positive mode (m/z): $366.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(4-bromo-2-(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethoxy)phenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (39a). This compound was synthesized using 38a ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bromine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0,76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for at rt for 1 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was further treated with 10 mL NaHCO 3 and portioned between water and DCM. The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining an oily residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (9:1). A brownish oil was obtained in a yield of $90 \%(347 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.09\left(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.22-4.14$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.43 (s, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), 3.33 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ester) }}$ ), $2.96-2.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right.$ ), 1.40 (s, 9H), $1.25-1.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { (ester) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 170,168.6,154$, 151.1, 139.6, 124.4, 120.3, 116.3, 114.7, 79.1, 65.3, 49.9, 43.3, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 443.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(4-bromo-2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)pipera-zine-1-carboxylate (39b). This compound was synthesized using $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 20 mL DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bromine ( $77 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for at rt for 1 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was further treated with 20 mL NaHCO 3 and portioned between water and DCM. The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining an oily residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9:1). A brownish oil
was obtained in a yield of $84 \%(510 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $4.12-4.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.77-3.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.52-3.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}$ ), $2.94-2.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 154, 152.1, 140.7, 123.7, 119.9, 116, 114.1, 79, 72.6, 69.1, 68.1, 60.4, 49.9, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $445.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Ethyl 2-(5-bromo-2-(piperazin-1-yl)phenoxy)acetate (40a). To a solution of 39a (500 mg, $1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TFA $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction was stirred at rt overnight. Upon completion of the reaction, aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and the obtained oily residue was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (8:2). A brownish oil was obtained in a yield of $73 \%(280 \mathrm{mg})$. LC-MS positive mode ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): 342.7 [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

2-(2-(5-Bromo-2-(piperazin-1-yl)phenoxy)ethoxy)ethan-1-ol (40b). This compound was synthesized using 39b ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TFA $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction was stirred at rt overnight. Upon completion of the reaction, aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and the obtained oily residue was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (8:2). A brownish oil was obtained in a yield of $40 \%(400 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.10\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.07-7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $6.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.13-4.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.41-3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz ,

DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 152,140.5,123.7,119.8,116.1,114.3,72.6,69,68.1,60.4,48.7,44.4$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $345.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## Ethyl-2-(5-bromo-2-(4-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulfonyl)

 piperazin-1-yl)phenoxy)acetate (41a). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure G using $32(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{4 0 a}(264 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $20 \%(40 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $348-350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 13.99 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 11.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.08-7.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.02-6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.87-6.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.13-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.84-3.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.60-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $1.13\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 168.5,155.3$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 151.2 ( $\left.\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 151,140.1,135.5,128.5,127.2,124.4,120.6$, $116.2,114.5,65.3,60.9,49.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 41.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, 14.2, $11.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: $97.4 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): 675.3 [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} 673.1158$, found 673.1091.
## 8-(4-((4-(4-bromo-2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-

 1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (41b). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure G using $32(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{4 0 b}(264 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h. A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $28 \%$ ( 58 mg ). M.p.: $352-354{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.36-8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.90-7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.02-6.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.79-6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.05-3.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85-3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.67-$ $3.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.44-3.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.42-3.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $1.61-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $152,151.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.2 ( $\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 147.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{4 \text { xanthine }}$ ), 140.1, 135.7, 133.3, 128.5, 127.2, 123.7, 120, 116, 114.4, 108.7(C5xanthine), 72.6, 68.9, 68, 60.4, $49.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLCUV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.1\%. LC-MS (m/z): $679.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H]}{ }^{-}\right.$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ 675.1315, found 675.1231.

## 8-(4-((4-(4-bromo-2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-

 3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (42). To a solution of compound 41a ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 3 mL THF and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{LiBH}_{4}(2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.2 mL ) was added under an argon atmosphere and the reaction was stirred at rt for 90 h . Upon completion of the reaction, sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining an oily residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (9.5:0.5). A brown solid was obtained in a yield of $25 \%$ (6 mg). M.p.: $332-334{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.54$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.32-8.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.82-7.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.08-7.03$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.02-6.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.80-6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl}}\right), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.96-3.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.84-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.67 - $3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.61-1.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.85$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 152.3, 151.2 ( $2_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.3 ( $\left(8_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 147.8$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}\right)$, 140.2, 136, 133.3, 128.5, 127.3, 123.7, 120.2, 116.2, 114.6, 108.7 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}\right)$, $70.5,68.7,59.8,49.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, 49.3, 46.3 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.3,29.2,21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.4\%. LC-MS (m/z): $633.6[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 631.1053$, found 631.0954 .
tert-Butyl 4-[(4-bromophenyl)amino]piperidine-1-carboxylate (45). To a flask containing $N$-Boc piperidone (43, $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-bromoaniline (44, $216 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5 mL dichloroethane (DCE) and 0.15 mL of acetic acid were added. In $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sodium acetoxyborohydride ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . Upon completion of the reaction, $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~N}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The obtained brown oil was further purified using column chromatography $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.9:0.1). The desired product was obtained as orange solid in a yield of $40 \%(175 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.20-7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.52$ (d, $\left.J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 5.67$ (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.93-$ 3.84 (m, 2H, CH piperidyl ), $2.94-2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right), 1.84-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.44$ -1.37 (t, 9H, Boc), $1.11-1.26$ (m, 2H, CH piperidyl . ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta$ 154.1, 147.1, 131.6, 114.5, 106.1, 78.7, 48.7, 31.5, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $355.2[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Methyl 2-[(4-bromophenyl)(piperidin-4-yl)amino]acetate (46a). To a flask containing 45 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methylbromoacetate ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( 2 mL ) was added and the reaction was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Upon completion of the reaction, water ( 10 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. In $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the obtained solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 3 mL ) and stirred at rt for 12 h . Excess solvents were vaporized and the obtained solid was washed with diethyl ether. It was further purified using column chromatography $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.9:0.1). The desired product was obtained as orange
solid in a yield of $60 \%(83 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.95\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=32.8,16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.8$, 147.2, 131.6, 114.7, 108, 52.2, 46.5, 43.3, 31.1, 28.6, 27.4, 26.4. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $327.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Ethyl 2-[(4-bromophenyl)(piperidin-4-yl)amino]acetate (46b). To a flask containing 45 $(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate $(106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Upon completion of the reaction, dist. water (10 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. In $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the obtained solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 3 mL ) and stirred at rt for 12 h . Excess solvents were vaporized and the obtained solid was washed with diethyl ether. It was further purified with column chromatography using eluent $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.9:0.1). The desired product was obtained as brown solid in a yield of $55 \%(79 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.20-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.56$ (d, $\left.J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 5.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 4.14-4.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.37-3.23$ (m, 4H, CH piperidyl ), $3.06-2.95$ ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.81$ (dd, $J=8.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ester) })}, 1.19-1.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { (ester) })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.3,158.6$, 147.3, 131.7, 118.4, 114.7, 108.1, 60.8, 52.1, 46.7, 43.4, 28.7, 14.2. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 341.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(\{4-[(4-Bromophenyl)-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)amino]piperidin-1-yl\}sulfonyl)benzoic acid (44). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using 14 ( 133 mg , $0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $46 \mathrm{a}(220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL DCM, DIPEA $(0.13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 72 h . The DCM was vaporized and then the obtained
residue was washed with water and neutralized with few drops of 1 N HCl . A white precipitate was formed 47 in a yield of $53 \%(162 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 3.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), 3.70 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 171.8, 166.3, 147.2, 139.5, 131.5, 130.4, 127.9, 114.5, 107.6, 53.5, 51.9, 45.8, 41.8, 28.2, 12.4. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $513.0[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## Methyl-2-[(1-\{4-[(6-amino-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidin-5-yl)

 carbamoyl]benzenesulfonyl\}piperidin-4-yl)(4-bromophenyl)amino]acetate (48). This compound was synthesized according to general procedure E using $25(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $47(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL DMF, EDC ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 8 h . A yellow precipitate was obtained in a yield of $78 \%(165 \mathrm{mg})$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $677.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.Methyl 2-[(4-bromophenyl)(\{1-[4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propy l-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl) benzenesulfonyl] piperidin-4-yl\}) amino]acetate (49). To a flask containing 48 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 5 mL DMF, $(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The reaction was refluxed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Upon completion of the reaction, dist. water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added yielding a white precipitate that was further purified using column chromatography using eluent $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5). The desired product was obtained as a brown solid in a yield of $56 \%$ (65 mg). M.p.: $330-334{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). $\delta 14.01$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 11.95 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.25-$ $7.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.61-6.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.86-3.79$ (d, 2H, CH piperidine , $3.80-3.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.80-1.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 1.65-1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 1.58$
$-1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 171.8$
 133.1, 131.5, 128.3, 127.1, 114.5, 108.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 107.6, 53.4, 51.9, 46.4, 45.8, 41.6, 28.3, $21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: $95.4 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $659.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} 657.1209$, found 657.1189.

## 8-[4-(\{4-[(4-Bromophenyl)(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]piperidin-1-yl\}sulfonyl)phenyl]-

 1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro- $\mathbf{H}$-purine-2,6-dione (50). To a solution of compound 49 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL THF and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ ( 2 M in THF, 0.35 mL ) was added dropwise under an argon atmosphere and the reaction was stirred at rt for 90 h . Upon completion of the reaction, sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining a residue that was further purified using column chromatography using the eluent system $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5). A white solid was obtained in a yield of $35 \%(15 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $342-345^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87$ (d, $J$ $\left.=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.21-7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.86-$ 3.80 (d, 2H, CH piperidine ), $3.79-3.75$ (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}$ ), 3.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ propyl), $3.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.73-1.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 1.63-1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 1.25-1.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 151.1 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.2 ( $\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 147.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $147.5,136.6,133,131.5,128.3,127.1,114.7,108.7$ (C5xanthine), 107, 70, 59.4, 56.8, 53.2, 47, $45.9,41.7,30.5,29.7,29.1,28.5,22.2,21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 14.1,11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm ) ESI-MS, purity: 96.2\%. LC-MS (m/z): $631.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 629.1813, found 629.1833.
## Ethyl-N-(4-bromophenyl)-N-(1-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)

phenyl)sulfonyl)piperidin-4-yl)glycinate (51). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure G using $32(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{4 6 b}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . A white precipitate was obtained in a yield of $45 \%$ ( 32 mg ). M.p.: $335-338{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.60-6.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.08\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, 3.78 - $3.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.62-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 1.16\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 171.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 155.1 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 151.1 ( $\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 148.2 ( $\left.\mathrm{C} 8_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 147.8$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C} 4_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 147.2,136.6,133.1,131.5,128.3,127.1,114.5$, $108.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 107.6,60.6,53.4,46.6,45.8,41.7,28.3,21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 14.2,11.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: $95.4 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $673.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ 671.1366, found 671.1286.
\{2-[8-(4-\{[4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-3-yl]ethoxy\}phosphonic acid (52). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure H using $\mathbf{3 0 b}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 2 mL of trimethyl phosphate, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(24 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and then the reaction was kept stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The desired product was obtained as a white solid in a yield of $45 \%$ ( 15 mg ). M.p.: $285-287{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 8.06$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.57 (s, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.75$ (s, 2H, CH phenyl ), 6.07 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $4.25-4.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.95-$ $3.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.75-2.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.54-2.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.45-1.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.74\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 150.8\left(\mathrm{C} 2_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 149.7,135.8,133.2,131.7,129,128.5,127.3,121.9,111$,
$61.7\left(\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right), 11.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ 4.63. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.8\%. LC-MS (m/z): $697.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 695.0767, found 695.0683.

## 2-(5-Bromo-2-(4-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulf-

 onyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenoxy)ethyldihydrogen phosphate (53). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure H using $\mathbf{4 2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 3 mL of trimethyl phosphate, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and then the reaction was kept stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The desired product was obtained as white solid in a yield of $60 \%(27 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $305-307{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 8.25-8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.79-7.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.95-6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.67-6.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.35-6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 4.03-3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.95-3.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85-3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 3.20-3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 2.75-2.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 1.56-1.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 164.5,163.7$, 161.8, 158.7, 154.3 (C6xanthine), 142.6, 141.3, 136.1, 130.8, 129.3, 126.6, 122.8, 121.8, 118.8, 118.5, $71.2,65.1,52,48.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazine }}\right)$, $24.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $13.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. ${ }^{31}$ P NMR (202 MHz, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta$ 4.51. HPLC-UV ( 254 nm ) ESI-MS, purity: 98.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): $713.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 711.0716$, found 711.0632.
## 2-((4-Bromophenyl)(1-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)

 phenyl)sulfonyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)ethyl dihydrogen phosphate (54). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure H using $\mathbf{5 0}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 3 mL of trimethyl phosphate under argon atmosphere at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{POCl}_{3}(38 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ wasadded dropwise and then the reaction was kept stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The desired product was obtained as yellowish solid in a yield of $50 \%(29 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $235-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 8.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.90-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 3.80$ - $3.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right.$ ), $3.36-3.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 2.66-$ $2.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 1.78-1.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right), 1.63-1.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidine }}\right)$, $1.54-1.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 163.3$, $162.2,149.3,138.6,138,134.6,130.8,129.4,122.5,114.4,64.4,59.5,51.1,50.8,50.7,49$, $45.5,30.3,23.9,19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, $13.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(243 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ 3.78. HPLCUV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 93\%. LC-MS (m/z): 711.3 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S} 709.0923$, found 709.0921.

### 4.1.6.2. Biological Assays

Membrane preparation. The membrane preparations of recombinant CHO or HEK cells stably expressing human AR subtypes were conducted as previously described. ${ }^{21,22}$ In some cases, membrane preparations were purchased from Perkin Elmer (Solingen, Germany).

Radioligand receptor binding assays. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 2$-chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-cyclopentyladenosine $\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}, \mathrm{A}_{1}\right),\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right](E)$ -3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-8-(2-(3-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)-7-methyl-1-prop-2-ynyl-3,7-dihydropurine-2,6dione $\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]\right.$ MSX-2, $\left.\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right),\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 8$-(4-(4-(4-chlorophenyl) piperazine-1-sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydropurine-2,6-dione ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-603, $\quad \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ), and $\quad\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 2$-phenyl-8-ethyl-4-methyl-( $8 R$ )-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1 $H$-imidazo[2.1-i]-purin-5-one ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-11, $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) were used as radioligands for human $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}, \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$, respectively.

Competition binding experiments at human $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs were performed in a final volume of $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ containing $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of test compound dissolved in DMSO, $196 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of radioligand solution in the same
buffer and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of membrane preparation (5-100 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein per vial, $2 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ adenosine deaminase (ADA) incubated for 15 min at rt ). Competition binding experiments at human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs were performed in a final volume of 1 mL containing $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of test compound dissolved in DMSO, $790 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of radioligand solution in the same buffer, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of membrane preparation (10-100 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein per vial, $2 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ ADA incubated for 15 min at rt ). Non-specific binding was determined in the presence of 2-chloroadenosine (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), 9-chloro-2-(2-furanyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]quinazolin-5amine (CGS-15943, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), dipropylcyclopentylxanthine (DPCPX, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), or $\mathrm{N}^{6}-(R-2-$ phenylisopropyl)adenosine $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$, respectively, for human $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding assays.

The incubation time at rt was 90 min for the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}, 75 \mathrm{~min}$ for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, and 45 min for the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding assay. After the incubation, the assay mixture was filtered through GF/B glass fiber filters using a Brandel harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD). Filters were washed three times (3-4 mL each) with ice-cold 50 mM Tris- HCl buffer, pH 7.4. For the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ binding assay the $\mathrm{GF} / \mathrm{B}$ glass fiber filters were preincubated for 30 min in $0.3 \%$ aq. polyethylenemine solution before filtration. The GF/B glass fiber filters for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}} \mathrm{AR}$ assays were washed four times (3-4 mL each) with ice-cold 50 mM Tris- HCl buffer, pH 7.4 containing $0.1 \% \mathrm{BSA}$ in order to reduce non-specific binding. Then filters were transferred to scintillation vials, incubated for 9 h with 2.5 mL of scintillation cocktail (Luma Safe, Perkin Elmer), and counted in a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2810 TR) with a counting efficiency of $\sim 52 \%$. Three to four separate experiments were performed for the determination of $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values. All data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism, Version 4.1 (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA).
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Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 8-(4-((4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (24)


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 8-(4-((4-(4-bromophenyl) piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (30b)


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of ethyl 2-(5-bromo-2-(4-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1 H -purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulfonyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenoxy) acetate (41a)


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of 8-(4-((4-(4-bromo-2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (41b)
${ }^{1}$ HNMR


${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$

Exact Mass: 632,11


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 8-(4-((4-(4-bromo-2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (42)


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of methyl N -(4-bromophenyl)- N -(1-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulfonyl)piperidin-4yl)glycinate (49)
${ }^{1}$ HNMR


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ of 8-(4-((4-((4-bromopheny))(2-hydroxyethyl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (50)

${ }^{13}$ CNMR

Exact Mass: 672,14


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of ethyl $N$-(4-bromophenyl)-N-(1-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1 H -purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulfonyl)piperidin-4-yl)glycinate (51)
${ }^{1}$ HNMR

${ }^{13}$ CNMR


${ }^{31}$ PNMR


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}(243 \mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of 2-(8-(4-((4-(4-bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-1,2,6,7-tetrahydro-3H-purin-3-yl)ethyl dihydrogenphosphate (52).

## ${ }^{1}$ HNMR



${ }^{31}$ PNMR


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}(243 \mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of 2-(5-bromo-2-(4-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1 H -purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulfonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-phenoxy)ethyl dihydrogenphosphate (53)

${ }^{31}$ PNMR


Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}(243 \mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of 2-((4-bromophenyl)(1-((4-(2,6-dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1 H -purin-8-yl)phenyl)sulfonyl)-piperidin-4-yl)amino) ethyl dihydrogenphosphate (54)


Exact Mass: 586,18





|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.5644 | 6661.5336 | 0.6005 | 934.9647 | 0.8308 | 0.3133 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 7.5624 | 2127.2213 | 0.1918 | 229.4464 | 0.2039 | 0.3733 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 8.1120 | 1.1792 e 4 | 1.0630 | 1512.3769 | 1.3439 | 0.4333 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 9.3972 | 3093.8916 | 0.2789 | 574.9037 | 0.5109 | 0.2000 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 9.7049 | $1.0724 \mathrm{e6}$ | 96.6747 | 1.0659 e 5 | 94.7156 | 1.1533 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 11.5181 | 1.3213 e 4 | 1.1911 | 2694.9527 | 2.3948 | 0.2667 | Base to Base |

Figure S12. LC-MS spectrum of compound 24*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ is $96.67 \%$ (retention time: 9.70 min belongs to the desired compound 24; also see NMR spectra, Figure S1)


Exact Mass: 616,11


Figure S13. LC-MS spectrum of compound 30b*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ is $95.24 \%$ (retention time: 11.50 min belongs to the desired compound 30b; also see NMR spectra, Figure S2).

Exact Mass: 674,12







Figure S14. LC-MS spectrum of compound 41a*
*The purity of the compound 41a is $97.36 \%$ (retention time: 11.87 min belongs to the desired compound 41a; also see NMR spectra, Figure S3).


41b
Exact Mass: 676,13



XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 3 (AT267_DMSO) of 2018-1...


|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.5382 | 3.8602 e5 | 30.7193 | 2.6583 e 4 | 15.5552 | 2.0333 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 9.5652 | 2.9123 e 4 | 2.3176 | 5381.3455 | 3.1489 | 0.4000 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 10.7953 | 3462.1153 | 0.2755 | 519.8029 | 0.3042 | 0.2867 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 11.3922 | 8.1650 e5 | 64.9764 | 1.345505 | 78.7319 | 0.4667 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 11.7480 | 1.6143 e 4 | 1.2846 | 3276.0557 | 1.9170 | 0.2133 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 12.1900 | 1356.5203 | 0.1080 | 227.0578 | 0.1329 | 0.2533 | Base to Base |
| 7 | 12.7903 | 4004.0064 | 0.3186 | 358.9390 | 0.2100 | 0.5533 | Base to Base |

Figure S15. LC-MS spectrum of compound 41b*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{4 1 b}$ is $96.1 \%$ (retention time: 11.39 min belongs to the desired compound 41b and the peak at 0.54 min belongs to the injection peak; also see NMR spectra, Figure S4).


Exact Mass: 632,11



Figure S16. LC-MS spectrum of compound 42*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{4 2}$ is $97.42 \%$ (retention time: 11.32 min belongs to the desired compound 42; also see NMR spectra, Figure S5).


Exact Mass: 658,12





| Peak List for "XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 4 (AT065 NH3) of 2017-09-08.wiff" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \%Height | Wiath (min) | Baseline Type |
| 1 | 0.3838 | 1147.6267 | 0.1246 | 246.6084 | 0.2512 | 0.1600 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 0.4518 | 1080.4429 | 0.1173 | 557.3879 | 0.5678 | 0.0667 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 0.5343 | 4359.9142 | 0.4734 | 1032.0273 | 1.0513 | 0.1533 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 0.6778 | 1041.3417 | 0.1131 | 205.4949 | 0.2093 | 0.1467 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 9.6483 | 2534.1480 | 0.2751 | 485.7243 | 0.4948 | 0.2133 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 9.8309 | 3275.9532 | 0.3557 | 609.2024 | 0.6206 | 0.2133 | Base to Base |
| 7 | 10.5170 | 1897.1911 | 0.2060 | 318.6986 | 0.3246 | 0.3333 | Base to Base |
| 8 | 11.0824 | 3523.3622 | 0.3825 | 1106.1184 | 1.1267 | 0.0867 | Base to Base |
| 9 | 11.2804 | 8.7898 e 5 | 95.4357 | 8.9717 e 4 | 91.3879 | 0.3933 | Base to Base |
| 10 | 11.5960 | 2.3179 e4 | 2.5166 | 3893.3679 | 3.9659 | 0.2867 | Base to Base |

Figure S17. LC-MS spectrum of compound 49*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{4 9}$ is $95.43 \%$ (retention time: 11.28 min belongs to the desired compound 49; also see NMR spectra, Figure S6).


Exact Mass: 630,13


XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to $\mathbf{4 0 0 . 0} \mathrm{nm}$ from Sample 1 (AT066+NH3) of 2020-04-...
Max. 1.9 e 5 mAU


|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \%Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3570 | 5223.1479 | 0.3304 | 665.1192 | 0.3297 | 0.2600 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 0.4712 | 9135.4700 | 0.5779 | 1612.2915 | 0.7992 | 0.1467 | Valley |
| 3 | 0.6120 | 6706.4083 | 0.4242 | 952.9060 | 0.4724 | 0.2133 | Valley |
| 4 | 1.3482 | 3401.6636 | 0.2152 | 738.6347 | 0.3661 | 0.8200 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 8.3429 | 1798.9644 | 0.1138 | 304.0101 | 0.1507 | 0.2133 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 9.0539 | 5343.0896 | 0.3380 | 410.8541 | 0.2037 | 0.5467 | Base to Base |
| 7 | 9.4763 | 2994.0772 | 0.1894 | 418.1051 | 0.2073 | 0.2933 | Base to Base |
| 8 | 10.4159 | 950.0856 | 0.0601 | 243.3088 | 0.1206 | 0.1400 | Base to Base |
| 9 | 10.7031 | 1.5440 e 4 | 0.9767 | 2653.8502 | 1.3155 | 0.3333 | Base to Base |
| 10 | 10.9225 | 5668.6595 | 0.3586 | 676.5504 | 0.3354 | 0.2467 | Base to Base |
| 11 | 11.1946 | 2199.6875 | 0.1391 | 496.5595 | 0.2461 | 0.1600 | Base to Base |
| 12 | 11.4776 | 1.5208 e 6 | 96.1985 | 1.9228 e 5 | 95.3155 | 0.9067 | Base to Base |
| 13 | 12.2367 | 1236.7672 | 0.0782 | 277.9533 | 0.1378 | 0.1600 | Base to Base |

Figure S18. LC-MS spectrum of compound 50*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ is $96.20 \%$ (retention time: 11.48 min belongs to the desired compound 50; also see NMR spectra, Figure S7).


Exact Mass: 672,14


Figure S19. LC-MS spectrum of compound 51*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{5 1}$ is $95.43 \%$ (retention time: 12.19 min belongs to the desired compound 51; also see NMR spectra, Figure S8).



Figure S20. LC-MS spectrum of compound 52*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ is $96.84 \%$ (retention time: 10.63 min belongs to the desired compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ and the peak at 0.52 min belongs to the injection peak; also see NMR spectra, Figure S9).


Exact Mass: 712,07





Figure S21. LC-MS spectrum of compound 53*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{5 3}$ is $\mathbf{9 5 . 9 7 \%}$ (retention time: 10.04 min belongs to the desired compound 53; also see NMR spectra, Figure S10.


Exact Mass: 710,09


XIC of +Q1: 711.217 to 713.873 Da from Sample 1 (AT150-1+NH3) of 2020-07-08.wiff (Tur... Max. 2.7e4 cps.



| Peak List for "XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 1 (AT150-1+NH3) of 2020-07-08.wiff" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \% Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| 1 | 0.3774 | 954.8289 | 0.0408 | 154.4440 | 0.1122 | 0.2467 | Valley |
| 2 | 0.5785 | 1.1795e4 | 0.5040 | 1813.7377 | 1.3173 | 0.2533 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 6.7996 | 1551.2998 | 0.0663 | 215.6751 | 0.1566 | 0.4133 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 7.7159 | 1435.8278 | 0.0614 | 233.5633 | 0.1696 | 0.2800 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 9.2523 | 469.8711 | 0.0201 | 71.4675 | 0.0519 | 0.2667 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 9.4774 | 606.7288 | 0.0259 | 134.2279 | 0:0975 | 0.1600 | Base to Base |
| 7 | 9.6234 | 400.8780 | 0.0171 | 86.2979 | 0.0627 | 0.1667 | Base to Base |
| 8 | 9.9077 | 927.3660 | 0.0396 | 166.3563 | 0.1208 | 0.2200 | Base to Base |
| 9 | 10.4026 | 2.3184 e 6 | 99.0614 | 1.3400 e 5 | 97.3243 | 0.8467 | Base to Base |
| 10 | 11.1884 | 1639.0649 | 0.0700 | 341.5399 | 0.2481 | 0.1933 | Base to Base |
| 11 | 11.7066 | 2186.2887 | 0.0934 | 466.8026 | 0.3390 | 0.2533 | Base to Base |

Figure S22. LC-MS spectrum of compound 54*
*The purity of the compound 54 is $99.07 \%$ (retention time: 10.40 min belongs to the desired compound 54; also see NMR spectra, Figure S11)
4.2. Part II: Development of dual $A_{2 A} / A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

# Development of dual $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2 A}} / \mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptor antagonists 

Ahmed Temirak, Christin Vielmuth, and Christa E. Müller*<br>PharmaCenter Bonn, Pharmaceutical Institute, Department of Pharmaceutical \& Medicinal Chemistry, University of Bonn, An der Immenburg 4, D-53121 Bonn, Germany

### 4.2.1. Keywords
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### 4.2.2. Abstract

The immunosuppressive effects of adenosine resulting in immune escape of cancer and infectious agents are mediated via $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptors (ARs) expressed in a variety of immune cells. Therefore, dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are promising drugs for the immunotherapy of cancer and infections. In addition, many cancer cells express both AR subtypes, and antagonists may exert direct antiproliferative effect. So far, only a few dual antagonists have been reported. Here, we present the development of a series of xanthine-based dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists which show high selectivity versus the other AR subtypes, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$. We modified the structure of the potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist 8-(4-((4-(4-bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro$1 H$-purine-2,6-dione (PSB-1901), by introducing various substituents that are expected to increase binding affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$ without impeding blockade of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$. Compounds with a terminal 4-pyridyl or thiazole moiety displayed high dual affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ with high selectivity versus $\mathrm{A}_{1}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R s$. Additionally, 46 and 48 expressed suitable physicochemical, i.e. aqueous solubility, metabolic stability and predicted blood brain barrier (BBB) permeability. The developed dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists may become drug candidates for cancer (immuno)therapy.

### 4.2.3. Introduction

Adenosine receptors (ARs) are purinergic receptors that are activated by their cognate ligand, the nucleoside adenosine. They belong to class A, rhodopsin-like G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and comprise four subtypes, $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1^{-}}, \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$ show high affinity for adenosine in the nanomolar range, while $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ require micromolar adenosine concentrations for their activation. ${ }^{2,3} \mathrm{~A}_{1-}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$ are often activated under normal physiological conditions due to their frequent high expression levels, however, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs are typically activated under pathological conditions, when adenosine levels increase up to 100 -fold, for example after hypoxia or tissue injury. ${ }^{4-7} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs couple to the heterotrimeric G-protein $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$, which activates adenylate cyclase (AC), thus stimulating cAMP production, while $\mathrm{A}_{1}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$ couple to $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{i} / 0}$, inhibiting cAMP production by AC. ${ }^{8,9}$ The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ ARs couple additionally to Gq proteins, leading to the activation of phospholipase C (PLC) and subsequent increase in intracellular calcium levels. ${ }^{10}$
$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs are densely expressed in the basal ganglia, which regulate motor control functions in the body. ${ }^{11}$ The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist istradefylline is used for treating Parkinson's disease and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists may have potential for other neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's. ${ }^{12,13} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs are also expressed on various immune cells, e.g. on T lymphocytes, natural killer (NK) cells and dendritic cells. ${ }^{14,15}$ The activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs on Tlymphocytes and NK cells minimizes cytokine production and tumor killing activity thus causing immunosuppression. ${ }^{16,17}$ Therefore, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists may be effective for cancer immunotherapy, since they can enhance anti-tumoral responses. ${ }^{18,19}$ A 2 BAR ARs the most closely related subtype to $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$, are expressed in the gastrointestinal tract, in lung and mast cells, and have been shown to induce tumor proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis and immune suppression. ${ }^{20}$ Blocking of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs could reduce tumor growth, metastasis and enhance the tumor antigen presentation. ${ }^{21,22}$ Additionally, potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists could provide a
therapeutic advantage in cancer therapy since they reduce inflammatory pain. ${ }^{23,24}$ Hence, the two ARs are involved in the suppression of the antitumor immune responses, therefore there is a wide interest in developing potent dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ antagonists as cancer (immuno)therapy. ${ }^{25,26}$

Various non-selective AR antagonists and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists have been reported, however, reports on dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are still rare. ${ }^{27,28}$ Caffeine is a weak non-selective AR antagonist, commonly used as a central stimulant and painkiller in combination with paracetamol and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). ${ }^{27,29}$ It is also synergistic with opioids. ${ }^{24,30}$ Recent animal studies reported anticancer effects of caffeine likely due to its blockade of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$, also it significantly reduced the risk of developing liver cancer. ${ }^{22,31-33}$ Istradefylline is a xanthine-based selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist approved in Japan as Nouriast ${ }^{\circledR}$ and recently in US for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in combination with levodopa/carbidopa. ${ }^{34}$ Various selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists are currently in clinical trials for cancer (immuno)therapy, e.g. Preladenant (SCH 420814), PBF-509 and CPI444. ${ }^{35}$ PSB-603 is a potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist that decreased the proliferation of various cancer cells, e.g. prostate and colorectal cancer cell lines. ${ }^{36,37}$ Also, PBF-1129, developed by Palobiofarm is currently in phase I clinical trials for the treatment of non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). Many non-xanthine-based compounds were also reported as potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, e.g. ISAM $140 .{ }^{38,39}$

Recently, the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists 1-8 were reported (Figures 1 and 2). AB928 (1), developed by Arcus Biosciences, reduced the immune suppression in tumor microenvironment through targeting various immune cells expressing the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$, such as T lymphocytes and NK cells, and by targeting myeloid cells expressing both $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BARs}}{ }^{40-42}$ Currently, $\mathbf{1}$ is being investigated in several Phase $1 \mathrm{~b} / 2$ studies as a mono- or combination therapy for the treatment of malignancies including triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), colorectal cancer (CRC), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), castration-resistant prostate
cancer (CRPC) and renal cell carcinoma (RCC). ${ }^{43-46}$ Although $\mathbf{1}$ displays high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}{ }^{-}$ and $A_{2 B A R s}$, it is only about (32-43)-fold selective versus the $A_{1} A R$, which is considered as an anti-target for this indication, due to its opposite effect on cAMP production. In this article, we also present the binding affinity studies we carried for compound $\mathbf{1}$, it showed high affinity $(<10 \mathrm{nM})$ for $\mathrm{A}_{1-}-\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs (Table 2).
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$\begin{array}{ll}I C_{50} & h A_{2 A} \leq 100 n M \\ I C_{50} & h A_{2 B} \leq 100 n M\end{array}$
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \leq 100 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 1. Chemical structures of non-xanthine dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. ${ }^{47-51}$

Incyte Corporation reported three different classes of potent and efficacious dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of $\leq 10 \mathrm{nM}$ for human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$ determined in binding assays and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of $\leq 10 \mathrm{nM}$ at human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ determined in cAMP assays. ${ }^{48,52,53}$ Compound 2 representing a triazolopyrimidine derivative, is sharing some structural features with 1 including, the benzonitrile and the 2-aminopyrimidine moieties which may contribute to their dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic activity. ${ }^{48}$ Another amino-pyrimidine derivative, E-3210 (3) was reported by Eisai (Japan) as AR antagonist that displayed high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and
$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BARs} .}{ }^{49,54}$ In other publications, it was described as a dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist. ${ }^{55,56}$ The compound which improves the parkinsonian symptoms and constipation, is currently under clinical investigation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). ${ }^{50,56}$ Recently, a new series of dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists having the 5-azaindazole moiety was reported by Merck (Germany) for the treatment of hyperproliferative or infectious diseases. Compound 4 displayed high selectivity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ in cAMP assays performed in HEK293 cells stably expressing both ARs with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $<100 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{51,57}$

Dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists with a pyrrolopyrimidine moiety based on a previous study by our group ${ }^{58,59}$ were described by Almirall Prodesfarma, such as 5 (Figure 2). ${ }^{60}$ However, most of the compounds reported in this patent displayed high fold of selectivity for A ${ }_{2 B A R s}{ }^{60}$ The xanthine-based compound 6, previously developed in our group, displayed high dual antagonistic affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ in humans, however in rat, it showed significantly lower affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs} .{ }^{61}$ Impetis Biosciences reported two more dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists having either a pyrrole tricyclic ring, such as 7 or a tricyclic imidazo[2,1-b]purin-4(5H)-one moiety, such as $\mathbf{8}$. Both compounds displayed high affinity for both AR subtypes with affinity values $\leq 10 \mathrm{nM}$ and are proposed to be useful in the treatment of cancer, inflammatory disorders and neurodegenerative diseases (Figure 2). ${ }^{52,53}$

Few other examples for dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists were reported, however their chemical structures have not been disclosed. X-Chem (USA) developed the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist $\mathrm{X} 6350,{ }^{62}$ which was reported to display superior activity over selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists in counteracting the immunosuppression of myeloid cells. ${ }^{63}$ This compound displayed high affinity to the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=4.4 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and lower affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=21.0\right.$ $\mathrm{nM}) .{ }^{62}$ Compounds SEL330-584 and SEL330-639 were reported by Selvita (Poland) claimed to display high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ at low and high adenosine concentrations (tumor-
like environment). ${ }^{64,65}$ By inhibiting $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, they restored the impaired functional activity of molybdate-transporting ATPase (moDC) in cytokine release assays induced by adenosine. ${ }^{66}$


$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 6.49 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{rA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} 445 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} 0.215 \mathrm{nM}$
$K_{i} \mathrm{hA}_{3}>10,000 \mathrm{nM}$



Figure 2. Chemical structures of xanthine or xanthine analogues as dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. ${ }^{52,53,60,61}$

Multi-target drugs that interact concurrently with two or more drug targets is a new approach in drug development. ${ }^{67}$ It has advantages, especially in complex diseases like cancer. ${ }^{68}$ Multi-targeting drugs often exhibit synergistic effects, display less side effects and improve compliance. ${ }^{69}$ Since effects of adenosine to $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs are a control mechanism for suppressing antitumor and anti-infectious immune responses, therefore developing dual inhibitors that block both ARs can be envisaged as a new approach in cancer (immuno)therapy and the treatment of infectious diseases in immune-compromised patients. ${ }^{39}$ Many of the reported dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists lack selectivity versus $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and/or $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ subtypes, so they act as non-selective AR antagonists, or the selectivity data is missing for other compounds. Also, some of them lack selectivity across different species. Therefore, we developed a series
of xanthine-based dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists with high selectivity versus $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ subtypes.

### 4.2.4. Results and discussion

### 4.2.4.1. Design

Starting from our lead compound PSB-1901, ${ }^{83}$ a potent and selective $A_{2 B} A R$ antagonist, we will carry out modifications that are expected to restore $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity without the loss of interaction with the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ to obtain dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ antagonists (Figure 3). Starting with the substitution on the xanthine scaffold, we will study the effects of introducing different alkyl groups, such as methyl, ethyl, propyl and cyclopropyl, at $N 1$ - and $N 3$-positions of xanthine for optimal dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity.


Figure 3. Schematic representation of the proposed structural modification of PSB-1901 structure to develop dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists.

This will be carried out in combination with the replacement of the terminal 4-bromophenyl residue in PSB-1901, which is essential for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity, by various heterocyclic rings having different sizes including pyridyl, pyrimidyl, thienyl, thiazolyl and benzo[d]isothiazolyl. Different linkers connecting the terminal aromatic ring via piperazine or piperidine moieties will also be investigated (Figure 3).

### 4.2.4.2. Chemistry

The synthetic routes to obtain the target compounds are depicted in Schemes 1-3. The key starting compounds are the $p$-nitrophenoxy-sulfonylphenylxanthine derivatives 18-23. Compound 18 was prepared as described in Scheme 1, while 19-23 were prepared as previously described. ${ }^{61,70,71}$

Scheme 1. Preparation of $p$-nitrophenoxysulfonylphenylxanthine derivative 18.



Reagents and conditions: (a) Toluene, $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (b) (i) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~W}, 15$ min, (ii) $5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 65 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(12.5 \%), 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$; (e) COMU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, $10 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$; (f) PPSE, $120-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$.

Reaction of cyclopropylamine (9) with ethyl isocyanate (10) yielded 1-cyclopropyl-3ethylurea (11). A mixture of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and cyanoacetic acid (12) dissolved in acetic anhydride was irradiated in a microwave instrument followed by cyclization using 5 N sodium hydroxide
solution in water yielding a mixture of 1,3-disubstituted uracil derivatives, 6-amino-1-cyclopropyl-3-ethylpyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (13a) and 6-amino-3-cyclopropyl-1-ethyl-pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (13b). ${ }^{72}$

Compound 13b had previously been characterized by X-ray crystallography and NMR techniques. ${ }^{72}$ We have now obtained an X-ray structure of the desired 13a as well (Figure 4) unambiguously confirming the proposed structure. Compound 13a was further nitrosated using sodium nitrite and reduced with sodium dithionite according to the published procedures, ${ }^{73}$ yielding the diamino uracil 15 . Coupling of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with the benzoic acid derivative $\mathbf{1 6}^{\mathbf{7 0}}$ was achieved using (1-cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidenaminooxy)dimethylamino-morpholinocarbenium hexafluoro phosphate (COMU). ${ }^{74}$ Ring closure reaction of $\mathbf{1 7}$ using trimethylsilyl polyphosphate (PPSE), applying the previously described procedure, developed by Müller, ${ }^{61,70}$ yielded the new $p$-nitrophenoxy-sulfonylphenylxanthine derivative 18 (Scheme 1).



Figure 4. Crystal and chemical structures of 6-amino-1-cyclopropyl-3-ethylpyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (13a).

Piperazines (24, 26, 28, 31, 33 and 38) were obtained from commercial sources, while piperazines (25, 27, 29, 30, 32, 34-37) and piperidines (39-43) were prepared as described in literature (detailed synthesis in supporting information). ${ }^{7-81}$ The previously described aminolysis
reaction ${ }^{71,82,83}$ was employed for the synthesis of target compounds 44-88. Compounds 18-23 were reacted with various piperazines (24-38) or with the piperidines (39-43) under reflux conditions in DMSO or sulfolane under an argon atmosphere producing the desired xanthine derivatives 44-88 (Schemes 2 and 3). The selection of the solvent for the aminolysis reaction was dependent on the piperazinyl or piperidinyl derivatives involved in the reaction.

Scheme 2. Preparation of piperazinylxanthine derivatives 44-80.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 18(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { ethyl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { cyclopropyl } \\
& 19(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { propyl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& 20(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { propyl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { methyl } \\
& 21(\mathrm{IV}), \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { propyl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { ethyl } \\
& 22(\mathrm{~V}), R_{1}=\text { ethyl, } R_{2}=\text { ethyl } \\
& 23(\mathrm{VI}), \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { methyl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { methyl }
\end{aligned}
$$





Reagents and conditions: (a) DMSO, $\mathrm{Ar}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7-18 \mathrm{~h}, 29-58 \%$ (Method A); (b) Sulfolane, $\mathrm{Ar}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-18 \mathrm{~h}, 30-51 \%$ (Method B).

Piperazines (26-30 and 32) and piperidines (39-43) substituted with chloro, bromo, trifluoromethane, hydroxy or cyano groups, DMSO was found to be the preferred solvent for the reaction resulting in few side products and higher conversion rates. Alternatively, for the
unsubstituted piperazines (24, 25, 31, 33-38), higher yields and shorter reaction time for aminolysis reaction was reported with the usage of sulfolane as a solvent (Schemes 2 and 3 ).

Scheme 3. Preparation of piperidinylxanthine derivatives 81-88.


The synthesized compounds were purified using by flash chromatography on silica gel or by reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), respectively. Piperazinylxanthine derivatives 44-80 and piperidinylxanthine derivatives 81-88, employed synthetic methods, yields, and purities are collected in Table 1.

Table 1. Newly synthesized piperazinylxanthine derivatives 44-80 and piperidinylxanthine derivatives 81-88.


| No. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | R ${ }^{2}$ |  | Method | $\begin{gathered} \text { Purity } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | R ${ }^{2}$ |  | Method | Purity <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yield } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | propyl | H |  | B | 96.5 | 31 | 63 | propyl | H | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 97.4 | 35 |
| 45 | propyl | methyl |  | B | 97.7 | 46 | 64 | propyl | methyl | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 97.5 | 48 |
| 46 | propyl | ethyl |  | B | 96.7 | 39 | 65 | propyl | ethyl | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 98.2 | 35 |
| 47 | methyl | methyl |  | B | 98.7 | 41 | 66 | propyl | H | $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br}$ | A | 95 | 38 |
| 48 | ethyl | ethyl |  | B | 95.5 | 58 | 67 | propyl | H |  | B | 97.5 | 45 |
| 49 | ethyl | cyclopropyl |  | B | 99.5 | 41 | 68 | propyl | H |  | B | 97.8 | 54 |
| 50 | propyl | H |  | B | 100 | 48 | 69 | propyl | ethyl |  | B | 97.1 | 49 |
| 51 | propyl | ethyl |  | B | 97.8 | 50 | 70 | ethyl | ethyl |  | B | 95.6 | 48 |
| 52 | propyl | H | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 98.7 | 38 | 71 | propyl | H |  | B | 98.2 | 53 |
| 53 | propyl | methyl | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 98.3 | 29 | 72 | propyl | ethyl |  | B | 95 | 55 |
| 54 | propyl | ethyl | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 99.4 | 37 | 73 | ethyl | ethyl |  | B | 96.3 | 51 |
| 55 | methyl | methyl | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 97.6 | 48 | 74 | propyl | H |  | B | 98.2 | 55 |
| 56 | ethyl | ethyl | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 98.7 | 50 | 75 | ethyl | ethyl |  | B | 98.5 | 52 |
| 57 | ethyl | cyclopropyl | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ | B | 96.5 | 54 | 76 | propyl | H |  | B | 99.1 | 47 |
| 58 | propyl | H | $\mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{Br}$ | A | 96.9 | 30 | 77 | propyl | ethyl |  | B | 97 | 56 |
| 59 | ethyl | ethyl | $\mathrm{X}=p$ - CF 3 | A | 100 | 47 | 78 | ethyl | ethyl |  | B | 98.4 | 55 |
| 60 | propyl | H | $\mathrm{X}=p-\mathrm{CN}$ | A | 95 | 41 | 79 | propyl | H |  | B | 98.8 | 39 |
| 61 | propyl | H | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X}=p- \\ & \mathrm{COOH} \end{aligned}$ | --- | 96.5 | --- | 80 | ethyl | ethyl |  | B | 96.7 | 54 |
| 62 | propyl | H | $\mathrm{X}=o-\mathrm{CN}$ | A | 97.8 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




81, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$
82, $X=B r$


83-85


86, 87


88

| No. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Method | Purity (\%) | Yield (\%) | No. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Method | Purity (\%) | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | propyl | H | A | 98.3 | 35 | 85 | ethyl | ethyl | A | 98.1 | 50 |
| 82 | propyl | H | A | 99.4 | 35 | 86 | propyl | H | A | 98.4 | 55 |
| 83 | propyl | H | A | 97.8 | 45 | 87 | ethyl | ethyl | A | 97.3 | 55 |
| 84 | propyl | ethyl | A | 97.3 | 52 | 88 | propyl | ethyl | A | 97.2 | 58 |

### 4.2.4.3. Radioligand Binding Assays

The affinity of the synthesized compounds towards all four human $A R$ subtypes $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ were determined in radioligand binding assays (Table 3). The $\mathrm{A}_{1} A R$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA},{ }^{84}$ the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{AAR}}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{MSX}-2,{ }^{85}$ the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB- $603,{ }^{61}$ and the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB- $11^{86}$ were used.

Exploring the terminal phenyl ring replacement with different heterocycles. The first series of compounds were those substituted with terminal 4-pyridyl moieties (Table 2). Compound 44 having a propyl substituent on $N 1$ and a free $N 3$ of the xanthine core, showed high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=9.83 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ combined with 15 -fold selectivity versus the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \text { A }} A R$. Upon substitution of the $N 3$ of the xanthine core with methyl or ethyl moieties resulting in compounds 45 and $\mathbf{4 6}$, the affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ was increased by 2-7-fold, while the affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ was slightly decreased by 2-4-fold. Compound 46 having an ethyl substituent at the N3-position displayed higher affinity for both adenosine receptor subtypes than the 3-methyl substituted compound 45 (Table 2).

Compounds 48 and 49, substituted at the $N 1$-position of xanthine with an ethyl residue and on $N 3$ with an ethyl or cyclopropyl moieties respectively, showed high dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values at both AR subtypes ranging from 11.1 to 23.1 nM . While 48 (1,3-diethyl-substituted derivative) showed slightly higher affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}, 49$ (1-ethyl-3-cyclopropyl-substituted derivative) was slightly more potent at the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 8). 1,3dimethylxanthine derivative $\mathbf{4 7}$ displayed somewhat lower dual affinity in comparison to 48 and 49 (Table 2). All of the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists from this series $\mathbf{4 4 - 4 9}$ were highly selective versus $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs.

Table 2. Human ARs affinities of substituted xanthine derivatives 44-88.


| No. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | X | $K_{\mathrm{i}} \pm \mathbf{S E M}(\mathbf{n M})$ <br> (\% inhibition of radioligand binding at indicated concentration) |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { selectivity }}{\mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{A l}_{1} \mathbf{A R}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathbf{A R}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathbf{A R}^{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 44 | propyl | H | H | >1000 (16\%) | $149 \pm 59$ | $9.83 \pm 0.62$ | $>1000$ (19\%) | 15.2 |
| 45 | propyl | methyl | H | >1000 (13\%) | $72.7 \pm 30.1$ | $23.5 \pm 4.4$ | $>1000$ (24\%) | 3.1 |
| 46 | propyl | ethyl | H | >1000 (32\%) | $21.0 \pm 1.7$ | $39.8 \pm 4.3$ | $>1000$ (22\%) | 0.5 |
| 47 | methyl | methyl | H | >1000 (11\%) | $43.9 \pm 0.50$ | $56.6 \pm 6.7$ | $>1000$ (21\%) | 0.8 |
| 48 | ethyl | ethyl | H | >1000 (22\%) | $11.1 \pm 1.5$ | $21.0 \pm 5.8$ | $>1000$ (28\%) | 0.5 |
| 49 | ethyl | cyclopropyl | H | $>1000$ (23\%) | $23.1 \pm 6.2$ | $16.6 \pm 3.6$ | $>1000$ (4\%) | 1.4 |
| 50 | propyl | H | H | >1000 (31\%) | $68.3 \pm 18.9$ | $3.60 \pm 0.74$ | >1000 (31\%) | 19 |
| 51 | propyl | ethyl | H | $218 \pm 11$ | $40.3 \pm 19.1$ | $14.9 \pm 1.8$ | $>1000$ (37\%) | 2.7 |
| 52 | propyl | H | H | >1000 (22\%) | $66.1 \pm 13.8$ | $1.39 \pm 0.33$ | $>1000$ (29\%) | 47.6 |
| 53 | propyl | methyl | H | >1000 (32\%) | $155 \pm 56$ | $5.09 \pm 1.17$ | $>1000$ (28\%) | 30.5 |
| 54 | propyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (29\%) | $68.3 \pm 36.0$ | $5.78 \pm 1.19$ | $>1000$ (32\%) | 11.8 |
| 55 | methyl | methyl | H | $>1000$ (25\%) | $88.6 \pm 31.1$ | $7.64 \pm 2.15$ | $>1000$ (14\%) | 11.6 |


| 56 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (28\%) | $55.2 \pm 16.0$ | $5.51 \pm 0.92$ | $>1000$ (31\%) | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | ethyl | cyclopropyl | H | $>1000$ (26\%) | $41.4 \pm 15.0$ | $2.48 \pm 0.94$ | $259 \pm 42$ | 16.7 |
| 58 | propyl | H | $p-\mathrm{Br}$ | $>1000$ (29\%) | $311 \pm 86$ | $0.536 \pm 0.063$ | 1000 (30\%) | 580 |
| 59 | ethyl | ethyl | $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | >1000 (-11\%) | $\approx 1000$ (49\%) | $1.42 \pm 0.09$ | $>1000$ (37\%) | 704 |
| 60 | propyl | H | $p-\mathrm{CN}$ | $2130 \pm 1550$ | $289 \pm 113$ | $5.02 \pm 0.84$ | $>1000$ (28\%) | 57.4 |
| 61 | propyl | H | $p-\mathrm{COOH}$ | $>1000$ (12\%) | $>1000$ (13\%) | not stable | $>1000$ (32\%) | -- |
| 62 | propyl | H | $o-\mathrm{CN}$ | $>1000$ (22\%) | $236 \pm 46$ | $1.81 \pm 0.16$ | $>1000$ (34\%) | 130 |
| 63 | propyl | H | H | >1000 (-16\%) | $231 \pm 92$ | $2.93 \pm 0.60$ | $>1000$ (22\%) | 78.9 |
| 64 | propyl | methyl | H | $>1000$ (17\%) | 1000 (45\%) | $14.4 \pm 3.5$ | $>1000$ (15\%) | 69.4 |
| 65 | propyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (20\%) | 1000 (43\%) | $15.5 \pm 1.8$ | $>1000$ (7\%) | 64.5 |
| 66 | propyl | H | H | $>1000$ (23\%) | $>1000$ (40\%) | $0.949 \pm 0.117$ | $>1000$ (13\%) | 1053 |
| 67 | propyl | H | H | $<1000$ (45\%) | $66.7 \pm 12.8$ | $0.318 \pm 0.087$ | $<1000$ (47\%) | 209 |
| 68 | propyl | H | H | $>1000$ (13\%) | $800 \pm 299$ | $1.20 \pm 0.30$ | $>1000$ (30\%) | 667 |
| 69 | propyl | methyl | H | $608 \pm 129$ | $82.4 \pm 11.0$ | $2.53 \pm 0.45$ | $>1000$ (40\%) | 33 |
| 70 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $1240 \pm 108$ | $42.3 \pm 18.2$ | $2.09 \pm 0.52$ | $>1000$ (40\%) | 20.3 |
| 71 | propyl | H | H | >1000 (3\%) | $205 \pm 69$ | $1.68 \pm 0.13$ | $>1000$ (30\%) | 122 |
| 72 | propyl | methyl | H | $>1000$ (36\%) | $46.4 \pm 7.6$ | $3.97 \pm 0.52$ | $>1000$ (13\%) | 11.7 |
| 73 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (28\%) | $28.5 \pm 5.4$ | $3.68 \pm 0.32$ | $>1000$ (18\%) | 7.7 |
| 74 | propyl | H | H | $>1000$ (20\%) | $344 \pm 65$ | $18.2 \pm 1.9$ | >1000 (6\%) | 19 |
| 75 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $756 \pm 104$ | $66.1 \pm 15.9$ | $75.6 \pm 14.1$ | $>1000$ (32\%) | 0.9 |
| 76 | propyl | H | H | $>1000$ (-6\%) | $1500 \pm 494$ | $5.35 \pm 0.85$ | $>1000$ (8\%) | 280 |
| 77 | propyl | ethyl | H | $632 \pm 35$ | $136 \pm 26$ | $9.81 \pm 0.73$ | $>1000$ (35\%) | 14 |
| 78 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (26\%) | $80.4 \pm 11.2$ | $14.0 \pm 3.5$ | $>1000$ (17\%) | 5.7 |
| 79 | propyl | H | H | $387 \pm 62$ | $186 \pm 31$ | $11.6 \pm 2.1$ | $>1000$ (27\%) | 16 |
| 80 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $423 \pm 69$ | $46.1 \pm 5.7$ | $50.1 \pm 6.0$ | $>1000$ (29\%) | 0.9 |



| $\mathbf{8 1}$ | propyl | H | H | $>1000(43 \%)$ | $182 \pm 32$ | $2.61 \pm 0.75$ | $>1000(23 \%)$ | 70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 2}$ | propyl | H | H | $>1000(44 \%)$ | $112 \pm 28$ | $1.94 \pm 0.49$ | $>1000(20 \%)$ | 58 |
| $\mathbf{8 3}$ | propyl | H | H | $680 \pm 117$ | $91.6 \pm 9.5$ | $5.36 \pm 0.77$ | $>1000(32 \%)$ | 17 |
| $\mathbf{8 4}$ | propyl | ethyl | H | $498 \pm 156$ | $27.2 \pm 7.7$ | $21.2 \pm 4.0$ | $>1000(36 \%)$ | 1.3 |


| 85 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $1280 \pm 306$ | $15.7 \pm 1.0$ | $19.7 \pm 2.9$ | >1000 (36\%) | 0.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | propyl | H | H | $950 \pm 311$ | $180 \pm 23$ | $12.6 \pm 2.3$ | $>1000$ (44\%) | 14.3 |
| 87 | ethyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (28\%) | $33.8 \pm 2.2$ | $60.2 \pm 1.5$ | $>1000$ (16\%) | 0.6 |
| $89^{h}$ | propyl | H | H | $>1000$ (19\%) | $45.5 \pm 5.8$ | $6.14 \pm 0.51$ | $>1000$ (10\%) | 7.4 |
| $90^{h}$ | ethyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (25\%) | $20.5 \pm 3.2$ | $9.78 \pm 3.07$ | $>1000$ (27\%) | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 1}^{h}$ | propyl | H | H | $754 \pm 270$ | $42.6 \pm 16.4$ | $1.57 \pm 0.22$ | $>1000$ (33\%) | 27.1 |
| 88 | propyl | ethyl | H | >1000 (13\%) | $36.0 \pm 6.0$ | $10.1 \pm 2.5$ | $>1000$ (13\%) | 3.6 |
| $92^{h}$ | methyl | methyl | H | $>1000$ (20\%) | $39.3 \pm 5.9$ | $4.06 \pm 1.42$ | $>1000$ (28\%) | 9.7 |
| $\mathbf{9 3}^{h}$ | ethyl | ethyl | H | $>1000$ (24\%) | $20.7 \pm 3.9$ | $1.87 \pm 0.34$ | $>1000$ (11\%) | 11.1 |
| Caffeine ${ }^{87}$ |  |  |  | 10,700 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9,560 | 10,400 | 13,300f | 0.9 |
| Istradefylline ${ }^{87}$ |  |  |  | $841^{e}$ | $26.4 \pm 5.9$ | $>10,000$ | $4470^{f}$ | 0.003 |
| PSB-603 ${ }^{82}$ |  |  |  | $>10,000$ (10\%) | $>10,000$ (7\%) | $0.553 \pm 0.103$ | $>10,000$ (10\%) | >18,000 |
| AB928 (1) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.74 \pm 1.34^{a} \\ & 7.75 \pm 1.28^{e} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.960 \pm 0.328$ | $3.24 \pm 0.50$ | $354 \pm 120$ | 0.3 |

${ }^{a}$ vs. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CCPA $(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{b}$ vs. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MSX-2 $(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{c}$ vs. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-603 $(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{d}$ vs. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-11
$(\mathrm{n}=3) ;{ }^{e}$ vs. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{DPCPX} ;{ }^{f}$ vs. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{NECA} ;{ }^{\mathrm{g}} K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} ;{ }^{h}$ unpublished data.

The second series of compounds were those substituted with a 2 -pyridyl moiety 52-62 (Table 2). In general, this modification increased $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$-affinity and selectivity. Compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ displayed high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.39 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\sim 50$-fold selectivity versus the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. Compared to its $p$-pyridyl analogue 44, the $o$-pyridyl derivative 52 was more potent at both receptor subtypes. Variations of different alkyl substituents (methyl, ethyl, propyl, cyclopropyl) at the xanthine $N 1$ - and $N 3$-positions as in compounds 52-57, had only minor effects on the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R$ affinity and selectivity. Consistent with the SARs for the 4-pyridyl compound series (Table 2), 56 and 57, having a 1-ethyl residue and an ethyl or cyclopropyl moieties at $N 3$, displayed the best dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ - and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity also in the 2pyridyl series. Furthermore, substitution on the terminal 2-pyridyl ring with different groups including ( $\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}$ ), as in compounds 58-62, increased potency and selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, with lower affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (Table 2).

Next, we investigated 3-pyrdiyl derivatives $\mathbf{5 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 1}$. Their $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ affinity and selectivity was in between, i.e. they were less dual than the corresponding $p$-pyridyl analogs, and less potent and selective for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ than the $o$-pyridyl ones (Figure 5). Thus, $p$-pyridyl is the best for obtaining dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, while $o$-pyridyl is optimal for obtaining potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective antagonists.


Figure 5. Affinities of pyridyl-substituted xanthine derivatives at the four human AR subtypes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ determined in radioligand binding assays; $\# \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}<6$.

To study the effect of phenyl ring replacement with heterocyclic rings other than pyridine, we introduced a pyrimidine ring in compounds 63-66, a benzo[d]isothiazole ring in (67), a thiophene ring in compounds 68-70, and a thiazole ring in 71-73. All of these ring systems led to high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity, but in most cases $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity was reduced resulting in $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$-selective antagonists. It could be again confirmed that having no substituent at $N 3$
increased $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ affinity and selectivity. The best dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists of this sub-series were, thiazole derivative $73\left(\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=28.5 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=3.68 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and thiophene derivative $70\left(\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=42.3 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=2.09 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ (Figure 6).

There are various variables that affect the design of the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. Starting with the substitution on xanthine moiety, diethyl substituent on N1- and $N 3$-positions was found to be one of the optimal options for high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R s$ affinity. Different terminal heterocycles rings were introduced and their effects were investigated (Figure 6). Compound 48, with the 4-pyridyl moiety, displayed high dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonistic affinity with almost similar affinity values for both ARs.


Figure 6. Affinities of compounds $\mathbf{4 8}, \mathbf{5 6}, \mathbf{5 9}, 70$ and $\mathbf{7 3}$ at the four human $A R$ subtypes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right.$, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) determined in radioligand binding assays; $* \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}<5.5 ; \# \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}<6$.

On the other hand, compounds 56, $\mathbf{7 0}$ and 73, having terminal 2-pyridyl, thiophene or thiazole moieties respectively, displayed also dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity but with higher preference for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R$. Substitution on the 5-position of 2-pyridyl ring with different halides, such as trifluromethane in compound 59, demolishes the affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, resulting in a potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist (Figure 6).

Exploring different linkers. We studied the effect of introducing different linkers connecting terminal heterocyclic ring to the main xanthine-sulphonamide core. These linkers include alkyl linkers, for example compounds $\mathbf{7 4 - 8 0}$, ether linker, for example compounds $\mathbf{8 3 - 8 5}$, and amino linker, for example compounds $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ (Table 2). Compounds $\mathbf{7 4}$ and 75, having the 4pyridyl ring connected through an ethyl linker, displayed lower affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ in comparison to the compounds having the directly attached 4-pyridyl ring, for example 44 and 48. Methylene and ethylene linkers were also explored, for example compounds 76-78 and 79, 80 respectively. Compounds having the methylene linker displayed slightly higher affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ in comparison for those having the ethylene linker. On the other hand, compounds having the ethylene linker showed higher affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. Compound $\mathbf{8 0}$ showed moderate dual antagonistic affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}} \sim 50 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. In conclusion, three different alkyl linkers were explored, however they result in moderate dual antagonists (Table 2).

Amino linker was also explored. Compounds $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ having $p$-chloro and bromo groups respectively displayed slightly better affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ than the directly linked derivative, $\mathbf{5 0}$. However, they showed lower affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. The effect of introducing the amino linker in developing potent dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists is still not fully explored. Also, Compounds $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$, having an ether linker, displayed better affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}-$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs as compared to the directly linked analogues, 44 and 48 (Table 2). Among the different explored linkers, the ether linker such as in $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$ is the only preferential.

Exploring piperidin-4-ol derivatives. Compounds 89-93 having the terminal 4-phenylpiperidin-4-ol moiety were previously reported in our group to display dual antagonistic affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ (unpublished data, Table 2). Compound $\mathbf{8 9}$ having a propyl substituent at $N 1$ and free $N 3$ of xanthine core, displayed high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=6.14\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})$ and moderate affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=45.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The substitution on the terminal phenyl ring with $p$-bromo group in compound 91 enhances the affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.57 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and with slight effect on the affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=42.6 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Introduction of ethyl group to the $N 3$-position of xanthine in compound $\mathbf{8 8}$ decreased the affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=10.1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and increased slightly the affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=36.0 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Compounds $\mathbf{9 0}$ and $\mathbf{9 3}$ having the ethyl substituent at $N 1$ - and $N 3$-positions of xanthine displayed high dual antagonistic affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs with affinities $\leq 20 \mathrm{nM}$, and compound $\mathbf{9 3}$ having the $p$-bromo substituent showed high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.87 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ in comparison to the un-substituted compound 90. We also investigated the 4-(3-pyridyl)piperidin-4-ol moiety such as in compounds 86 and 87, that combines the pyridyl and the 4-phenylpiperidin-4-ol moieties, that was previously reported from our work to increase the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity (Table 2). However, they showed moderate potency and selectivity (Table 2).

In summary, we have developed several dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. Substitution at the $N 1$ with ethyl substituent and at $N 3$-position of xanthine core with ethyl or cyclopropyl groups displayed the optimal affinity for both AR subtypes (Figure 7). Also, terminal heterocyclic rings, especially the 4-pyridyl moiety, for example compounds 48 and 49 enhanced the dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A R$ antagonistic affinity. Different linkers were investigated, compounds $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$, having the terminal 4-pyridyl ring connected through an ether linker, showed high dual antagonistic affinity, however alkyl linkers decreased the affinity (Table 2). Compounds 70, $\mathbf{7 3}$ and $\mathbf{8 8}$ having the terminal thienyl, thiazolyl and 4-(4-bromophenyl)-
piperidin-4-ol moieties respectively showed good dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity, but with higher preference for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 7).

$R^{2}$

48, 85, 70, 73, $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=$ ethy
49, $R^{1}=$ ethyl, $R^{2}=$ cyclopropyl
84, $88 R^{1}=$ propyl, $R^{2}=$ ethyl



Figure 7. Comparison of the affinities of the best developed dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists 48, $49,84,85,73,70$ and 88 at the four human AR subtypes $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ determined in radioligand binding assays; * $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}<5.5 ; \# \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}<6$.

Binding studies for $A B 928$ (1). We selected the commercially available dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist AB928 (1), which is currently investigated in clinical trials for the treatment of various malignancies,,${ }^{40,43,44}$ as a standard compound for our assays. The reported functional assays in stably expressing CHO cells following stimulation by the AR agonist, $5^{\prime}$-( N -
ethylcarboxamido)adenosine (NECA), showed that the $\mathbf{1}$ displayed high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs with about (32-43)-fold selective versus $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ AR. Affinity studies showed that $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits high affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ (Table 2, Figure 8).


Figure 8. Concentration-dependent inhibition of radioligand binding by compounds $\mathbf{4 8}, \mathbf{4 9}, \mathbf{8 5}$ and AB928 (1) to the human AR subtypes. Data points are means (SEM of three experiments performed in duplicates. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}^{69}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ - selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{MSX}-2^{70}$, the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB- $603^{20}$, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA} .{ }^{71}$ The curve for inhibition of radioligand binding by compound $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR was extrapolated to $100 \%$ specific binding.

### 4.2.4.4. Physicochemical and Pharmacokinetic Properties

The physicochemical properties of the developed compounds limits their further development as drug candidates, therefore these properties should be considered and optimized to have drug-
like compounds. ${ }^{88}$ Selected compounds representing the different synthesized compounds were investigated for their physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties by Pharmacelsus company (Table 3). ${ }^{89}$

Table 3. In-vitro ADME studies data of piperazinylxanthine derivatives 46-48, 52, 58, 64, 65 and $\mathbf{6 7}$ and piperidinylxanthine derivatives $\mathbf{8 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 8}$ in comparison to PSB-603.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}} \quad \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | BBB | Aqueous Solubility $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Microso ( $\mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$ | Stability protein) |
| 46 | propyl ethyl | BBB+ | $12.2 \pm 1.0$ | h: 17.6 | m: 81.6 |
| 47 | methyl methyl | BBB+ | n.d. | h: 4.8 | m: 125.2 |
| 48 | ethyl ethyl | BBB+ | $4.6 \pm 0.7$ | h: 2.8 | m: 70.6 |
| 52 | propyl H | n.d. | $4.7 \pm 1.2$ | h: 32.6 | m: 18.8 |
| 58 | propyl H | n.d. | $0.2 \pm 0.1$ | h: 14.0 | m: 14.0 |
| 64 | propyl methyl | BBB- | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $\mathrm{h}: 8.4$ | m: 10.8 |
| 65 | propyl ethyl | BBB- | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | h: 30.4 | m: 33.0 |
| 67 | propyl H | n.d. | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | h: 91.2 | m: 79.4 |
| 82 | propyl H | n.d. | $0.9 \pm 0.1$ | h: 14.4 | m: 38.6 |
| 88 | propyl ethyl | BBB- | $0.2 \pm 0.0$ | h: -11.6 | m: 19.4 |
| PSB-603 | propyl H | BBB- | $0.2 \pm 0.1$ | h: -3.8 | m: 8.4 |
| Verapamil |  |  |  | h: 217.3 | m: 147.8 |
| Testosterone |  | BBB+ |  |  |  |

[^1]The blood-brain barrier (BBB) controls the entry of molecules and cells from the blood into the central nervous system (CNS). Compounds 46-48 containing the 4-pyridyl moiety can pass the BBB , therefore they could be further considered to target CNS. On the other hand, compounds 64 and 65 having the terminal pyrimidyl moiety and compounds 88 and PSB-603 having the terminal phenyl moieties, failed to pass the BBB. We also measured the water solubility of some compounds, which is an important parameter that affects the oral bioavailability and the chemical stability of pharmaceutical compounds. ${ }^{90}$ Compounds having terminal pyridyl moieties (4-pyridyl and 2-pyridyl) displayed good water solubility, especially compound 46 having propyl substituent at $N 3$ - of xanthine core and ethyl substituent at $N 1$ position.

Substitution at the terminal pyridyl moiety with bromo substituent, for example compound 58, demolishes the solubility. Compound $\mathbf{8 2}$ having the amino linker, displayed slightly better aqueous solubility $(0.9 \mu \mathrm{M})$ than compound $\mathbf{5 8}(0.15 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Aromatic rings other than the pyridine, such as phenyl, pyrimidine and benzo[d]isothiazole, decrease greatly the aqueous solubility of the compounds. Compound $\mathbf{4 6}$ and $\mathbf{4 8}$, having the terminal 4 -pyridyl moiety, displayed good dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonistic affinity, also they showed good physicochemical properties (good aqueous solubility, can pass the BBB and are metabolically stable in humans). Therefore, they could be used as a pharmacological tool. Microsomal stability studies showed that our compounds are more stable in humans than in mouse, also there is a big variation in values between different species (Table 3).

### 4.2.5. Conclusion

Adenosine suppresses the antitumor immune responses mediated through $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptors ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$ ) expressed on the T-lymphocytes and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ expressed on myeloid cells. Therefore, developing a dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist that can block the effects of adenosine on both AR subtypes could be synergistic in cancer (immuno)therapy. In this study, we optimized
the structure of the potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist PSB-1901 to develop dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. We carried out various structural modifications on the xanthine core and on the terminal phenyl substituent to restore the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity while retaining $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity. Compounds $\mathbf{4 8}, \mathbf{4 9}, \mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$ having a terminal 4-pyridyl moiety displayed high dual antagonistic affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ - and A $_{2 B} A R s$. Additionally, $\mathbf{4 6}$ and $\mathbf{4 8}$ expressed good aqueous solubility, they are predicted to pass the BBB and are metabolically stable in human liver microsomes. Compounds 70, 73, and $\mathbf{8 0}$ having terminal thienyl, thiazolyl or 4-(4-bromophenyl)piperidin-4-ol moieties showed good dual $A_{2 A} / A_{2 B} A R$ antagonistic affinity with somewhat higher affinity for the $A_{2 B} A R$. The developed dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists displayed drug-like properties and could be valuable tools and potentially become drugs in cancer (immnuo)therapy.

### 4.2.6. Experimental section

### 4.2.6.1. Chemistry

General. All reagents used in this study were commercially obtained from various vendors (Sigma, Aldrich, Merck, Enamine and Acros) and used without further purification. Solvents were used without additional purification or drying, except dichloromethane which was freshly distilled. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using aluminum sheets coated with silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Column chromatography for the products was performed with a CombiFlash $R_{f}$ Companion System using RediSep packed columns. Preparative HPLC was carried out on a Knauer HPLC system with a Wellchrome K-1800 pump, a WellChrome K-2600 spectrophotometer with a Eurospher 100 C18 column ( 250 mm $\times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). A gradient of methanol or acetonitrile in water was used as indicated below with a flow rate of $15 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Lyophilization was performed with a CHRIST ALPHA 1-4 LSC freeze dryer.

The purity of all biologically evaluated compounds was determined by HPLC-UV using an LC-MS instrument (Applied Biosystems API 2000 LC-MS/MS, HPLC Agilent 1100)
according to the following procedure: Compounds were dissolved at a concentration of 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in methanol/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$. Then, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the sample were injected into a Phenomenex Luna C18 HPLC column ( $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and chromatographed using a gradient of water/methanol (containing 2 mM ammonium acetate) from 90:10 to 0:100 for 20 min at a flow rate of $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. UV absorption was detected from 200 to 950 nm using a diode array detector. Mass spectra were recorded on an API 2000 mass spectrometer (electron spray ion source, Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) coupled with an Agilent 1100 HPLC system. For all other intermediate compounds, the same method was employed, but the compounds were dissolved in methanol.

High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a micrOTOF-Q mass spectrometer (Bruker) with ESI-source coupled with an HPLC Dionex Ultimate 3000 (Thermo Scientific) using an EC50/2 Nucleodur C18 Gravity $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column (Macherey-Nagel). The column temperature was $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{Ca} .1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of the sample in acetonitrile was injected and a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was applied. HPLC was started with a solution of acetonitrile in water (10:90) containing 2 mM ammonium acetate. The gradient was started after 1 min reaching $100 \%$ acetonitrile within 9 min and then flushed at this concentration for another $5 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data were collected on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz NMR spectrometer at $500 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $126 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, or on a 600 MHz NMR spectrometer at $600 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $151 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. DMSO- $d_{6}$ or Deuterium Oxide $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ were used as solvents. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the deuterated solvent, i.e. DMSO, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 2.50 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 39.5 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 4.80 \mathrm{ppm}$. Coupling constants $J$ values were reported in Hertz and spin multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet) and br (broad). Piperazines (24, 26, 28, 31, $\mathbf{3 3}$ and 38) were obtained from commercial sources. Detailed synthetic procedures for the required piperazines (25, 27, 29, 30,

32, 34-37) and piperidines (39-43) are described in the Supporting Information; they were obtained in analogy to previously described methods ${ }^{75-81}$ with some modifications.

## Synthesis of target compounds 44-88.

General procedure A. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of 58-60, 62, 66, 81-88. To a solution of $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivatives 19, 21 or 22 (1 eq) dissolved in 3-5 mL of anhydrous DMSO, the appropriate amine (27-30, $\mathbf{3 2}$ or 39-43, 4 eq ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $7-18 \mathrm{~h}$ under an argon atmosphere. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then poured into 30 mL of water and a precipitate was formed. The solid was filtered off and washed with water $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(3 \times 5$ mL ). Samples were further purified using column chromatography using eluent system DCM/methanol (9:1) to DCM/methanol (9.8:0.2).

General procedure B. This procedure has been applied to the preparation of 44-57, 61, 63-65 and 67-80. To a solution of $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 18-32 (1 eq) dissolved in 3-5 mL of sulfolane, the appropriate amine (24-26, 31, 33-38, 4 eq ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-18 \mathrm{~h}$ under an argon atmosphere. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then poured into 30 mL of water and a precipitate was formed. The solid was filtered off and washed with water $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Samples were further purified using column chromatography using eluent system DCM/methanol (9:1) to DCM/methanol (9.8:0.2).

## 1-Propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purine-

 2,6-dione (44). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$ nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 19 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $24(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . White solid; yield ( $31 \%$, 21 mg ). M.p.: $330-337^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.05$ (s, 1 H ,$\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.35-8.30\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.23-8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.91-7.86 (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.14-7.12 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}$ ), 3.83-3.79 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}$ ), 3.79-3.73 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.17-3.06 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 1.62-1.50 (m, 2H, CH $\left.{ }_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$d_{6)} \delta 157,155.4,151.4,140.6,136.1,133.7,133.6,128.8,128.7,127.6,127.5,108.3,70.2$, $45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $11.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: 96.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): $496.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 494.1689$, found 494.1637.

## 3-Methyl-1-propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-

1H-purine-2,6-dione (45). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 0}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 4}(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.34 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $46 \%, 24 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $340-344^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 13.93 (s, 1H, NH xanthine $^{\text {) }}$, 8.33-8.41 (t, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 8.16-8.25 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.85-7.94 (dd, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.11-7.14 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 3.82-3.89 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.72-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.45-3.54\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (methyl }}\right), 3.06-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.51-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.7,151,140.3,135.9,133.2,128.4,127.3,126.8,126.1,109,108,50.7$, $45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, 22.2, $20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ (propyl) $)$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: $97.7 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $510.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 508.1845$, found 508.1764.

## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (46). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $21(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $24(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.34 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

15 h . White solid; yield ( $39 \%$, 20 mg ). M.p.: $342-347^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.34-8.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.15-8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.85-7.94 (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.07-7.15 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 4.03-4.13 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.82-3.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.72-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 3.08-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.52-1.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 1.18-1.31\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl })}\right), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.4 Hz, 3H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right)^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.8,156.6,150.4,148,140.3$, 135.9, 133.2, 128.4, 127.4, 107.9, 50.7, $45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 22.23,21$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$ ), 13.3, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.7\%. LC-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 524.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 522.2002, found 522.1918.

## 1,3-Dimethyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-

1H-purine-2,6-dione (47). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $23(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 4}(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.34 mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $41 \%, 21 \mathrm{mg}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.44-8.30 (t, $\left.J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.26-8.07$ (d, $\left.J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.98$7.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.19-7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.84-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $3.55-3.40\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (methyl }}\right)$, 3.27-3.23 ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { methyl })}\right), 3.12$ (m, 4 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.7,154.5,151.3,148.5,147.7,140.2,135.9$, 133.2, 128.4, 127.3, 108.8, 108, 94.7, $45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.7\%. LC-MS (m/z): $482.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESITOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 480.1532$, found 480.1448 .

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfo-nyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-

1H-purine-2,6-dione (48). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure

B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 4}(45 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.28 mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $58 \%, 24 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $346-349^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $13.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.44-8.30\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.26-8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.98-7.84 (dd, $\left.J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.84-6.68$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 4.24-4.01 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), $3.90-3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$ ), 3.59-3.34 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.14-2.98 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.33-1.21 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), 1.19-1.06 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) })}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.7,154.5,151.3,148.5,147.7,140.2,135.9,133.2,128.4,127.3,108.8,108$, 94.7, $45.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$, $28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: 95.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): $510.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 508.1845$, found 510.1920.

## 3-Cyclopropyl-1-ethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

 $\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (49). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $18(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 4}(50 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.31 mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . White solid; yield ( $41 \%$, 21 mg ). M.p.: $346-349^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 8.38-8.31 (d, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.17-8.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.91-7.85 (d, $J$ $\left.=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.82-6.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl })}$ ), 3.47-3.41 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.06-3.00 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.15-1.12 (t, 3 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), 1.10-1.03 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (cyclopropyl)), 1.03-0.96 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (cyclopropyl) }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.5,154.3,151.3,149.2,149,147.7,135.4,133.8,128.4,127.2,125.9$, $112.4,109.8,108.8,56,50.7,45.5,45.4,45.1,44.6,36,32.2,29.8,26.4,13.3,7.9$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 99.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): $522.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H$]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 520.1845$, found 520.1761.
## 1-Propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-

 2,6-dione (50). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$ nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 19 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $25(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $48 \%$, 30 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 8.37-8.30 (d, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.99-7.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.91-$ $7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.29-7.23\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.20-7.16$ (dd, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), $3.84-3.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ), $3.29-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.11-3.03 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 1.61-1.52 (m, 2H, CH2 (propyl), 0.90-0.83 (t, $J=$ 7.4 Hz, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.2,151.2,148.1,147.7,146.2$, 140.7, 138.5, 135.7, 133.4, 128.5, 127.2, 123.7, 122.8, 47.5, 45.8, 41.7, 21.1, 11.4. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 100\%. LC-MS (m/z): $496.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M -$\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 494.1689$, found 494.1612 .
## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (51). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 1}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 5}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40$ mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $50 \%$, 31 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.18$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.40-8.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.99-7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.91-7.86 (d, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 7.33-7.24 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.20-7.16 (dd, $\left.J=8.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.15-4.05\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right), 3.84-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$ ), 3.29-3.23 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.12-3.05 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.61-1.56 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.27-1.23\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ (ethyl) $), 0.90-0.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.3,150.5,148.1,146.2,140.6,138.5,135.8,133.1$, 128.5, 127.3, 123.6, 122.7, 108.9, 47.4, 45.8, 42.4, 38.3, 29.7, 21, 13.3, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254nm ) ESI-MS, purity: $97.8 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $524.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 522.2002$, found 522.1982.

## 1-Propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfo-nyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (52). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 19 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $\mathbf{2 6}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $37 \%$, 16 mg ). M.p.: $340-344{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.00$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $11.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.37-8.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right.$ ), 8.10-8.02 ( $\mathrm{m}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), $7.91-7.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.54-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.84-6.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.66-6.58\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.83-$ 3.79 (m, 2H, CH 2 (propyl) $)$, 3.61-3.55 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right)$, 3.04-2.99 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right)$, 1.60$1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.89-0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 158.4$, $155.1,151.1,148.1,147.8,139.3,137.9,135.8,133.2,129.8,128.5,127.2,124.2,113.8,108.7$, 107.6, $45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 44.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 41.7,34.6,30.5,29.2,22.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21,11.4$. HPLCUV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.7\%. LC-MS (m/z): $496.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 494.1689$, found 494.1605.
## 3-Methyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (53). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 0}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 6}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46$ mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $29 \%$, 21 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.17$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.36 (d, $\left.J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.06-8.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.93-7.87$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $7.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.76-$ $6.70\left(\mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.89-3.85\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.09-3.05(\mathrm{t}, J=$$\left.5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.63-1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right), 0.89-0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.3,151.1,148.6,147.8,139.9,136,133.1,128.4,127.3$, 113.6, 109.5, 108.8, 45.5, 44.6, 42.5, 29.9, 21, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.3\%. LC-MS (m/z): $510.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 508.1845, found 508.1811.

## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (54). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $21(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 6}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46$ mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $37 \%$, 27 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.10$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.38-8.32 (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.10-8.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.89-$ 7.86 (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.55-7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.79-6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.64-6.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.10-4.05\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$, 3.87-3.80 (dd, $\left.J=8.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.67-3.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 3.05-3.00(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.59-1.54\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.27-1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 0.89-0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.4$, $154.4,150.5,148.1,148,147.6,137.8,135.9,133.2,128.4,127.3,113.7,107.6,45.7,44.2$, 42.4, 21, 13.3, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 99.4\%. LC-MS (m/z): $524.1[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 522.2002$, found 522.1931.
## 1,3-Dimethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (55). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 3}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $\mathbf{2 6}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46$ mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h . White solid; yield ( $48 \%$, 35 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.15$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.38-8.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.10-8.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.92-$$7.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.52-7.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.79-6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$, 6.64-6.60 (ddd, $\left.J=7.1,4.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right), 3.58-3.50(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.50-3.40\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.25-3.20\left(\mathrm{t}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (methyl }}\right)$, 3.05-3.00 ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { methyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 158.4,154.5,151.3$, $148.5,147.8,147.6,137.8,135.9,133.1,128.4,127.2,113.7,108.8,107.6,45.7,44.2,30.0$, 28.0. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.6\%. LC-MS (m/z): $482.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 480.1532$, found 480.1474 .

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-

 2,6-dione (56). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$ nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 22 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $26(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $50 \%$, 31 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.40-8.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.06\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,2.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.91-7.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.49$ (ddd, $\left.J=8.8,7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.10-4.05\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right)$, 3.96-3.92 (q, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.58-3.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{p} \text { iperazinyl }}\right), 3.05-3.02$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl }}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) })}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.4,154.1,150.3,147.6,137.8,135.9,133.1$, 128.4, 127.2, 113.7, 107.6, 45.7, 44.2, 38.3, 36.0, 13.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.7\%. LC-MS (m/z): $509.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 508.1845, found 508.1792.
## 3-Cyclopropyl-1-ethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (57). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{1 8}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 6}(50 \mathrm{mg}$,
0.31 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $54 \%$, 28 mg ). M.p.: $346-349^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 8.10-8.04 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.92-7.88 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 6.82-6.79 (t, $\left.J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.66-6.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$, 3.95-3.87 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), 3.61-3.54 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.05-2.97 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 2.10-2.05 (m, 1H, CH (cyclopropyl) $^{\text {) }}$, 1.15-1.12 (t, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), 1.13-1.09 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (cyclopropyl) }) \text {, }}$ 1.03-0.98 (m, 2H, CH $2_{2 \text { (cyclopropyl) })}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 161.1,158.8,158.4,154.3,151.3,149.0,147.7,147.7,147.5,137.8,135.8,133.3$, 128.4, 127.2, 113.7, 113.5, 107.6, 55.0, 45.7, 44.4, 44.2, 36.0, 30.8, 26.4, 13.3, 7.9. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): 522.4 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H$]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 520.1845$, found 520.1761.

## 8-(4-\{[4-(5-Bromopyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-

 1H-purine- 2,6-dione (58). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine 27 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h . Orange White solid; yield ( $60 \%$, 29 mg ). M.p.: $376-380{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right.$ ), 11.92 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.31 (dd, $J=8.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.09\left(\mathrm{t}, J=20.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 7.65 (dd, $\left.J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.81-3.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$, 3.60-3.55 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 3.07-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $1.64-1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.2, $155.1(\mathrm{C} 4), 151.1$ (C2), 147.8 (C5), 140, 135.9, 133.2, 128.4, 127.2, 109.6, 107.5, $45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 45.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 44.2,43.3,41.6,21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: 96.9\%. LC-MS (m/z): $574.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 572.0794$, found 572.0710.1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro- $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{H}$-purine-2,6-dione (59). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 2}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . White solid; yield ( $47 \%$, 28 mg ). M.p.: $330-337{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.20-8.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 7.69-7.76 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.89\left(\mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $4.02\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.74-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.01-2.93 (m, 4H, CH $\mathrm{p}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), $1.20-1.14$ (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), 1.07-1.02 $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { (ethyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 154.3,151,148.6,147.8,140$, $136,133.1,128.4,127.3,113.6,109.5,108.8,45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 44.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 42.5,30$, $21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: $100 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $578.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 576.1719$, found 576.1635.

## 6-\{4-[4-(2,6-Dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1 H-purin-8-yl)benzenesulfonyl]piperazin-1-

yl\}pyridine-3-carbonitrile (60). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 19 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine 29 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 h . White solid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). M.p.: $336-341^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.29$ (t, $\left.J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.83-3.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$ ), 3.77-3.74 (s, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 3.07-2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 1.60-1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.9$, $155.1(\mathrm{C} 4), 152.5,152.2$, 151.1 (C2), 148.1 (C5), 147.7, 140.3, 139.3, 135.8, 133.4, 128.4, 127.2, 126.1, 124.2, 118.6,
114.8, 106.9, $96,46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 43.6,41.7,30.5,29.2,26.7,25.3,21$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, 14.1, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: $95 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $521.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 519.1719$, found 519.1551.

## 6-\{4-[4-(2,6-Dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)benzenesulfonyl]piperazin-

 1-yl\}pyridine-3-carbonitrile (61). To a flask containing 60 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in 2 mL dist. water, $\mathrm{KOH}(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added and the reaction was refluxed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was neutralized with few drops of 1 N HCl and a white precipitate was formed. The formed solid was collected by filtration and purified using reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RPHPLC) to obtain 61. White solid ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). M.p.: $325-327^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.80-3.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $2.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.52-1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ), $0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.9,155.1$ (C4), 152.5, 152.2, 151.1 (C2), 148.1 (C5), 147.7, 140.3, 139.3, 135.8, 133.4, 128.4, 127.2, 126.1, 124.2, 118.6, 114.8, 106.9, 96, $46\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 43.6,41.7,30.5,29.2,26.7,25.3,21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 14.1,11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.3,159,158.7,153.7,152.3,149.9,149.7,141.5,139.2$, 131.7, 128.2, 125.9, 125.7, 118.6, 118.5, 116.7, 106.1, 46.2, 44.6, 41.5, 40.2, 21.7, 11.7. HPLCUV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): 540.3 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} 538.1587$, found 538.1492.
## 6-\{4-[4-(2,6-Dioxo-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purin-8-yl)benzenesulfonyl]piperazin-1-

 yl\}pyridine-3-carbonitrile (62). This compound was synthesized according to the generalprocedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{1 9}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $51 \%$, 28 mg ). M.p.: $330-337^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600
 $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.33$ (d, $\left.J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.04$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.88 (d, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.93\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.83-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, 3.66-3.62 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.11-3.03 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.60-1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.90-0.83\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 160.3,155.1,152.2,151.1,148.1,147.8,144.4,135.8,133.3,128.5,127.3,117.6,115.8$, 108.7, 95.6, 47.5, 45.8, 41.7, 21.1, 11.4. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.8\%. LC-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 521.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 519.1641, found 519.1560.

## 1-Propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (63). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{1 9}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 1}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $35 \%$, 18 mg ). M.p.: $356-358{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.98$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $11.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right.$ ), 8.36-8.24 ( $\mathrm{m}, J=8.9,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.91-7.78 (dd, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.66-6.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.87-3.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$ ), 3.81-3.76 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 3.06-2.93 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 1.64-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.90-0.81$ (t, 3H, CH $\mathrm{Cp}_{3 \text { propyl) })}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.9,158.1,155,151.1,148.1,147.7$, 135.9, 133.2, 128.4, 127.1, 110.8, 108.6, 45.8, $42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 30.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.4\%. LC-MS (m/z): 497.2 [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 495.1641, found 495.1557 .
## 3-Methyl-1-propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-

 tetrahydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (64). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 0}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine 31 ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $48 \%, 25 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $358-363{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 14.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.36-8.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.32-8.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.J=4.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88-7.83\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.62-6.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 3.86-3.83 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}$ ), 3.83-3.77 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.50-3.45 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methyl), 3.04-2.97 (m, 4H, CH ${ }_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.61-1.52 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}$ ), 0.94-0.70 (t, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.9,158.1,154.3,151,148.6,147.9,136,133,128.4,127.2$, 110.8, 45.8, $42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 29.9, 20.9 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 11.3. HPLCUV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): $511.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 509.1798$, found 509.1714.
## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-\{[4-(pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-2,3,6,7-

 tetrahydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (65). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $21(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $31(49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $35 \%, 18 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $350-353{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.36-8.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.32-8.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.J=4.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88-7.83$ (dd, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.62-6.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 4.12-4.04 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2 \text { (propyl }}$ ), 4.12-4.04 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}$ ), 3.88-3.84 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right)$, 1.27-1.23 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), $0.89-0.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 161, 158.1, 154.3, 151, 150.5, 148.1, 136, 133.1, 128.4, 127.3, 110.9, 108.9, 45.8, 42.7 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 42.4,30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 11.3. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-

MS, purity: 98.2\%. LC-MS (m/z): $525.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 523.1954$, found 523.1870.

## 8-(4-((4-(5-bromopyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6- dione (66). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $32(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.41 mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . White solid; yield (38\%, 25 mg ). M.p.: $351-353^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ pyrimidine), $8.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl}}\right)$, $7.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.87-3.82\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 3.81-3.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.00-2.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.53-1.49\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 0.90-$ $0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 159.4,158.4,158.2,153.5,151.9,149.9,141.9,131.0,127.7,125.3,118.5,106.2$, 63.3, 63.0, 45.7, 43.1, 41.0, 21.5, 11.5. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 95\%. LC-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 574.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 573.0746, found 573.0744.
## 8-(4-\{[4-(1,2-Benzothiazol-3-yl)piperazin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-1-propyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-

 1H-purine-2,6-dione (67). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 3}(70 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.32 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $45 \%, 26 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $363-368{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.44-8.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.08-$ $7.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.93-7.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 7.59-7.43 (dd, $J=$ $\left.7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.42-7.29\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 3.85-3.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$, 3.56-3.46 (m, 4H, CH piperazinyl $^{\text {I }}$, 3.22-3.13 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.64-1.49 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}$ ),$0.91-0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right)^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.9,155,152.1,151.1,148.1$, $147.8,135.9,133.3,128.5,128.1,127.2,124.6,124.2,121.2,108.7,49,45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 41.6$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 21, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.5\%. LC-MS (m/z): 552.0 [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 550.1409$, found 550.1326.

## 1-Propyl-8-(4-((4-(thiophen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-

 2,6-dione (68). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$ nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{1 9}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $\mathbf{3 4}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $54 \%$, 40 mg ). M.p.: $330-333{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.02$ (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 11.95 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.44-8.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.98-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, 6.83-6.65 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}$ ), $6.17\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}\right)$, 3.85-3.72 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, $3.15-3.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, 3.11-3.07 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.60-1.53 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $158.0,155.1,148.2,135.9,133.4,128.5,127.2,126.4,113.4,108.8,106.8,67.2,59.3,50.8$, 49.7, 45.5, 41.7, 31.6, 21.1, 11.4. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.8\%. LC-MS (m/z): $501.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 499.1300, found 499.1234.
## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(thiophen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (69). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 1}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 4}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45$ mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 h . White solid; yield ( $49 \%$, 36 mg ). M.p.: $320-323{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.19$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.83-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}\right), 6.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}\right), 4.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$, 3.94-3.76(m, 2H, CH 2(propyl) $^{\text {) }}$, 3.13 (dd, $\left.J=6.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right)$, $0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 206.6,157.9,154.3$, $150.5,148.1,136.0,133.1,128.4,127.3,126.4,126.4,113.3,108.9,106.7,50.7,50.7,45.4$, $42.4,38.3,30.8,21.0,21.0,13.3,13.3,11.3$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.1\%. LCMS (m/z): $529.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 527.1613, found 527.1530.

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(thiophen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (70). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 2}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $\mathbf{3 4}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45$ mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $48 \%$, 35 mg ). M.p.: $360-363{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.20$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.75-$ 6.69 (m, 2H, CH thiophene), 6.16 (dd, $J=3.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ thiophene), $4.09(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { ethyl })}\right), 3.94\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right)$, 3.09 (dd, $\left.J=6.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$ ), 1.14 (t, $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl) })} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.9,154.1,150.3,148.1,136.0,133.1$, $128.5,127.3,126.4,113.3,108.9,106.7,50.7,45.4,38.3,36.0,13.3$. HPLC-UV ( 254 nm ) ESIMS, purity: 95.6\%. LC-MS (m/z): $515.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ 513.1457, found 513.1373.
## 1-Propyl-8-(4-((4-(thiazol-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-

 dione (71). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$ nitrophenylsulfonate derivative 19 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and piperazine $\mathbf{3 5}$ ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $53 \%$, 39 mg ). M.p.: $322-325^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Page | 206$\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiazole }}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiazole }}\right), 3.85-3.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ), $3.49\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.07\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 1.56$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl) }}\right), 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.3,171.0,162.9,155.1,151.1,148.1,147.8,139.6,139.6,135.9,133.3,131.7$, $128.5,127.3,125.9,113.1,109.1,109.0,108.7,50.8,50.4,48.7,48.3,47.9,47.8,45.3,44.8$, 41.7, 29.2, 29.1, 22.3, 22.3, 21.1, 14.1, 11.4. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.2\%. LCMS (m/z): $502.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 500.1253, found 500.1169.

## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(thiazol-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

purine-2,6-dione (72). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 1}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 5}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44$ mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $55 \%, 41 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $318-321^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.00$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.11$ (d, $\left.J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiazole }}\right), 6.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiazole }}\right), 4.09$ (q, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right), 3.89-3.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl }}\right)$ ), $3.52-3.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, 3.08 (t, $\left.J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.63-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) })}$ ), $0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 170.9,154.4$, $150.5,148.1,139.5,136.0,133.2,128.4,127.3,109.0,50.7,47.7,45.2,42.4,38.3,30.8,21.0$, 13.3, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 95\%. LC-MS (m/z): $530.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 528.1566, found 528.1563.

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(thiazol-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-

2,6-dione (73). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$ -
nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 2}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 5}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . White solid; yield ( $51 \%, 38 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $355-358{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.16$ (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.35 (d, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.11$ (d, $J=$ $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ thiazole), 6.83 (d, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ thiazole), 4.08 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.08$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl }}\right), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.0,154.1,150.3,148.1,139.5,136.1,133.2$, $128.4,127.4,109.1,109.0,53.8,50.7,47.8,45.3,38.3,36.0,18.3,16.9,13.3$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.3\%. LC-MS (m/z): $516.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 514.1409$, found 514.1355.

## 8-(4-((4-(Methyl(pyridin-4-yl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-

1H-purine-2,6-dione (74). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 6}(70 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.37 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . White solid; yield ( $55 \%, 35 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $348-351^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 3.86-3.78 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2 \text { (propyl }}$ ), $3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, 2.41$2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ methyl), $0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.4,152.2$, $151.4,150.1,148.5,136.0,133.5,128.8,127.4,123.1,62.3,51.0,49.0,46.6,42.0,22.6,21.3$, 18.2, 11.7. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.2\%. LC-MS (m/z): $524.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 522.2002$, found 522.1918.

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(methyl(pyridin-4-yl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

1H-purine-2,6-dione (75). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $22(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 6}(80 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.42 mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $52 \%, 34 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $328-332{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl}}\right)$, 7.84 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), $7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) })}$, $3.95\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) })}\right.$, $3.51-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.94-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 2.46$ (d, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 2.40-2.33$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 1.28 (t, $J=$ $\left.7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.2,153.7,151.9,150.6,150.3,149.8,148.1,148.1$, $145.3,135.8,133.1,128.5,127.3,122.8,121.6,121.0,109.1,68.7,62.0,56.0,48.7,46.3,42.3$, 40.6, 38.3, 36.0, 32.2, 29.8, 22.2, 17.9, 13.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.5\%. LCMS (m/z): $538.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 536.2185$, found 536.2054.

## 8-(4-((4-(Methyl(pyridin-4-yl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (76). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{1 9}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 7}(80 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.45 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $47 \%, 35 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $339-342{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 13.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 11.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), 8.43 (d, $\left.J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.32$ (d, $J$ $\left.=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pheny }}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$, $7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.57\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { propyl })}\right)$, $0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.0,158.0,155.2$,
$151.2,149.0,148.2,147.8,139.0,137.1,136.7,135.8,133.3,128.5,128.3,127.8,127.2,122.9$, 122.4, 108.8, 63.2, 55.1, 51.8, 46.2, 46.1, 41.7, 30.9, 29.2, 22.3, 21.1, 14.8, 11.4. HPLC-UV $(254 \mathrm{~nm})$ ESI-MS, purity: $99.1 \%$. LC-MS (m/z): $510.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H$]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 508.1845$, found 508.1828.

## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

1H-purine-2,6-dione (77). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $21(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 7}(80 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.45 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . White solid; yield ( $56 \%, 42 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $325-329^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 14.16 (s, 1H, NH xanthine ), 8.44 (d, $J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 8.37 (d, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.4,4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$, 3.89-3.82 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}$ ), $3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 1.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.9,154.3,150.5,148.9,148.1,148.0,136.6,135.9,133.0,128.4$, 127.2, 122.8, 122.3, 63.2, 51.7, 46.1, 42.4, 38.3, 21.0, 13.3, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESIMS, purity: 97\%. LC-MS (m/z): $538.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 536.2158$, found 536.2090.

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-

 purine-2,6-dione (78). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $22(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 7}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45$ mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $55 \%, 41 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $347-351{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.16$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $8.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.85$(d, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.66\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) })}, 3.95\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.04-2.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl })}\right), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.9,154.1,150.3,149.0,148.9$, $148.0,136.6,135.9,133.0,128.4,127.2,122.8,122.3,108.9,63.1,55.0,51.7,50.7,46.1,38.3$, 36.0, 30.8 , 13.3. HPLC-UV ( 254 nm ) ESI-MS, purity: 98.4\%. LC-MS (m/z): $524.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 522.2002$, found 522.1953.

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

 1H-purine-2,6-dione (79). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 8}(75 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.44 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $43 \%, 28 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $348-351^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $13.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.85-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 2.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 2.82-2.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.62-1.51 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2 \text { (propyl) }}$ ), $0.90-0.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.9,155.1,151.1,149.0,136.5,135.8,133.2,128.4,127.1,123.2,121.4,57.2,51.6,46.1$, 41.6, 35.0, 21.0, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.8\%. LC-MS (m/z): 524.4 [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] ${ }^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 522.2002$, found 522.1918 .
## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (80). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure B using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{2 2}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{3 8}(72 \mathrm{mg}$,
0.38 mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of sulfolane and the reaction mixture was stirred at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; yield ( $54 \%, 36 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $344-348{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $14.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl}}\right)$, $7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.61\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.13 (dd, $J=6.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), $4.09\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.94$ ( $\left.\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$, $3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{p} \text { iperazinyl }}\right), 2.97-2.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{p} \text { iperaziny }}\right)$, $2.79(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.68-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl })}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH3(ethyl)). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.9,154.2,150.3,149.0,148.1$, $148.0,136.5,135.9,133.1,128.4,127.2,123.2,121.4,109.1,57.2,51.6,50.7,46.0,38.3,36.0$, 35.0, 13.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 96.7\%. LC-MS (m/z): $538.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M - H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 536.2158$, found 536.2074.

## 8-(4-((4-((6-Chloropyridin-3-yl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (81). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $39(120 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.56 mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . White solid; yield ( $35 \%, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ ). M.p.: $328-331{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 11.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.91-7.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $7.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 5.93 (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 3.87-3.78$ (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}$ ), 3.58 (dd, $J=$ $\left.11.7,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, $2.62-2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.63-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.2,151.1,148.3,147.7,143.4$, $136.5,136.2,134.2,133.3,128.3,127.1,124.0,122.4,47.5,45.0,41.6,30.7,21.0,11.4$. HPLCUV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.3\%. LC-MS (m/z): 543.9 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H]}{ }^{-}\right.$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 542.1456$, found 542.1430.

## 8-(4-((4-((6-Bromopyridin-3-yl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-

 $\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (82). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $\mathbf{1 9}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $\mathbf{4 0}(140 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.55 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . White solid; yield (30\%, 37 mg ). M.p.: $318-322{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.94$ (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.63-2.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.01-1.84$ (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.57(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.48-1.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.2,151.2,148.2,147.8,143.8,136.6,135.2,135.1,133.2,128.3$, $127.6,127.6,127.2,125.8,122.4,108.9,70.0,68.7,63.3,56.0,48.8,47.5,45.0,41.7,32.2$, 30.7, 29.8, 21.1, 11.4. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 99.4\%. LC-MS (m/z): 588.0 [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 586.0950$, found 586.0859.
## 1-Propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-yloxy)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-

2,6-dione (83). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using $p$ nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $41(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . White solid; yield ( $45 \%$, 24 mg ). M.p.: $341-344{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.01$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}$ ), $11.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.32-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, J$ $\left.=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 4.64-4.50 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), $3.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$ ), 3.36-3.33 ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right)$, $2.88(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=12.4,9.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.05-1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.73-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, $1.62-1.52\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 163.0,155.1,151.1,151.1,148.1,147.7,136.5,133.1,128.3,127.2,111.2$,
$108.8,70.9,56.0,55.0,43.5,41.7,30.8,29.6,21.0,11.3$. HPLC-UV (254nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.8\%. LC-MS (m/z): $511.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 509.1685, found 509.1642.

## 3-Ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-yloxy)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

 $\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (84). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $21(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $41(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.31 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $52 \%$, 28 mg ). M.p.: $327-330^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 14.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.43-8.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.34-8.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $7.94-$ 7.86 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 6.95-6.86 (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.58\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.2,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right)$, $4.09\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.91-3.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), 2.88 (ddd, $J=12.3,9.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), 2.05-1.96 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.68$ (dd, $\left.J=8.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), $0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 163.0$, $154.3,151.0,150.5,148.1,148.0,136.5,133.2,133.0,130.7,128.3,127.3,111.2,108.9,92.7$, 70.8, 43.4, 42.4, 38.3, 29.6, 21.0, 13.3, 11.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.3\%. LCMS (m/z): $539.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 537.1998, found 537.1925.
## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-yloxy)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -

purine-2,6-dione (85). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $22(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $41(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31$ mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . White solid; yield ( $50 \%$, 27 mg ). M.p.: $350-354{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$,
$7.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.58(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}\right)$, $3.41-3.32$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), 2.92-2.85 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), 2.05-1.98 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), 1.71-1.63 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl })}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 163.0,154.2,151.1,150.3,148.1,148.0,136.5,133.0,128.4,127.3$, $111.2,109.0,76.9,76.0,75.3,74.4,70.8,68.6,56.1,56.0,43.5,40.2,40.1,40.0,39.8,39.7$, 39.6, 39.4, 39.3, 38.3, 36.0, 29.7, 29.6, 13.3, 0.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.1\%. LC-MS (m/z): $525.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 523.1842, found 523.1781.

## 8-(4-\{[4-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl]sulfonyl\}phenyl)-3-ethyl-1-propyl-

 2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (86). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $19(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $42(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . White solid; The desired product was obtained as a White solid with a yield of $30 \%(29 \mathrm{mg})$. M.p.: $320-330{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $0.84-0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.24-1.30\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ethyl) }}\right), 1.54-1.60(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 1.90-2.00\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.61-2.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.54-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.82-3.89 (m, 2H, CH (propyl) $^{\text {) }}$, 4.06-4.15 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl) }}$ ), 7.34-7.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.44-7.48 (m, 2H, CH phenyl ), 7.88-7.93 (d, $\left.J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 8.35-8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 166,154.4$ (C4), 150.6, 148.3 (C2), 148.1, 136.5, $133,131,128.4,127.3,125.9,119.9,116.5,109,68.9,48.4,42.5,38.4,36.9,36.5,30.4,21$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl }}\right)$, 13.3, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right)$. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 98.4\%. LC-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 616.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ 614.1151, found 614.1111.
## 8-(4-((4-Hydroxy-4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (87). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using p-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $22(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $42(60 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.34 mmol ) dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield (55\%, 30 mg ). M.p.: $348-352^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 14.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 11.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.45-$ $8.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right)$, $7.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, 3.86-3.79 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2 \text { (propyl) }}$ ), $3.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right.$ ), 2.72-2.62 (m, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.03$ (td, $\left.J=13.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.73-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{p} i p e r i d y l}\right), 1.58(\mathrm{q}, J$ $\left.=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (propyl) }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 172.1,155.1,151.1,148.2,148.0,147.8,146.7,143.9,136.3,133.1,132.8,128.5,128.3$, 127.8, 127.2, 123.2, 108.7, 68.1, 42.3, 41.7, 36.8, 21.0, 14.8, 11.4. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESIMS, purity: 97.3\%. LC-MS (m/z): $511.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S} 509.1685$, found 509.1613.

## 1,3-Diethyl-8-(4-((4-hydroxy-4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-

$\mathbf{1 H}$-purine-2,6-dione (88). This compound was synthesized according to the general procedure A using $p$-nitrophenylsulfonate derivative $21(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperazine $43(70 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.39 mmol ) dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . White solid; yield ( $55 \%$, 30 mg ). M.p.: $340-344^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 14.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {xanthine }}\right), 8.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.46-8.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}$ ), 7.93 (d, $\left.J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {phenyl }}\right), 7.81$ (dt, $\left.J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$, 7.32 (dd, $\left.J=8.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ethyl }}\right)$, $3.96\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2(\text { ethyl }}\right), 3.64-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.66(\mathrm{td}, J=11.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{td}, J=13.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, 1.73-1.66 (m, 2H, CH $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right)$, $1.29(\mathrm{t}, J$
$\left.=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl })}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { ethyl) })} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ $154.2,150.3,148.2,148.1,148.0,146.7,143.9,136.4,133.0,132.7,128.5,127.3,123.2,109$, 68.0, 42.2, 38.3, 36.8, 36.0, 13.3. HPLC-UV (254 nm) ESI-MS, purity: 97.2\%. LC-MS (m/z): $525.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 523.1842$, found 523.1758.

### 4.2.6.2. Biological assays

Membrane preparation. The membrane preparations of recombinant CHO or HEK cells stably expressing human AR subtypes were conducted as previously described ${ }^{82,83}$ or purchased from Perkin Elmer (Solingen, Germany).

Radioligand receptor binding assays. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 2$-chloro- $N^{6}$-cyclopentyladenosine $\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{A}_{1}\right), \quad\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right](E)$-3-(3-hydroxypropyl)-8-(2-(3-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)-7-methyl-1-prop-2-ynyl-3,7-dihydropurine-2,6-dione ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MSX-2, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ), $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 8$-(4-(4-(4-chlorophenyl) piperazine-1-sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydropurine-2,6-dione ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-603, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ), and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 2$ -phenyl-8-ethyl-4-methyl-(8R)-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1 H -imidazo $[2.1-i]$-purin- 5 -one $\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]\right.$ PSB$11, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ ) were used as radioligands for human $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}, \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ respectively.

Competition binding experiments at human $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs were performed in a final volume of $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ containing $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of test compound dissolved in $100 \% \mathrm{DMSO}, 196 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of radioligand solution in the same buffer and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of membrane preparation (5-100 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein per vial, $2 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ adenosine deaminase (ADA), incubation 15 min at rt ). Competition binding experiments at human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ were performed in a final volume of 1 mL containing $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of test compound dissolved in $100 \%$ DMSO, $790 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of radioligand solution in the same buffer, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of membrane preparation (10-100 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ protein per vial, $2 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ ADA, incubation for 15 min at rt ). Non-specific binding was determined in the
presence of 2-chloroadenosine (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ f. c.), CGS-15943 (10 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{f.c),} .\mathrm{DPCPX} \mathrm{(10} \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{f.c)}$. and $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-(L-2-phenylisopropyl)adenosine ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ f. c.) for human $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ respectively.

The incubation time at rt was 90 min for $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{ARs}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}, 75 \mathrm{~min}$ for A 2BARs, $^{2} 45 \mathrm{~min}$ for human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs with the radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PSB-11. After the incubation, the assay mixture was filtered through GF/B glass fiber filters using a Brandel harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD). Filters were washed three times (3-4 mL each) with ice-cold 50 mM TrisHCl buffer, pH 7.4. For the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ assay the $\mathrm{GF} / \mathrm{B}$ glass fiber filters were preincubated for 30 $\min$ in $0.3 \%$ aq. polyethylenemine solution. The GF/B glass fiber filters for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ assays were washed four times ( $3-4 \mathrm{~mL}$ each) with ice-cold 50 mM Tris- HCl buffer, pH 7.4 containing $0.1 \%$ BSA in order to reduce non-specific binding. Then filters were transferred to vials, incubated for 9 h with 2.5 mL of scintillation cocktail (Luma Safe, Perkin Elmer), and counted in a liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2810 TR) with a counting efficiency of $\sim 52 \%$. Three to four separate experiments were performed for the determination of $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values. All data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism, Version 4.1 (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA).
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tert-Butyl 4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (25a)..$^{1,2}$ To a solution of 1-tert-butoxycarbonylpiperazine ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in 10 mL dry THF under argon atmosphere was added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 8.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$. The resulting suspension was stirred for 5 min followed by the addition of 3-bromopyridine ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), Xantphos ( 93.2 mg , $0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.06 \mathrm{eq})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(49.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02 \mathrm{eq})$ in the given order. The reaction mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h under Ar atmosphere. Upon completion of the reaction, the solvents were removed in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in ${ }_{(\text {ethyl }}$ acetate and washed with 0.03 M sodium diethyldithiocarbamate $(5 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were filtered through celite, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The obtained yellowish white precipitate was further purified using column chromatography using eluent DCM/Cyclohexane (9.7:0.3). The desired product was obtained with a yield of $86 \%(730 \mathrm{mg})$ as yellowish solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.00$ (d, $\left.J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.36-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 3.50-3.40 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.20-3.09 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), $1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154,146.7,140.3,138.3,123.7,122.4,79.2,47.8,28.2$ LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $263.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(Pyridin-3-yl)piperazine (25). To a solution of $\mathbf{2 5 a}(1,7 \mathrm{~g}, 6,4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{HCl}(13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in ethyl acetate) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 3 h and monitored using TLC. Upon completion of the reaction, the solvents were removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in water and the pH of the solution was neutralized using few drops of 1 N NaOH . Extraction of the aqueous phase was done using ethyl acetate and the organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to afford $\mathbf{2 5}$ with a yield of $47 \%$ $(490 \mathrm{mg})$ as yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{ddd}, J=30.8,19.4,13.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 8.03-7.96 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.36-7.24 (m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $7.19(\mathrm{tt}, J=26.2,11.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=82.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.54-3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.24-3.16(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.2,147.2,146.7,140.5$, $140,138.6,137.9,123.8,123.8,122.9,122,48.9,48,47.7,44.6$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $163.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(6-bromopyridin-3-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (27a). ${ }^{3}$ A mixture of 5-Bromo-2-chloropyridine ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 31.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 31.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were mixed in 10 mL N -Methyl-2-pyrrolidon (NMP) and heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled down to rt and diluted with 100 mL dist. water. The formed precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried in vacuo. The desired product was obtained with a yield of $85 \%$ $(2.9 \mathrm{~g})$ as yellowish solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.68 (dd, $\left.J=9.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.45$ (dd, $J=6.6$, $\left.3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $3.41-3.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.6, 154.1, 147.9, 139.9, 109.4, 107.1, 79.2, 44.5, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $342.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(6-Bromopyridin-3-yl)piperazine (27). To a flask containing 27 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in ethyl acetate) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt overnight. Aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The desired product was obtained as a brown oil with a yield of $60 \%(220 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$, 7.68-7.60 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 6.78 (dd, $\left.J=18.9,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.28$ (s, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 2.75 (s, 4H, CH piperazinyl ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.1,147.9,139.7,109.1,106.5$, 45.8, 45.3. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $241.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-(Piperazin-1-yl)isonicotinonitrile (29). ${ }^{4}$ A mixture of 2-chloro-3-pyridinecarbonitrile (2.0 $\mathrm{g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and piperazine ( $8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 101 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were mixed in 30 mL anhydrous methanol and heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvents were vaporized in vacuo and the formed residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and extracted twice with water then with brine. The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and were further purified using column chromatography using eluent DCM/Methanol (9:1) to afford the desired product 29 with a yield of $95 \%(2.58 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white solid. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 188.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

5-(Piperazin-1-yl)picolinonitrile (30). ${ }^{4}$ A mixture of 5-chloropicolinonitrile ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 eq ) and piperazine ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were mixed in 15 mL anhydrous methanol and heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvents were vaporized in vacuo and the formed residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and extracted twice with water then with brine. The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and was further purified using column chromatography using eluent DCM/Methanol (9:1) to afford the desired product $\mathbf{3 0}$ with a yield of $89 \%(1.22 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white solid. LC-MS positive mode $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 188.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

5-Bromo-2-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrimidine (32). To a solution of 2-(piperazin-1-yl)pyrimidine $(2,0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in 15 mL 1 N HCl at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12.17 \mathrm{mmol}(0.624 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ of bromine was added dropwise using a dropping funnel over 15 min . The reaction was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until dissipation of the red color had occurred. The reaction mixture was filtered, cooled, made alkaline with $50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$, and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and was used in the next step without further purification. The desired product was obtained with a yield of $76 \%(2.21 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyrimidine }}$ ), 3.78-3.49 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 2.83-2.59 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 1.53-1.49 (q, $J=$ $\left.7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 0.90-0.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { propyl) })}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO-
$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) ~ \delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 159.8,158,157.9,105.1$, 45.5, 45. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $242.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Ethyl 4-(thiophen-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (34a). ${ }^{5}$ To a flask containing 2-mercaptothiophene ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 17.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL anhydrous toluene, 1-ethoxycarbonylpiperazine-2carboxylic acid ( $2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 17.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was refluxed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . The solvents were vaporized and the formed residue was further purified using flash chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{DCM}(7: 3)$ to $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ yielding the product with a yield of $52 \%(2.40 \mathrm{~g})$ as yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH thiophene $), 6.20\left(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}\right), 4.07-4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (ester) }}\right), 3.51-3.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 3.04-3.01 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ), 1.20-1.17 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3 \text { (ester) })}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 161.2, 158.7, 154.7, 126.4, 112.9, 106.2, 61, 51.2, 42.9, 14.7. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $240.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

1-(Thiophen-2-yl)piperazine (34). To a flask containing $\mathbf{3 4 a}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in 50 mL methanol/water (4:1) mixture, ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 110 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of KOH was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ portionwise over 5 min . The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The solvents were vaporized, water was added and then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and were further purified using flash chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol (9.5:0.5) yielding the product with a yield of $51 \%$ $(1.47 \mathrm{~g})$ as brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.68\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}\right)$, 6.25-6.21 (m, 1H, CH thiophene $^{\text {) }}$, $6.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiophene }}\right), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=28.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 2.97-2.91 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.8,126.4$, 112.9, 111.7, 106.2, 52.2, 50.9, 45.1. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $168.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

2-(Piperazin-1-yl)thiazole (35). To a flask containing piperazine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 24.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of dissolved in $15 \mathrm{~mL} n$-butanol, ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of 2-bromothiazole were added and the
reaction mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solvents were vaporized and the formed residue was further purified using flash chromatography eluting with PE/DCM (7:3) to $100 \%$ DCM yielding compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ with a yield of $52 \%(2.40 \mathrm{~g})$ as yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiazole }}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {thiazole }}\right), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 2.78 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 172$, 139.6, 107.7, 49.5, 44.9. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $169.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (36a). To a flask containing N Boc piperazine ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 15 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), 4acetylpyridine $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 10 min . $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OPr})_{4}(5.9 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and three molecular sieves were added and the reaction mixture was further stirred at rt under argon atmosphere for 2 h and at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 2 h . The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \mathrm{BH}(4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 21.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 85 mL of DCE were added. The reaction mixture was furtherly stirred at rt under argon for 72 h . Dropwise addition of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution to the reaction at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ precipitates a solid that was filtered through celite and the filtrate was extracted three times with DCM , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo producing a yellowish residue. This residue was further purified using flash chromatography using eluent DCM/Methanol (9.5:0.5) to afford the desired product with a yield of $35 \%(1.66 \mathrm{~g})$ as a yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, 3.28 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 2.37-2.29 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{\text {piperazinyl }}$ ), 2.28-2.21 (m, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ methyl). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 153.9,152,149.7,122.9,78.9,62.5,49.6,28.2,18$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $292.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(1-(Piperidin-4-yl)ethyl)pyridine (36). To a flask containing 35a ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in 3 mL DCM and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{~N}$ in dioxane, $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{eq})$ was added and then the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The desired product was obtained as a colorless oil with a yield of $41 \%(270 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $36.0,30.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $3.74\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 3.09\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 2.69-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 2.57-2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\text { methyl })}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.9,147.6,123.9,118.2,116.2,62.2,46.4,43.1$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $191.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (37a). ${ }^{6}$ To a flask containing sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) $(250 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL DMF, $N$-Boc piperazine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the suspension was stirred for 15 min under argon. The reaction was allowed to warm to rt followed by the addition of $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.37$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-(bromomethyl)pyridine hydrobromide. After 6 h , the reaction was quenched with cold water and extracted three times with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and was further purified using flash chromatography using eluent DCM/Methanol (9.5:0.5) to afford the desired product with a yield of $94 \%(1.90$ $\mathrm{g})$ as orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 8.52-8.42 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.80-7.69 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.24$ (ddd, $\left.J=7.4,4.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}\right), 2.38-2.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperazinyl }}\right)$, $1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 158.2, 153.9, 148.9, 136.6, 122.9, 122.3, 78.8, 63.8, 52.7, 44.2, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $278.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(Piperidin-4-ylmethyl)pyridine (37). To a flask containing 37a ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, iodotrimethylsilane (TMIS) $(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 8.3 mL DCM was added dropwise over 5 min . After 15 min , brownish precipitate was formed followed by the addition of 15 mL methanol to quench the reaction. The reaction mixture was basified using 2 mL 1 N NaOH and extracted three times with ( 3 x 10 mL ). The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and were used in the next step without further purification. The desired product was obtained with a yield of $65 \%(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ as a brownish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.47$ (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}$ ), 7.73 (tt, $\left.J=5.9,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $7.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.78 (s, 4H, CH piperazinyl ), 2.37 (d, $J=18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperaziny }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 158.4,149,148.9,136.6,122.9,122.3,64.3,53,52.9,44.9$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $178.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

6-Chloro-N-(piperidin-4-yl)pyridin-3-amine (39). To a flask containing $N$-Boc piperidone ( $775 \mathrm{mg}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 5 -amino-2-chloropyridine ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichloroethane ( 7 $\mathrm{mL})$ and acetic acid $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. In $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sodium acetoxyborohydride ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 7.78$ mmol ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 hours. Upon completion of the reaction, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the organic phase was extracted three times with water. The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The obtained brown oil was further purified using column chromatography DCM/Methanol (9.8:0.2). The desired product was obtained as white solid with a yield of $80 \%(650 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.74$ (d, $\left.J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.02$ (dd, $\left.J=8.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.93$ (d, $\left.J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right), 3.84$ (d, $\left.J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 3.47-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.90-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right), 1.28-1.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126

MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 154.1,143.5,136.1,134.3,124,122.3,78.8,48.5,31.3,28.2$ LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $312.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

6-Bromo- $N$-(piperidin-4-yl)pyridin-3-amine (40a). To a flask containing $N$-Boc piperidone $(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 5.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ of and 5-amino-2-bromopyridine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dichloroethane ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$ and glacial acetic acid $(0.52 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. In $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sodium acetoxyborohydride (2.45 $\mathrm{g}, 11,56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. Upon completion of the reaction, $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~N}, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and it was extracted three times with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The obtained brown oil was further purified using column chromatography DCM/Methanol (9.8:0.2). The desired product was obtained as white solid with a yield of $85 \%(2.0 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, $6.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { (propyl) }}\right), 3.46-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.89-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right), 1.26-1.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 154.1,143.8,136.1,135.1,127.6,127.5,125.7,123.9,122.3,78.8,65.8,48.5,31.3,28.2$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $356.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(pyridin-4-yloxy)piperidine-1-carboxylate (41a). ${ }^{7}$ To a flask containing sodium hydride ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 14.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) suspended in 15 mL anhydrous DMSO under argon atmosphere, 4-bromopyridine hydrochloride ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 5.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.18 \mathrm{eq}$ ) suspended in 5 mL DMSO, was added slowly over 45 min . The reaction was then stirred for $10 \mathrm{~min}, 1$-boc-4hydroxypiperidine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL DMSO was added over 15 min and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. $\mathrm{Sat} . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly and the reaction was stirred for 20 min . The desired product was collected by filtration as brown solid with a yield of $90 \%(0.8 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 4.80-4.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right), 3.66(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $\left.=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 3.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.97-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.56-1.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 163.1, 154.1, 151.2, 111.3, 79, 72.1, 30.2, 28.2. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $278.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(Piperidin-4-yloxy)pyridine (41). To a flask containing 41a (1.2 g, 3.60 mmol ) dissolved in 5 ml DCM, TFA $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h. Aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The desired product was obtained as a colorless oil with a yield of $68 \%$ ( 450 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridy }}\right)$, 4.74-4.69 (m, 1H, CH ${ }_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), $3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, $2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, 1.84-1.79 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, 1.52-1.45 (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.8,154$, 151.4, 118, 111.3, 79.2, 72.2, 30.3. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $179.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-(4-bromophenyl)-4-hydroxypiperidine-1-carboxylate (42a). To a flask containing 1,4-dibromobenzene ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL anhydrous THF, ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 4.24$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ of magnesium and a catalytic amount of iodine crystals were added and the reaction was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. N -Boc piperidone ( $704 \mathrm{mg}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was further added and the reaction mixture was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After the reaction has finished, we added 5 mL 1 N HCl and the THF was vaporized. The formed residue was washed with water, collected with filtration yielding the desired product as a yellowish solid with a yield of $55 \%$ $(680 \mathrm{mg})$. LC-MS positive mode ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $356.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)piperidin-4-ol (42). To a flask containing 42a (340 mg, 0.95 mmol ) dissolved in 5 mL of DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}$ in ethyl acetate, 2.5 mL$)$ was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 3 h . Aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the
reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The desired product was obtained as a white solid with a yield of $65 \%$ (150 $\mathrm{mg})$. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): $256.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl 4-hydroxy-4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (43a). To a flask containing 3bromopyridine $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, n-butyllithium $(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $10.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{A}$ solution of $N$-Boc-piperidone ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 12.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Upon reaction completion, sat. solution of ammonium chloride ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was warmed to rt and subsequently extracted with ${ }_{(\text {ethyl }}$ ) acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated obtaining a brownish residue that was further purified with flash chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (9.2:0.8). A yellowish precipitate was obtained in a yield of $40 \%(1.41 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.90-7.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right)$, 7.32 (dd, $\left.J=7.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right), 3.13$ (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.82\left(\mathrm{td}, J=13.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right), 1.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidy }}\right)$, 1.41 (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3(\mathrm{Boc})}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 153.9,149.3,148,147.7,140.3$, 138.1, 134.6, 133.7, 124.3, 70.1, 41.3, 31.8, 18.6, 14. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): 278.9 [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(Pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-4-ol (43). To a flask containing 43a ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}$ ( 1 M in ethyl acetate, $10.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 16 h . Upon completion of the reaction, aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was subsequently extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and the obtained oily residue was further
purified using column chromatography using the eluent system DCM/methanol (7:3). A brownish oil was obtained in a yield of $40 \%(250 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.16-$ $8.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 8.03-7.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 7.21-7.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyridyl }}\right), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, OH ), 3.82 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ), $3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right), 1.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}\right)$, 1.59 (d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {piperidyl }}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 153.9, 149.3, 148, 140.3, 138.1, 134.6, 133.7, 124.3, 122.3, 70.1, 41.3, 31.8. LC-MS positive mode (m/z): [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. LC-MS positive mode ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $179.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

## 


${ }^{13}$ CNMR




Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (48)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ of 3-ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (51)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

${ }^{13}$ CNMR



Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 3-ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (54)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

${ }^{13}$ CNMR



Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-dimethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (55)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$

$\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{m}{1}}$


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-diethyl-8-(4-((4-(thiophen-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (70)
${ }^{1}$ HNMR


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 3-ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(thiazol-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (72)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

Exact Mass: 515,14

${ }^{13}$ CNMR


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-diethyl-8-(4-((4-(thiazol-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (73)
${ }^{1}$ HNMR


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 3-ethyl-1-propyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (77)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

${ }^{13}$ CNMR


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 H -purine-2,6-dione (78).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

${ }^{13}$ CNMR




Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-diethyl-8-(4-((4-(pyridin-4-yloxy)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (85).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$

${ }^{13}$ CNMR


Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 8-(4-((4-hydroxy-4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-1-propyl-3,7-dihydro-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (86).
${ }^{1}$ HNMR


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(151 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of 1,3-diethyl-8-(4-((4-hydroxy-4-(pyridin-3-yl)piperidin-1-yl)sulfonyl)phenyl)-3,7-dihydro-1 $H$-purine-2,6-dione (87)


Exact Mass: 509,18



XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 1 (AT091-9) of 2020-05-11....


Peak List for "XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 1 (AT091-9) of 2020-05-11.wiff"

|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3607 | 6523.1659 | 0.9797 | 894.2828 | 3.6684 | 0.2467 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 0.4765 | 1.4469 e 4 | 2.1731 | 2269.4153 | 9.3092 | 0.2333 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 7.9220 | 2571.0576 | 0.3861 | 456.9737 | 1.8745 | 0.2667 | Valley |
| 4 | 8.0346 | 6143.0446 | 0.9226 | 1015.9935 | 4.1676 | 0.2667 | Valley |
| 5 | 10.1104 | 6.3613 e 5 | 95.5385 | 1.9742 e 4 | 80.9803 | 8.6267 | Base to Base |

Figure S13. LC-MS spectrum of compound 48*
*The purity of the compound 48 is $95.5 \%$ (retention time: 10.11 min belongs to the desired compound 48; also see NMR spectra, Figure S1)


Exact Mass: 523,20




Peak List for "XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 1 (AT292-14+NH4) of 2019-02-10.wiff"

|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \% Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.5620 | 1.3939 e 4 | 1.5130 | 1920.5861 | 1.1742 | 0.3000 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 9.9905 | 2385.4376 | 0.2589 | 482.8955 | 0.2952 | 0.2667 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 10.9918 | 4349.0588 | 0.4720 | 934.4319 | 0.5713 | 0.2267 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 11.3142 | 9.0064 e5 | 97.7561 | 1.6022 e 5 | 97.9592 | 0.7867 | Base to Base |

Figure S14. LC-MS spectrum of compound 51*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{5 1}$ is $97.76 \%$ (retention time: 11.31 min belongs to the desired compound 51; also see NMR spectra, Figure S2)


Exact Mass: 523,20


Figure S15. LC-MS spectrum of compound 54*
*The purity of the compound 54 is $99.4 \%$ (retention time: 11.63 min belongs to the desired compound 54 and the peak at 0.56 min belongs to the injection peak; also see NMR spectra, Figure S3)


Exact Mass: 481,15


Figure S16. LC-MS spectrum of compound 55*
*The purity of the compound 55 is $97.56 \%$ (retention time: 10.49 min belongs to the desired compound 55; also see NMR spectra, Figure S4)


Exact Mass: 514,15





Peak List for "XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 1 (AT281-63+NH3) of 2019-01-21.wiff"

|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3796 | 7133.1307 | 1.1597 | 655.8823 | 0.5854 | 0.2867 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 0.5819 | 1.6429 e 4 | 2.6711 | 1535.1148 | 1.3701 | 0.4333 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 8.6296 | 607.2314 | 0.0987 | 87.3006 | 0.0779 | 0.2933 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 10.0936 | 996.7946 | 0.1621 | 160.4006 | 0.1432 | 0.3133 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 11.0012 | 1.4707 e 4 | 2.3911 | 2739.3522 | 2.4448 | 0.2800 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 11.2711 | 1314.0647 | 0.2136 | 212.6752 | 0.1898 | 0.2133 | Base to Base |
| 7 | 11.4589 | 468.5054 | 0.0762 | 104.7316 | 0.0935 | 0.1533 | Base to Base |
| 8 | 11.6160 | 618.0674 | 0.1005 | 114.4690 | 0.1022 | 0.2267 | Base to Base |
| 9 | 11.9471 | 5.7141 e 5 | 92.9022 | 1.0625 e 5 | 94.8293 | 0.6667 | Base to Base |
| 10 | 12.5186 | 398.8965 | 0.0649 | 75.4700 | 0.0674 | 0.1400 | Base to Base |
| 11 | 13.1413 | 983.0690 | 0.1598 | 108.2017 | 0.0966 | 0.2933 | Base to Base |

Figure S17. LC-MS spectrum of compound 70*
*The purity of the compound $\mathbf{7 0}$ is $95.57 \%$ (retention time: 9.70 min belongs to the desired compound $\mathbf{7 0}$ and the peak at 0.58 min belongs to the injection peak; also see NMR spectra, Figure S5)


Exact Mass: 529,16


Figure S18. LC-MS spectrum of compound 72*
*The purity of the compound 72 is $95.04 \%$ (retention time: 11.59 min belongs to the desired compound 72; also see NMR spectra, Figure S6)


Exact Mass: 515,14


Figure S19. LC-MS spectrum of compound 73*
*The purity of the compound 73 is $96.29 \%$ (retention time: 9.70 min belongs to the desired compound 73; also see NMR spectra, Figure S7)






Figure S20. LC-MS spectrum of compound 77*
*The purity of the compound 77 is $96.97 \%$ (retention time: 11.40 min belongs to the desired compound 77; also see NMR spectra, Figure S8)



Figure S21. LC-MS spectrum of compound 78*
*The purity of the compound 78 is $98.4 \%$ (retention time: 11.02 min belongs to the desired compound 78; also see NMR spectra, Figure S9)


Exact Mass: 524,18


Figure S22. LC-MS spectrum of compound 85*
*The purity of the compound 85 is $98.13 \%$ (retention time: 11.11 min belongs to the desired compound 85 and the peak at 0.55 min belongs to the injection peak; also see NMR spectra, Figure S10)





Peak List for "XWC of DAD Spectral Data: 220.0 to 400.0 nm from Sample 2 (AT-333) of 2019-06-19.wiff"

|  | Time (min) | Area (mAU $\times$ min) | \%Area | Height (mAU) | \% Height | Width (min) | Baseline Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.4205 | 4262.7150 | 0.7122 | 691.6825 | 0.6707 | 0.2000 | Base to Base |
| 2 | 0.5329 | 5010.7383 | 0.8372 | 1116.7597 | 1.0829 | 0.1400 | Base to Base |
| 3 | 0.6781 | 1910.7403 | 0.3193 | 333.9525 | 0.3238 | 0.1933 | Base to Base |
| 4 | 8.6286 | 1969.0431 | 0.3290 | 300.1593 | 0.2911 | 0.4867 | Base to Base |
| 5 | 9.9590 | 5.8220 e5 | 97.2752 | 9.9930 e 4 | 96.9029 | 0.5667 | Base to Base |
| 6 | 10.4278 | 701.5573 | 0.1172 | 122.2613 | 0.1186 | 0.2200 | Base to Base |
| 7 | 10.6755 | 1345.6658 | 0.2248 | 325.5398 | 0.3157 | 0.1467 | Base to Base |
| 8 | 10.8335 | 1107.6061 | 0.1851 | 303.4790 | 0.2943 | 0.1333 | Base to Base |

Figure S23. LC-MS spectrum of compound 86*
*The purity of the compound 86 is $97.3 \%$ (retention time: 9.96 min belongs to the desired compound 86; also see NMR spectra, Figure S11)



Figure S24. LC-MS spectrum of compound 87*
*The purity of the compound 87 is $97.16 \%$ (retention time: 10.70 min belongs to the desired compound 87 and the peak at 0.57 min belongs to the injection peak; also see NMR spectra, Figure S12)
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## 5. Summary and outlook

$\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptors (ARs) are novel drug targets in cancer (immuno)therapy. Activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs expressed on the surface of many immune cells such as T-lymphocytes and natural killer (NK) cells decreases cytokine production causing immunosuppression. $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ promote tumor proliferation, angiogenesis, metastasis, and also mediate immunosuppression by acting on myeloid cells. Therefore, targeting both AR subtypes, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, is highly promising for cancer (immuno)therapy. In the first project, we tackled the challenge of poorly water-soluble $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists by developing watersoluble phosphate prodrugs. The second project involved the development of potent dualacting $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists that block the activation of both AR subtypes, and which are therefore promising novel therapeutics for various tumors and potentially also for the immunotherapy of infectious diseases.

### 5.1. Water-soluble prodrugs of $A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

Potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists have been reported in literature, however many of them lack high water-solubility. Here, we present the development of several potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists substituted on various positions with phenolic or hydroxyalkyl residues. These compounds were phosphorylated to obtain the corresponding water-soluble phosphate prodrugs. The developed $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} A \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist prodrugs will be useful as directly injectable tool compounds for pharmacological studies and may have potential as future drugs.

### 5.1.1. Target structures $A$ : N3-hydroxyalkylxanthines

Various xanthine derivatives substituted at the 3-position of the xanthine core with hydroxyalkyl residues of different linker lengths combined with various substituents on the terminal phenyl ring in the 8 -position of the xanthine nucleus were synthesized. SARs of the 3substituted xanthines (target structures A) are summarized in Figure 32. Compound 30b bearing a hydroxyethyl residue at the xanthine 3 -position and a $p$-bromo-substituent on the terminal phenyl
ring showed the highest $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity in this series. It was therefore selected for subsequent phosphorylation to obtain its phosphate prodrug 52 (Figure 32).


Figure 32. Structure-activity relationships of 3-substituted xanthines (target structures A).

### 5.1.2. Target structures $B$ : substitution on the terminal phenyl ring

The second series of compounds were those substituted at the terminal phenyl ring with a phenolic group or a hydroxyalkyl residue combined with halides, such as chloro or bromo, which are important for high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity (Figure 33).


Figure 33. Structure-activity relationships of hydroxyalkyl-substituted derivatives (target structures B).

Compounds with an o-hydroxyphenyl residue exhibited the highest affinity and selectivity in this series of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. However, due to steric hindrance, phosphorylation of the phenolic group was not successful. Thus we developed compounds substituted on the phenyl ring with longer hydroxyalkyl chains. Compound 42 with a hydroxyethyl residue in the ortho-position of the terminal phenyl ring displayed excellent affinity and selectivity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs and was therefore selected for phosphorylated yielding its phosphate prodrug 53 (Figure 33).

### 5.1.3. Target structures $C$ substitution at the amino linker

The third series of compounds were those having a hydroxyalkyl chain attached to an amino linker connecting the terminal phenyl ring with the piperidinyl moiety (see Figure 34). N substituted methyl or ethyl esters were reduced yielding compound $\mathbf{5 0}$, which displayed high $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity. The subsequently prepared phosphate prodrug $\mathbf{5 4}$ exhibited an 830 -fold increase in water-solubility in comparison to its parent drug 50 (Figure 34).


Figure 34. Structure-activity relationships of amino-linker substituted xanthines (target structures C).

In summary, we have reported the development of water-soluble phosphate prodrugs for potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. These prodrugs could overcome the drawback of poor
water solubility which has limited the further development of many potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. These compounds will be valuable tools that can be applied as injectables for in vivo studies, e.g. in animal models of cancer.

### 5.2. Development of dual $A_{2 A} / A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists

Activation of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}}$and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs expressed on T-lymphocytes and myeloid cells, respectively, by adenosine was reported to suppress immune responses. Therefore, the development of potent dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptor antagonists could provide a novel effective treatment for cancer by activating anti-tumor immune responses, and for infections. In this project, we modified the structure of the potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{BAR}}$ antagonist PSB-1901 through various ring replacements and substitutions that were expected to increase the potency at $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs without significantly reducing $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity. Structure-activity relationships of the developed dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptor antagonists are presented in Figure 35.


Figure 35. Structure-activity relationships of the developed dual $A_{2 A} / A_{2 B}$ adenosine receptor antagonists which were designed based on lead structure PSB-1901.

Substitution at the 1-position of xanthine by ethyl combined with 3 -substitution by an ethyl or cyclopropyl residue, as in compounds $\mathbf{4 8}$ and $\mathbf{4 9}$, resulted in optimal affinity for both AR subtypes (Figure 36). Replacing the piperazine moiety with piperidine did neither affect affinity nor selectivity of the developed dual antagonists. Introducing an ether linker to connect the piperazine ring with the terminal phenyl residue, as in compound $\mathbf{8 5}$, was found to increase affinity for both receptor subtypes as compared to other derivatives with amino or alkyl linkers.

46, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ propyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ ethyl
48, 85, 73, $R^{1}, R^{2}=$ ethyl
49, $R^{1}=$ ethyl, $R^{2}=$ cyclopropyl
$\mathrm{R}^{2}$
85, $R^{1}=$ propyl, $R^{2}=H$


| Compound | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{h A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}(\mathbf{n M})$ | 21.0 | 11.1 | 23.1 | 311 | 15.7 | 28.5 |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{h A}_{\mathbf{2 B}}(\mathbf{n M})$ | 39.8 | 21.0 | 16.6 | 0.536 | 19.7 | 3.68 |
| Solubility $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 12.2 | 4.6 | n.d. | 0.2 | n.d. | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{B B B}$ | BBB + | BBB + | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |

Figure 36. Comparison of the affinities and selected physicochemical properties of the developed dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists $\mathbf{4 6}, \mathbf{4 8}, \mathbf{4 9}, \mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{7 3}$ and the potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist 58.

Replacing the terminal phenyl ring with various heterocycles, especially 4-pyridyl, see compounds 48, 49 and $\mathbf{8 5}$, was found to be crucial for developing potent dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. Compound $\mathbf{7 3}$ having a terminal thiazole ring also showed dual antagonistic potency, with somewhat higher affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ than the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype. Substitution on the terminal heterocyclic ring with various residues, e.g. in compounds 58 and $\mathbf{5 9}$, with a $p$ Br or a $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituent, respectively, decreased $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity resulting in potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists (Figures 35 and 36). Replacing the terminal phenyl ring with
heterocycles, especially 4-pyridyl rings, as in compounds $\mathbf{4 6}$ and 48, did not only positively affect the affinities of the target compounds to the AR subtypes, but also improved their physicochemical properties, such as aqueous solubility and blood brain barrier (BBB) permeability. Introducing very lipophilic substituents, e.g. $p$ - Br in compound 58, resulted in potent $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists, however with only low aqueous solubility (Figure 36).

In conclusion, because the immunosuppressive effects of adenosine are mediated through the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{ARs}$ in immune cells, we developed potent xanthine-based dual $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists with high selectivity versus $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR subtypes. This dual antagonists could become future drug candidates for the treatment of cancers and also for the immunotherapy of infectious diseases.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Molecular weight was calculated by the Chemdraw software; ${ }^{b}$ Purity was determined by HPLC-UV-MS at $254 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{c}$ logarithm of the partition coefficient values (cLog $P$ ) were calculated by Instant JChem software. ${ }^{70}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Measured using semi-thermodynamic approach in aqueous buffered solutions.

